# Constraints and Games

# Sanjiban Choudhury











Linear (LQR)



### Model-Predictive Control

- Continuously optimizes trajectory subject to nonlinear momentum dynamics
- Solve for future kinematic configurations
- Leverages optimized code and problem structure for speed



NeurIPS 2020: RL Workshop





var. index



Cost

var. index



Any real world robot has to obey hard constraints from physics, safety, legal, ...

### Constraints

### Non-Linear (iLQR)

Linear (LQR)









# Think-Pair-Share!

Think (30 sec): What are *hard* constraints for a self-driving car navigating an intersection?

### Pair: Find a partner

Share (45 sec): Partners exchange ideas





# So ... How do we deal with these constraints?







Re-parameterization: The quick 'n' easy way to solve constraints!

44.450



# Example: Swing up using iLQR





# How do we enforce a torque limit? Torque limit

 $\tau_{\min} \leq \tau \leq \tau_{\max}$ 



# Idea: Reformulate the variables so the constraint must be satisfied

 $\tau_{min} \leq \tau \leq \tau_{max}$ 





# Recipe for Re-parameterization



### Such that



 $x^* = \arg\min f(x)$ 

### (Unconstrained objective)





# Recipe for Re-parameterization $x^* = \arg\min_{x} f(x)$ s.t. $x \in X_{feasible}$

Step 1: Reformulate the variables so the constraint must be satisfied

$$x = g(z)$$
 w

Step 2: Solve the unconstrained optimization problem in z!

Step 3: Plug in  $z^*$  to get constrained optimal solution  $x^* = g(z^*)$ 

### where $z \in [-\infty, \infty]$







# Fun Fact: Dynamics is form of re-parameterization

Think about how you would deal with dynamics in a non-reparametrization fashion ...

### $x_{t+1} - f(x_t, u_t) = 0$

### $x_{t+1} = f(f(\dots, f(x_0, u_0), \dots, u_{t-1}), u_t)$





# ... when does re-parameterization fail?



# Failure 1: Stuck on the far side of the sigmoid



### Let's say z is very high







### Failure 2: Constraints too complex to re-parameterize



### Such that





Hang on .... Why not put a really really really high cost for violating constraints?









 $\min_{x} \quad f(x)$ g(x) = 0

### Seems easy to implement ... what could possibly go wrong?





 $2(x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$ **s.t.**  $x_1 - x_2 = 0$ 



# Activity: Apply Penalty Method!







### min x

f(x)

g(x) = 0





### V1: A statement on the gradient

 $\left. \nabla_{x} f(x) \right|_{x = x^{*}} = \lambda \left. \nabla_{x} g(x) \right|_{x = x^{*}}$ 





### V1: A statement on the gradient

A saddle point

### $\max\min f(x) - \lambda^T g(x)$ X





### V1: A statement on the gradient

V2: A saddle point

V3: A game (We will adopt this view)









A general theme in optimization is that it can be more efficient to phrase a problem as a saddle-point-finding exercise rather than as a difficult, pure optimization.



# Dual Game: We control lambdas!

### Dual $\lambda$



 $\min_{x} \max_{\lambda} f(x) - \lambda^T g(x)$ 

 $\mathcal{X}_1$ 

 $X_{\gamma}$ 

### Primal *x*





# Let's play this game!









Dual player is too aggressive ...



# Stably change $\lambda$

# Follow the Regularized Leader!

# Specific FTRL: Gradient Descent



# Augmented Lagrangian $\lim_{x} \frac{\min f(x)}{g(x) = 0}$

### For t = 1 ... T



Update x<sub>t</sub>





 $x_{t+1} = \arg\min f(x) - \lambda_t^T g(x) + \eta g(x)^2$ 

### (Augmentation)

 $\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t - \eta g(x_t)$ 







# tl,dr





# Dual player is too aggressive ...



