

Topoi

Ross Tate

November 30, 2014

Definition. Given an object C and subobjects $m_1 : S_1 \hookrightarrow C$ and $m_2 : S_2 \hookrightarrow C$, define $m_1 \subseteq_C m_2$ to be $\exists f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$. $m_1 = f ; m_2$.

Theorem. \subseteq_C is a preorder on the subobjects of C .

Definition. Given an object C of a topos, define $\mathbf{true}_C : C \rightarrow \Omega$ to be $\langle \rangle_C ; \mathbf{true}$.

Exercise 1. Given an object C of a topos and subobjects $m_1 : S_1 \hookrightarrow C$ and $m_2 : S_2 \hookrightarrow C$, prove that $m_1 \subseteq_C m_2$ holds if and only if $m_1 ; \chi_{m_2}$ equals \mathbf{true}_{S_1} .

Proof. Suppose $m_1 \subseteq_C m_2$ holds. Let $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ be a morphism proving this property. Then $m_1 ; \chi_{m_2}$ equals $f ; m_2 ; \chi_{m_2}$, which equals $f ; \langle \rangle_{S_1} ; \mathbf{true}$, which equals $\langle \rangle_{S_2} ; \mathbf{true}$, which is the definition of \mathbf{true}_{S_1} .

Suppose $m_1 ; \chi_{m_2}$ equals \mathbf{true}_{S_1} . Then the fact that m_2 is a pullback of χ_{m_2} and \mathbf{true} implies there exists a morphism $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that m_1 equals $f ; m_2$. Thus, f demonstrates that $m_1 \subseteq_C m_2$ holds. \square

Definition. Given an object C and a morphism $p : C \rightarrow \Omega$, let $m_p : S_p \hookrightarrow C$ be the (unique up to isomorphism) subobject produced by the pullback of \mathbf{true} and p .

Exercise 2. Given an object C of a topos and subobjects $m_1 : S_1 \hookrightarrow C$ and $m_2 : S_2 \hookrightarrow C$, let $p : C \rightarrow \Omega$ be defined as $\langle \chi_{m_1}, \chi_{m_2} \rangle ; \wedge$. Prove that m_p is the meet of m_1 and m_2 with respect to the preorder \subseteq_C . Hint: take advantage of the following theorem.

Theorem. Given any commuting diagram of the following form (minus the dashed line), if the outer $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}]$ is a pullback square and the lower $[C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}]$ is a pullback square, then the upper $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, C, \mathcal{D}]$ using the uniquely induced dashed line is also a pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

Proof. Apply the above theorem to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_p & \xrightarrow{m_p} & C \\ \langle \rangle \downarrow \dashrightarrow & & \downarrow \langle \chi_{m_1}, \chi_{m_2} \rangle \\ \top & \xrightarrow{\langle \mathbf{true}, \mathbf{true} \rangle} & \Omega \& \Omega \\ \downarrow id_{\top} & & \downarrow \wedge \\ \top & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{true}} & \Omega \end{array}$$

Because the upper square commutes, we have $m_p ; \chi_{m_1} = m_p ; \langle \chi_{m_1}, \chi_{m_2} \rangle ; \pi_1 = \langle \rangle ; \langle \mathbf{true}, \mathbf{true} \rangle ; \pi_1 = \mathbf{true}_{S_p}$, so by the prior exercise $m_p \subseteq_C m_1$ holds. Similarly, $m_p \subseteq_C m_2$ holds. Thus m_p is a subset of both m_1 and m_2 .

Next, suppose there is some subobject $m : S \hookrightarrow C$ such that $m \subseteq_C m_1$ and $m \subseteq_C m_2$ hold. Then, by the prior exercise, $m ; \langle \chi_{m_1}, \chi_{m_2} \rangle ; \pi_i = m ; \chi_{m_i} = \langle \rangle ; \mathbf{true} = \langle \rangle ; \langle \mathbf{true}, \mathbf{true} \rangle ; \pi_i$ for both $i \in \{1, 2\}$, which implies $m ; \langle \chi_{m_1}, \chi_{m_2} \rangle$ equals $\langle \rangle ; \langle \mathbf{true}, \mathbf{true} \rangle$ by property of products. Because the upper square is a pullback, this implies there exists a morphism $f : S \rightarrow S_p$ with the property that m equals $f ; m_p$, proving that $m \subseteq_C m_p$ holds. \square