

## Review of Sep 26

Duality of linear classifiers

Primal:  $w, b$

Dual:  $\alpha, b$

Classification rule:  $h(x) = \text{sign}(w \cdot x + b) = \text{sign}\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i (x_i \cdot x)}_{= w \cdot x} + b\right)$

Training Primal

$$P(w, b, \xi) = \min_{w, b, \xi \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} w \cdot w + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

s.t.  $y_1 (w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$   
 $\vdots$   
 $y_m (w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_m$

Training Dual

$$D(\alpha) = \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i \cdot x_j)$$

s.t.  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 0$

Strong Duality: for any feasible  $w, b, \xi, \alpha$  then  $P(w, b, \xi) \geq D(\alpha)$   
 if  $w^*, b^*, \xi^*, \alpha^*$  that are solutions  $P(w^*, b^*, \xi^*) = D(\alpha^*)$

Theorem:  $\text{err}_{\text{test}}(\text{SVM}) \leq \frac{1}{n} |\{i : \alpha_i > 0\}| = \text{fraction of Support Vectors}$

Theorem:  $\text{err}_{100}(\text{SVM}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^2}{\gamma^2}$  for homogeneous hard-margin SVM

Lemma (hard-margin homogeneous SVM): If  $(x_i, y_i)$  is a leave-one-out error, then  $\alpha_i R^2 \geq 1$ .

Proof:  $\text{err}_{100}(\text{SVM}) \leq \frac{1}{n} |\{i : \alpha_i R^2 \geq 1\}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i R^2 = \frac{1}{n} R^2 w \cdot w \quad \left( \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^2}{\gamma^2} \right) \square$

Note:  $P(w^*) = D(\alpha^*) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} w \cdot w = \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i \cdot x_j)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow w \cdot w = \sum \alpha_i$   
 $= \frac{1}{2} w \cdot w$

Note:  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\|w\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w \cdot w}}$

Extending the feature space



Example:  $k(x, z) = \lambda k_1(x, z) + (1 - \lambda) k_2(x, z)$

Symmetric: Yes, since Gram matrices  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are symmetric

Pos semi-definite:

$$\forall d_1 \dots d_m: \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j k_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j k_{ij} &= \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j (\lambda k_1(x, z) + (1 - \lambda) k_2(x, z)) \\ &= \underbrace{\lambda \sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j k_1(x, z)}_{\geq 0} + (1 - \lambda) \underbrace{\sum_i \sum_j d_i d_j k_2(x, z)}_{\geq 0} \end{aligned}$$