

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{perm } A(4;1) &= \text{perm} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The full adjacency matrix  $B$  with submatrices  $A$  corresponding to these four-node widgets counts 1 for each good cycle cover in  $H$  and 0 for each bad cycle cover, thus its permanent is equal to  $(k!)^2$  times the number of vertex covers in  $G$ .

We have argued that computing the permanent of a matrix containing elements in  $\{-1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$  is  $\#P$ -hard, but there is still a way to go. The next step is to note that

$$\text{perm } 2B = 2^n \cdot \text{perm } B,$$

and this implies that computing the permanent of a matrix with elements in  $\{-2, 0, 1, 2\}$  is hard for  $\#P$ . We now show that this problem reduces to computing the permanents of polynomially many matrices over  $\{0, 1\}$ . The reduction we use here is somewhat weaker than the one we have been using in that it will require several instances of the  $\{0, 1\}$  permanent problem to encode a given instance of the  $\{-2, 0, 1, 2\}$  permanent problem, but the reduction still has the property that any fast algorithm for the  $\{0, 1\}$  problem would give a fast algorithm for the  $\{-2, 0, 1, 2\}$  problem.

Let  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\{-2, 0, 1, 2\}$ . A bound on the absolute value of  $\text{perm } B$  is given by the case in which each entry of  $B$  is 2; then

$$|\text{perm } B| \leq 2^{n \cdot n}.$$

It thus suffices to compute  $\text{perm } B$  modulo any  $N > 2^{n+1}n!$ , and from this we will be able to recover the value of  $\text{perm } B$ .

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  be the first  $k$  primes, where  $k$  is the least number such that

$$N = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i > 2^{n+1}n!.$$

It is not hard to show that  $k \leq n+1$ . Moreover, since  $p_m$  is  $\Theta(m \log m)$  (see [49, p. 10]), we can generate the first  $k$  primes in polynomial time using the sieve of Eratosthenes. Before proceeding further, we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 27.2 (Chinese Remainder Theorem)** *Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers, and let  $m = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}_n$*

denote the ring of integers modulo  $n$ . The ring  $\mathcal{Z}_m$  and the direct product of rings

$$\mathcal{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathcal{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{Z}_{m_k}$$

are isomorphic under the function

$$f : \mathcal{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathcal{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{Z}_{m_k}$$

given by

$$f(x) = (x \bmod m_1, x \bmod m_2, \dots, x \bmod m_k) .$$

This just says that the numbers mod  $m$  and the  $k$ -tuples of numbers mod  $m_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are in one-to-one correspondence, and that arithmetic is preserved under the map  $f$ . For example, in the following table, we have compared  $\mathcal{Z}_{15}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}_3 \times \mathcal{Z}_5$ .

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$x \bmod 3$	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2
$x \bmod 5$	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4

Note that each pair in  $\mathcal{Z}_3 \times \mathcal{Z}_5$  occurs exactly once. This is because 3 and 5 are relatively prime. Arithmetic is preserved as well: for example, 4 and 7 correspond to the pairs (1, 4) and (1, 2), respectively; multiplying these pairwise gives the pair (1, 3) (mod 3 and 5, respectively), which occurs under 13; and  $4 \times 7 = 28 = 13 \pmod{15}$ .

Also,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are computable in polynomial time. To compute  $f(x)$ , we just reduce  $x$  modulo  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ . To compute  $f^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , we first compute, for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that

$$sm_i + t \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} m_j = 1$$

and take

$$u_i = t \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq k \\ j \neq i}} m_j .$$

The numbers  $s$  and  $t$  are available as a byproduct of the Euclidean algorithm. For each  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ,  $u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$  and  $u_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Take

$$f^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1 u_1 + \cdots + x_k u_k \pmod{m} .$$

For further details and a proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem see [3, pp. 289ff.].