Parallelism

CS6787 Lecture 9 — Fall 2019

So far

- We've been talking about algorithms
- We've been talking about ways to optimize their parameters
- But we haven't talked about the **underlying hardware**
 - How does the properties of the hardware affect our performance?
 - How should we implement our algorithms to best utilize our resources?

What does modern ML hardware look like?

- Lots of different types
 - CPUs
 - GPUs
 - FPGAs
 - Specialized accelerators





• Common thread: all of these architectures are highly parallel

Parallelism: A History

- The good old days: if I want my program to run faster, I can just wait
 - Moore's law number of transistors on a chip doubles every 18 months
 - Dennard scaling as transistors get smaller, power density stays constant
- This "free lunch" drove a wave of innovation in computing
 - Because new applications with bigger data were constantly becoming feasible
 - Drove a couple of AI boom-bust cycles
- But also drove a lack of concern for systems efficiency
 - Why work on making efficient systems when I can just wait instead?

Moore's Law: A Graphic



The End of the Free Lunch

- In 2005, Herb Sutter declares "**The Free Lunch Is Over**" and that there will be "A Fundamental Turn Toward Concurrency in Software"
 - He's not the only one that was saying this.
- You can see this on the previous figure as trends start to flatten out.
- Why? **Power**
 - Dennard scaling started breaking down no longer fixed power/area
 - Too much heat to dissipate at high clock frequencies chip will melt

The Solution: Parallelism

- I can re-write my program in parallel
- Moore's law is still in effect
 - Transistor density still increasing exponentially
- Use the transistors to add more parallel units to the chip
 - Increases throughput, but not speed

The Effect of Parallelism

• Pros:

- Can continue to get speedups from added transistors
- Can even get speedups beyond a single chip or a single machine

• Cons:

- Can't just sit and wait for things to get faster
- Need to work to get performance improvements
- Need to develop new frameworks and methods to parallelize automatically

What benefits can we expect

- If we run in parallel on **N** copies of our compute unit, naively we would expect our program to run **N** times faster
- Does this always happen in practice?
- No! Why?

Amdahl's Law

• Gives the theoretical speedup of a program when it's parallelized

$$S_{ ext{latency}}(s) = rac{1}{(1-p)+rac{p}{s}}$$

- S_{latency} is total speedup
- **p** is the parallelizable portion of the algorithm
- s is the number of parallel workers/amount of parallelism

Amdahl's Law (continued)



From Wikipedia

Consequences of Amdahl's Law

• Diminishing marginal returns as we increase the parallelism

- Can never actually achieve a linear or super-linear speedup as the amount of parallel workers increases
- Is this always true in practice?
- No! Sometimes we do get super-linear speedup. When?

What does modern parallel hardware look like?

• CPUs

- Many parallel cores
- Deep parallel cache hierarchies taking up most of the area
- Often many parallel CPU sockets in a machine

• GPUs

- Can run way more numerical computations in parallel than a CPU
- Loads of lightweight cores running together
- In general: can run many heterogeneous machines in parallel in a **cluster**

Sources of parallelism

From most fine-grained to most course-grained

On CPUs: Instruction-Level Parallelism

- How many instructions in the instruction stream can be executed simultaneously?
- For example:
 - C = A * B
 - Z = X * Y
 - S = C + Z

The first two instructions here can be executed in parallel

• Important for pipelining, and used fully in superscalar processors.

On CPUs: SIMD/Vector Parallelism

• Single-Instruction Multiple-Data

- Perform the same operation on multiple data points in parallel
- Uses registers that store and process vectors of multiple data points
 - Latest standards use 512-bit registers, which can hold 16 floating point numbers
- A long series of instruction set extensions for this on CPUs
 SSE, SSE2, SSE3, SSSE3, SSE4.1, SSE4.2, AVX, AVX2, AVX-512, ...
- Critical for dense linear algebra operations common in ML

On CPUs: Multicore Parallelism

- Modern CPUs come with multiple identical cores on the same die
- Cores can work independently on **independent parallel tasks**
 - Unlike ILP and SIMD
- Cores communicate through shared memory abstraction
 - They can read and write the same memory space
 - This is done through a sophisticated cache hierarchy
- Significant cost to synchronize multiple CPUs working together

On CPUs: Multi-socket parallelism

- Modern motherboards have multiple sockets for CPUs
- Cores on these CPUs still communicate through shared memory
- But latency/throughput to access memory that is "closer" to another CPU chip is worse than accessing your own memory
- This is called **non-uniform memory access** (NUMA)

On GPUs: Stream Processing

- Given a stream of data, apply a series of operations to the data
 - Operations are called kernel functions
- This type of compute pattern is well-suited to GPU computation
 Because compared with CPUs, GPUs have much more of their area devoted to arithmetic but much less devoted to memory and caches
- There's additional parallel structure within a GPU
 - For example, in CUDA threads running the same program are organized into **warps** and run at the same time

On specialized accelerators and ASICs

- Whatever you want!
- The parallelism opportunities are limited only by the available transistors
- We will see **many new accelerators for ML** with different parallel structures and resources
 - Some will look like FPGAs: e.g. CGRAs
 - Some will just speed up one particular operation, such as matrix-matrix multiply

The Distributed Setting

- Many workers communicate over a network
 - Possibly heterogeneous workers including CPUs, GPUs, and ASICs
- Usually no shared memory abstraction
 - Workers communicate explicitly through passing messages
- Latency much higher than all other types of parallelism
 - Often need fundamentally different algorithms to handle this

How to use parallelism in machine learning

From most fine-grained to most course-grained

Recall

• Stochastic gradient descent

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t})$$

- Can write this as an algorithm:
- For **t** = 1 **to T**
 - Choose a training example at random
 - Compute the gradient and update the model
 - Repeat.

How to run SGD in parallel?

- There are several places where we can extract parallelism from SGD.
- We can use any or all of these places
 - Often we use different ones to correspond to the different sources of parallelism we have in the hardware we are using.

Parallelism within the Gradient Computation

• Try to compute the gradient samples themselves in parallel

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t})$$

- Problems:
 - There usually is not much work here, so not much work to split up
 - We run this so many times, we will need to synchronize a lot
- Typical place to use: instruction level parallelism, SIMD parallelism

Parallelism with Minibatching

• Try to parallelize across the minibatch sum

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \frac{\alpha}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \nabla f\left(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_b}\right)$$

- Problems:
 - Still run this so many times, we will need to synchronize a lot
 - Can have a **tradeoff with statistical efficiency**, since too much minibatching can harm convergence
- Typical place to use: all types of parallelism

Parallelism across iterations

- Try to compute **multiple iterations** of SGD in parallel
 - Parallelize the outer loop usually a good idea

 $\begin{aligned} x_{t+1} &= x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t}) \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t}) \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t}) \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t; y_{\tilde{i}_t}) \end{aligned}$

- Problems:
 - Naively, the outer loop is sequential, so we can't do this without fine-grained locking and frequent synchronization
- Typical place to use: multi-core/multi-socket/cluster parallelism

Parallelism for hyperparameter optimization

• Just run multiple copies of the whole algorithm independently, and use them to do hyperparameter optimization



- Problems:
 - Can't do this if you don't want to do hyperparameter optimization
 - Isn't actually useful once you've already set your parameters
- Typical place to use: distributed computation

Parallelism for ensembling

• Just like before, run multiple copies of the whole algorithm independently, and use them to produce an ensemble classifier



- Problems:
 - Can't do this if you don't want to train an ensemble classifier
 - Now the difficulty for learning
- Typical place to use: distributed computation

What about our other methods?

- We can speed up all our methods with parallel computing
 - Minibatching has a particularly close connection with parallelism
 - SVRG
 - Momentum
- And any **SGD-like algorithm** lets us use the same ways to extract parallelism from it
 - Things like gradient descent, stochastic coordinate descent, stochastic gradient Langevin dynamics, and many others.

Asynchronous Parallelism

Limits on parallel performance

- Synchronization
 - Have to synchronize to keep the workers aware of each other's updates to the model otherwise can introduce errors
- Synchronization can be very expensive
 - Have to stop all the workers and wait for the slowest one
 - Have to wait for several round-trip times through a high-latency channel
- Is there something we can do about this?

Idea: Just Don't Synchronize

- Not synchronizing adds errors due to race conditions
- But our methods were already noisy maybe these errors are fine
- If we don't synchronize, get almost perfect parallel speedup

Fast Parallel SGD: HOGWILD!

Multiple parallel workers



The Parameter Server Model

Parameter server model

• A common model for distributed ML



Parameter server (continued)

- The parameter server holds the central copy of the weights
- Each worker computes gradients on minibatches the data
 Then sends those gradients back to the parameter server
- Periodically, the worker pulls an updated copy of the weights from the parameter server.
- All this can be done **asynchronously**.

Questions?

- Upcoming things
 - Paper Review #6a or #6b due today
 - Programming Assignment 2 due today
 - Paper Presentation #7a and #7b on Wednesday