

Type Systems

Authored By Luca Cardelli

ACM Computing Surveys, 1996

Type Systems - Why, What & How? (Informally)

- ▶ **Why:** to prevent forbidden(all untrapped and some trapped) errors OR
"to prove the absence of certain program behaviour"
- ▶ **What:** "*tractable syntactic method*"
- ▶ **How:** by distinguishing between well typed and ill typed programs, Type Checking OR
"by classifying phrases according to the kinds of values they compute"

Type Checking and Type System Properties

Type Checking

- ▶ No forbidden error \implies well behaved \implies Strongly Checked
- ▶ some untrapped undetected at compilation \implies Weakly Checked \implies unsafe

Type System Properties

- ▶ Decidably Verifiable
- ▶ Transparent
- ▶ Enforceable
- ▶ Prove that “well typed programs are well behaved”

Type Systems for λ -calculus

Syntax for untyped λ -calculus

$M, N :=$	terms
x	variables
$\lambda x.M$	functions
MN	applications

Syntax for first-order F_1 typed λ -calculus

$A, B :=$	types	$M, N :=$
K	basic	x
$A \rightarrow B$	function	$\lambda x : A.M$
		MN

Judgments and Rules for F_1

Judgments for F_1

$\Gamma \vdash \diamond$ Γ well-formed environment

$\Gamma = \{\phi, x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n\}$

$\Gamma \vdash M : A$ M is a well-formed type A in Γ

Type Rules for F_1 (only important)

(Val Fun)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B}$$

(Val Appl)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B}$$

Let's add Bool Type in F_1

Type Rules for F_1

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(Type Bool)} \quad \text{(Val True)} \quad \text{(Val False)} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \end{array}$$

Val Cond

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash N_1 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash N_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if}_A M \text{ then } N_1 \text{ else } N_2) : A}$$

Note: if_A is a hint that inferred types for N_1 and N_2 should be compared with A .

Adding Recursive Types in F_1

List Type Example

$$List_A \triangleq \mu X. Unit + (A \times X)$$

Additional Type Syntax

$$\begin{array}{ll} A, B := \dots & \text{types} \\ & \mu X. A \quad \text{Recursive} \end{array}$$

Additional Operations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unfold}(\mu X. A) &= [\mu X. A/X] A \\ \text{fold}([\mu X. A/X] A) &= \mu X. A \end{aligned}$$

Type Rules

(Type Rec)

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \mu X. A}$$

(Val Fold)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : [\mu X. A/X] A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fold}_{\mu X. A} M : \mu X. A}$$

*iso-recursive approach, $\text{unfold}(\text{fold}(M)) = M$

Second-order Type System, F_2

Syntax

$A, B :=$	\dots	types	$M, N :=$	\dots	terms
	$\forall X.A$	universally quantified		$\lambda X.M$	polymorphic abstraction
				MA	type instantiation

Type Rule

(Val Type Instantiation)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall X.A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash MB : [B/X]A}$$

Second-order Type System

Derivation

- ▶ $id \triangleq \lambda X.\lambda x : X.x$
- ▶ Derive, $M \triangleq id(\forall X.X \rightarrow X)(id)$

Subtyping, $F_{1<:}$

An Additional Judgment

- ▶ $\Gamma \vdash A <: B$ A is a subtype of B in Γ

Additional Rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A <: Top}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A <: B}{\Gamma \vdash a : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A' <: A \quad \Gamma \vdash B <: B'}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B <: A' \rightarrow B'}$$

Conclusion

- ▶ Highly condensed introduction to Type Systems
- ▶ Type Theory, rich and highly expressive but large program are issues