Lecture 5: Requirements I

Lecture goals

- 1. Document verifiable requirements
- 2. Elicit requirements from stakeholders

Purpose of requirements

- What should a product do?
- What should a product not do?
- How is a product constrained?
- Take client's perspective
 - Meeting requirements should provide meaningful visibility
 - Not about design "what", not "how"
- How should a product be tested?
- Risks of insufficient requirements documentation
 - Client dissatisfaction
 - Late discovery/rework
 - o Poor design tradeoffs
- Code is not a specification

Top reasons for project failure

- See The Chaos Report (1994) from The Standish Group
- 70% of projects failed because developers built the wrong system (reasons related to requirements and client interactions)

Requirements engineering steps

- 1. Analysis: Establish functionality in consultation with stakeholders
- 2. Modeling: Organize requirements systematically
- 3. Definition: Record and communicate precise requirements

Heavyweight vs. Lightweight

- Heavyweight
 - o Gather most requirements upfront

- Document requirements formally
- Lightweight
 - Start with system-level requirements
 - o Expand and refine requirements iteratively (e.g. for each sprint)
 - Continual client interaction
 - o Requirement still exist and should still be documented

Types of requirements

- Functional
 - What a product should do
 - What a product should not do
 - Can be verified locally
- Non-functional
 - Aka "quality requirements"
 - Property of system as a whole
- Constraints
 - Limits how the system can be built

Validation & verification

- Validation
 - "Are you building the right thing?"
 - Would a system satisfying all of the requirements (and nothing else) meet the business need?
 - Are assumptions in models consistent with reality?
 - Involve client
 - User testing
 - Acceptance testing
- Verification
 - o "Did you build it right?"
 - Implementations should be verified against requirements
 - Design can be verified by analysis
 - Process can be verified by audits
 - Testing
 - Can define pass/fail criteria based on previous step

Requirements definition

- Audience: Client AND developers
- CS 5150: Use future report/presentation to validate requirements with client
 - o "Our understanding of your requirements is that ..."

Writing good requirements

- Must be verifiable
 - o Can it be measured?
 - Use proxy measurements if needed
 - o Are tolerances specified?
 - o Can you design a test?
 - Include pass/fail criteria
 - o Is it feasible? (to implement AND to verify)
- Must relate to client-relevant behavior
- Use consistent wording
 - o "Shall"
 - o "Should" if there are exceptions
 - Consistent names for actors, interactions, events
- Use appropriate format
 - o Flow chart, decision table, ...
- Provide rationale
 - o Link to requirements being derived from or depended on

Tracking and tracing

- Objective: facilitate verification, validation, revision
- Complete list
- Unique identifier
- Organized, cross-linked
- Linked to verification activities
 - Separate document (e.g. verification matrix)
- Change review procedure

Analysis

• Check for completeness, consistency

Stakeholder and viewpoint analysis

- Identify who is affected by the system
 - o Client
 - Customers
 - Users (many categories)
 - Administrators
 - Maintainers
- Effort often not proportional to utilization
 - E.g. administrative capabilities are often much larger than user capabilities

Eliciting requirements: interviews

- Difficult, but essential
- Tips:
 - Allow plenty of time
 - o Prepare before meeting client
 - Keep full notes
 - o Clarify what you do not understand
 - Define domain-specific terminology
 - Repeat what you hear
- Consider all stakeholders
- Ask questions
 - "Why do you do things this way?"
 - "Is this essential?"
 - Be wary impact may not be obvious
 - o "What are the alternatives?"

Negotiation and prioritization

- Conflicts, and difficulties affecting cost and schedule, must be resolved with client
 - o Help client understand the tradeoffs

- o Be open to suggestions
- Incremental delivery (e.g. Agile sprints) encourages regular prioritization

To be continued...