Modeling Sequence Data

CS4780/5780 – Machine Learning Fall 2012

> Thorsten Joachims Cornell University

> > Reading:

Manning/Schuetze, Sections 9.1-9.3 (except 9.3.1) Leeds Online HMM Tutorial (except Forward and Forward/Backward Algorithm) (<u>http://www.comp.leeds.ac.uk/roger/HiddenMarkovModels/html_dev/main.html</u>)

Outline

- Markov Models in Classification
 - A "less naïve" Bayes for text classification
- Hidden Markov Models
 - Part-of-speech tagging
 - Viterbi Algorithm
 - Estimation with fully observed training data

"Less Naïve" Bayes Classifier

• Example: Classify sentences as insulting / not insulting

textInsult? $\bar{x}_1 = (Peter, is, nice, and, not, stupid)$ -1 $\bar{x}_2 = (Peter, is, not, nice, and, stupid)$ +1

• Assumption (I words in document)

$$- P(X = x | Y = +1)$$

$$= P(W_1 = w_1 | Y = +1) \prod_{i=2}^{l} P(W_i = w_i | W_{i-1} = w_{i-1}, Y = +1)$$

$$- P(X = x | Y = -1)$$

$$= P(W_1 = w_1 | Y = -1) \prod_{i=2}^{n} P(W_i = w_i | W_{i-1} = w_{i-1}, Y = -1)$$

• Decision Rule $h_{less}(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{+1,-1\}} \left\{ P(Y=y) P(W_1 = w_1 | Y = y) \prod_{i=2}^{l} P(W_i = w_i | W_{i-1} = w_{i-1}, Y = y) \right\}$

Markov Model

- Definition
 - Set of States: s_1, \dots, s_k
 - Start probabilities: $P(S_1=s)$
 - Transition probabilities: $P(S_i=s \mid S_{i-1}=s')$
- Random walk on graph
 - Start in state s with probability $P(S_1=s)$
 - Move to next state with probability $P(S_i=s \mid S_{i-1}=s')$
- Assumptions
 - Limited dependence: Next state depends only on previous state, but no other state (i.e. first order Markov model)
 - Stationary: $P(S_i=s \mid S_{i-1}=s')$ is the same for all i

Part-of-Speech Tagging Task

 Assign the correct part of speech (word class) to each word in a document

"The/DT planet/NN Jupiter/NNP and/CC its/PRP moons/NNS are/VBP in/IN effect/NN a/DT mini-solar/JJ system/NN ,/, and/CC Jupiter/NNP itself/PRP is/VBZ often/RB called/VBN a/DT star/NN that/IN never/RB caught/VBN fire/NN ./."

- Needed as an initial processing step for a number of language technology applications
 - Information extraction
 - Answer extraction in QA
 - Base step in identifying syntactic phrases for IR systems
 - Critical for word-sense disambiguation (WordNet apps)

— ...

Why is POS Tagging Hard?

- Ambiguity
 - He will race/VB the car.
 - When will the race/NN end?
 - I bank/VB at CFCU.
 - Go to the bank/NN!
- Average of ~2 parts of speech for each word
 - The number of tags used by different systems varies a lot. Some systems use < 20 tags, while others use > 400.

The POS Learning Problem

• Example

sentence	POS
$\bar{x}_1 = (I, bank, at, CFCU)$	$\overline{y}_1 = (PRP, V, PREP, N)$
$\bar{x}_2 = (Go, to, the, bank)$	$\bar{y}_2 = (V, PREP, DET, N)$

Hidden Markov Model for POS Tagging

• States

- Think about as nodes of a graph
- One for each POS tag
- special start state (and maybe end state)
- Transitions
 - Think about as directed edges in a graph
 - Edges have transition probabilities
- Output
 - Each state also produces a word of the sequence
 - Sentence is generated by a walk through the graph

Hidden Markov Model

- States: $y \in \{s_1, ..., s_k\}$
- Outputs symbols: $x \in \{o_1, ..., o_m\}$
- Starting probability P(Y₁ = y₁)
 Specifies where the sequence starts
- Transition probability P(Y_i = y_i | Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1})
 Probability that one states succeeds another
- Output/Emission probability P(X_i = x_i | Y_i = y_i)
 Probability that word is generated in this state
- => Every output+state sequence has a probability

$$P(x, y) = P(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_1, \dots, y_l)$$

= $P(y_1)P(x_1|y_1) \prod_{i=2}^{l} P(x_i|y_i)P(y_i|y_{i-1})$

Estimating the Probabilities

- Given: Fully observed data
 - Pairs of output sequence with their state sequence
- Estimating transition probabilities P(Y_i | Y_{i-1})

 $P(Y_i = a | Y_{i-1} = b) = \frac{\# \text{ of times state a follows state b}}{\# \text{ of times state b occurs}}$

• Estimating emission probabilities P(X_i|Y_i)

 $P(X_i = a | Y_i = b) = \frac{\text{\# of times output a is observed in state b}}{\text{\# of times state b occurs}}$

- Smoothing the estimates
 - Laplace smoothing -> uniform prior
 - See naïve Bayes for text classification
- Partially observed data
 - Expectation Maximization (EM)

Viterbi Example

$P(X_i Y_i)$	I	bank	at	CFCU	go	to	the
DET	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.94
PRP	0.94	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Ν	0.01	0.4	0.01	0.4	0.16	0.01	0.01
PREP	0.01	0.01	0.48	0.01	0.01	0.47	0.01
V	0.01	0.4	0.01	0.01	0.55	0.01	0.01

P(Y ₁)		$P(Y_{i} Y_{i-1})$	DET	PRP	N	PREP	V
DET	0.3	DET	0.01	0.01	0.96	0.01	0.01
PRP	0.3	PRP	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.2	0.77
Ν	0.1	N	0.01	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.19
PREP	0.1	PREP	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.19	0.01
V	0.2	V	0.2	0.19	0.3	0.3	0.01

HMM Decoding: Viterbi Algorithm

- Question: What is the most likely state sequence given an output sequence
 - Given fully specified HMM:

•
$$P(Y_1 = y_1),$$

• $P(Y_i = y_i | Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1}),$
• $P(X_i = x_i | Y_i = y_i)$
- Find $y^* = \underset{y \in \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_1, \dots, y_l)$

$$= \underset{y \in \{y_1, \dots, y_l\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left\{ P(y_1) P(x_1 | y_1) \prod_{i=2}^l P(x_i | y_i) P(y_i | y_{i-1}) \right\}$$

- "Viterbi" algorithm has runtime linear in length of sequence
- Example: find the most likely tag sequence for a given sequence of words

HMM's for POS Tagging

- Design HMM structure (vanilla)
 - States: one state per POS tag
 - Transitions: fully connected
 - Emissions: all words observed in training corpus
- Estimate probabilities
 - Use corpus, e.g. Treebank
 - Smoothing
 - Unseen words?
- Tagging new sentences
 - Use Viterbi to find most likely tag sequence

Experimental Results

Tagger	Accuracy	Training time	Prediction time
HMM	96.80%	20 sec	18.000 words/s
TBL Rules	96.47%	9 days	750 words/s

- Experiment setup
 - WSJ Corpus
 - Trigram HMM model
 - Lexicalized
 - from [Pla and Molina, 2001]

Discriminative vs. Generative

- Bayes Rule $h_{bayes}(x) = \underset{y \in Y}{\operatorname{argmax}} [P(Y = y | X = x)]$ = $\underset{y \in Y}{\operatorname{argmax}} [P(X = x | Y = y)P(Y = y)]$
- Generative:
 - Make assumptions about P(X = x | Y = y) and P(Y = y)
 - Estimate parameters of the two distributions
- Discriminative:
 - Define set of prediction rules (i.e. hypotheses) H
 - Find h in H that best approximates the classifications made by

$$h_{\text{bayes}}(x) = \underset{y \in Y}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left[P(Y = y | X = x) \right]$$

- Question: Can we train HMM's discriminately?
 - Later in semester: discriminative training of HMM and general structured prediction.