30 Oct 2023 Approximation Algs fir MAX-cut
Announcement: Midterm topics are same as those on Homerooles 1-4.

- Matclings
- Parallel algorithms
- Network flow
- NR Completeness

Not linear programming, approx. algs.
The midterm will be shouter and easier then lomeworle sis.

Gran any undirected graph $G=(V E)$, the following randomized algorithm cuts at kist $\frac{1}{2}|E|$ edges in expectation.
(1) Randomly partition $V$ into $A$ and $B$. why does it work? Linearity of expectation.
For edge $e=(u, v)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(e \text { is cat }) & =\operatorname{Pr}(u \in A, v \in B)+\operatorname{Pr}(u \in B, v \in A) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Sum ave edges:

$$
\mathbb{E}[\text { \# edges in the cat }]=\sum_{e \in E} \operatorname{fr}(\operatorname{cis} \text { cut })=\frac{1}{2}|E| \text {. }
$$

serandonise this using the method of conditional expectations.
Any time the rand alg. is about to tors a cain, obtaining a random $\phi$ or 1 , calculate
$\mathbb{E}[$ outcome of the alg. $\mid$ tossing $\phi]$ vs.
$\mathbb{E}$ outcome of aga j 1 tossing 1] $E_{\text {is. nut nutter of edges. }}$ cut when alk, finises. and select whichever result $\left(\begin{array}{l}\varnothing \\ \text { or 1) }\end{array}\right.$ leads to the better conditional erected outcome.

Consider a step whose we've already settled the coin toss outcomes for $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ we're now thinking about $v_{i}$.
That means $V_{i-1}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right\}$ is already partitioned into $A_{i-1}$ and $B_{i-1}$.
Now we're thinking about either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { toss } \phi_{i} v_{i} \text { into } A \text {, } \\
& A_{i}=A_{i-1} v\left\{v_{i}\right\}, \quad B_{i}-B_{i-1} \\
& \text { toss 1: } v_{i} \text { into } B \text {, } \\
& A_{i}=A_{i-1}, \quad B_{i}=B_{i-1} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

How can we quantify $\mathbb{E}[\#$ Cut edges $]$ in both cases?

Deranfomizech algorithm.

1. Initialize $A_{0}=\beta_{0}=\varnothing$
2. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Count $a_{i}=$ \# neighbors of $v_{i}$ in $A_{i-1}$

$$
b_{i}=4 \quad \cdots \quad \because 4 \quad " B_{i-1}
$$

if $a_{i}>b_{i}$ :

$$
B_{i}=B_{i-1} v\left\{v_{i}\right\}, \quad A_{i}=A_{i-1}
$$

els:

$$
A_{i}=A_{i-1}{ }^{\nu}\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}, \quad B_{i}=B_{i-1}
$$

3. output $A_{n}, B_{n}$ -

Goemans - Williamson SDP Rounding Algorithm
A semiléfinte program (SDP) is an optimization problem of the form
$\max \sum_{i, j} c_{i j} a_{i j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(C^{\top} A\right)$
st. $\quad A \geqslant \theta$ (i.e. $A$ is positive semidefinte)

+ any number of linear inequality or linear equation constraints on the entries of $A$.

Def. Sequar matrix $A$ is positive semidefitite (PSD) if $A$ is symmetric and satisfies any of these equiv. conditions:
(1) All eigenvalues of $A$ are $\geqslant 0$.
(2) $A=\sum w_{i} y_{i} y_{i}^{\top}$ for same scalars $w_{i} \geqslant 0$ and vectors $y_{i}$ i
(3) $A=X^{\top} X$ for sane matrix $X$.
(4) $\exists$ vectors $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ s.t. $a_{i j}=x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}$ for all $i, j$.
(5) For all vectors y, $v^{\top} A_{v} \geqslant 0$.

STP relaxation of MAX CUT says:
$\max \sum_{e=(i, j)} \frac{1}{2}\left(1-x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}\right) \quad \max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=(i, j)}\left(1-a_{i j}\right)$
st. $\quad x_{i}^{\top} x_{i}=1 \quad \forall i$ st. Auto $a_{i i}=1 \forall i$

GW Alg. Solve the SDP in blue above.
factorize $A$ as $A=X^{\top} X$.
Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be columns of $X$.
(so $a_{i j}=x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}$ for al $i, j$ )
Sample unit vector $\vec{\omega}$ uniformly at random.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{v_{i} \mid \quad w^{\top} x_{i} \leq 0\right\} \\
& B=\left\{v_{i} \mid w^{\top} x_{i}>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

weds: analyze approx. factor achieved by this rounding-

