AI Methodology

Theoretical aspects

Mathematical formalizations, properties, algorithms

Engineering aspects

- The act of building (useful) machines

Empirical science

Experiments

What's involved in Intelligence?

A) Ability to interact with the real world

to perceive, understand, and act speech recognition and understanding (natural language) image understanding (computer vision)

B) Reasoning and Planning

CS4700

modeling the external world problem solving, planning, and decision making ability to deal with unexpected problems, uncertainties

C) Learning and Adaptation

Lots of data. Use to train statistical models. We are continuously learning and adapting. We want systems that adapt to us!

Al leverages from different disciplines

philosophy

e.g., foundational issues (can a machine think?), issues of knowledge and believe, mutual knowledge

psychology and cognitive science

e.g., problem solving skills

neuro-science

e.g., brain architecture

computer science and engineering

e.g., complexity theory, algorithms, logic and inference, programming languages, and system building.

mathematics and physics

e.g., statistical modeling, continuous mathematics, statistical physics, and complex systems.

Historical Perspective

Obtaining an understanding of the human mind is one of the final frontiers of modern science.

Founders:

George Boole, Gottlob Frege, and Alfred Tarski

formalizing the laws of human thought

Alan Turing, John von Neumann, and Claude Shannon

thinking as computation

John McCarthy (Stanford), Marvin Minsky (MIT), Herbert Simon and Allen Newell (CMU)

the start of the field of AI (1956)

History of AI: The gestation of AI 1943-1956

1943 McCulloch and Pitts

- McCulloch and Pitts' model of artificial neurons
- Minsky's 40-neuron network
- 1950 Turing's "Computing machinery and intelligence"
- 1950s Early AI programs, including Samuel's checkers program, Newell and Simon's Logic theorist
- 1956 Dartmouth meeting: Birth of "Artificial Intelligence"
 - 2-month Dartmouth workshop; 10 attendees
 - Name was chosen. AI

History of AI:

(1952-1969)

1957 Herb Simon: Early enthusiasm, great expectations

It is not my aim to surprise or shock you – but the simplest way I can summarize is to say that there are now in the world machines that think, that learn, and that create. ☺


1958 John McCarthy's LISP (symbol processing at core)

1965 J.A. Robinson invents the resolution principle, basis for automated theorem. General reasoning procedure.


Limited intelligent reasoning in microworlds (such as the "blocks world" --- a toy robotics domain)

The Blocks World


gripper



(a) "Pick up a big red block."




(b) "Find a block which is taller than the one you are holding and put it into the box."



(c) "Will you please stack up both of the red blocks and either a green cube or a pyramid?"

"Brainy, Yes, but Far From Handy" New York Times 09/01/14

Making dexterous hands with human-level touch and sensing still a real challenge. <u>Link.</u>



Stacking blocks may seem like an easy task for a human, but robots have long struggled with such fine control. HDT's Adroit manipulator uses force-sensing and vision to accomplish the delicate task.

Dynamic human touch — for example, when a finger slides across a surface — could distinguish ridges no higher than 13 nanometers, or about 0.0000005 of an inch. Individual molecules...

... if your finger were as big as the earth, it could feel the difference between a car and a house.

History of AI

A dose of reality (1965 - 1978)

1) Weizenbaum's ELIZA ("fools" users)

Capturing general knowledge is hard.

2) Difficulties in automated translation See Babelfish

Syntax and dictionaries are not enough Consider going from English to Russian back to English.

"The spirit is willing but the flesh is weak."

"The vodka is good but the meat is rotten."

Natural language processing (NLP) is hard. (Ambiguity! Context! Anaphora resolution.)

History of AI

 $W_1X_1 + W_2X_2 + W_0$

A dose of reality, cont. (1965 - 1978)

3) Cars climbing up trees (at CMU)...

Road sides look like parallel lines.

But, unfortunately, so do trees!

Computer vision is hard. (Ambiguity! Context! Noisy pixels.)

4) Limitations of perceptrons discovered Minsky and Papert (1969)

Can only represent linearly separable functions

Neural network research almost disappears

Machine learning is hard.

5) Intractability of inference. NP-Completeness (Cook 72)
Intractability of many problems attempted in AI.
Worst-case result....

Machine reasoning is hard.

History of AI

Knowledge based systems (1969-79)

Intelligence requires knowledge

Knowledge-based systems (lots of knowledge with limited but fast reasoning) (Feigenbaum)

versus

general "weak" methods (a few basic principles with general reasoning)

(Simon and Newell)

Some success: Expert Systems

- Mycin: diagnose blood infections (medical domain)
- R1 : configuring computer systems
- AT&T phone switch configuration

Knowledge in rules of form:

If sympton_1 & sympton_3 then disease_2 (with certainty .8)

Surprising insight:
Modeling medical
expert easier than
modeling
language / vision /
reasoning of
3 year old.
(not foreseen)

Expert Systems

Very expensive to code. (\$1M+)

Response: Try to learn knowledge from data.

Weak with uncertain inputs / noisy data / partial information

Response: Incorporate probabilistic reasoning

Brittle! (fail drastically outside domain)

Leads to 1980 - 1995:

- --- General foundations reconsidered
- --- Foundations of machine learning established (e.g. computational learning theory; PAC learning; statistical learning)
- --- Foundations of probabilistic formalisms: Bayesian reasoning; graphical models; mixed logical and probabilistic formalisms.

From 1995 onward:

- --- Data revolution combined with statistical methods
- --- Building actual systems
- --- Human world expert performance matched (and exceeded) in certain domains

History of AI: 1995 - present

Several success stories with high impact ...

Machine Learning


In '95, TD-Gammon.



World-champion level play by Neural Network that learned from scratch by playing millions and millions of *games against itself!* (about 4 months of training. Temporal-Difference learning.)

(initial games hundreds of moves)
Has changed human play.

Remaining open question: Why does this NOT work for, e.g., chess??



1996 --- EQP:

"Robbin's Algebras are all Boolean"

A mathematical conjecture (Robbins conjecture) unsolved for 60 years!



First creative mathematical proof by computer.
Contrast with brute-force based proofs such as the 4-color theorem.

The Robbins problem was to determine whether one particular set of rules is powerful enough to capture all of the laws of Boolean algebra.

Mathematically:

Can the equation **not(not(P))** = **P** be derived from the following three equations?

- [1] (P or Q) = (Q or P)
- [2] (P or Q) or R = P or (Q or R),
- [3] not(not(P or Q) or not(P or not(Q))) = P.

[An Argonne lab program] has come up with a major mathematical proof that would have been called creative if a human had thought of it.

New York Times, December, 1996

http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/~mccune/papers/robbins/

ROBBITS CONFERENCE As Eas PROOF French mathematician [Robbins axiom] $\overline{p} + q + \overline{p} + q = q$ $[7 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{p+q}+\overline{p}+q+q=p+q$ $[7 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{\overline{p} + q} + p + q + q = \overline{p} + q$ 11 $[11 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{p} + \overline{q} + p + 2q + \overline{p} + q = q$ $[29 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{p} + \overline{q} + p + 2\overline{q} + \overline{p} + \overline{q} + r + \overline{q} + r = r$ $\overline{p} + \overline{q} + p + 2q + \overline{p} + \overline{q} + \overline{q} + \overline{r} + r + r = \overline{q} + \overline{r}$ $[54 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{\overline{p}+\overline{q}}+p+2\overline{q}+\overline{\overline{p}+\overline{q}}+\overline{q+\overline{r}+r}+r+s+\overline{\overline{q+\overline{r}+s}}=s$ $[217 \rightarrow 7]$ 674 $[10 \rightarrow 674]$ $\overline{3p + p + 3p} + \overline{3p + p} + 5p = \overline{3p + p}$ 6736 $[6736 \rightarrow 7, simp : 54]$ $\overline{3p + p} + 5p = \overline{3p}$ 8855 $[8855 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{\overline{3p} + p} + \overline{3p} + 2p + \overline{3p} = \overline{\overline{3p} + p} + 2p$ 8865 $[8855 \rightarrow 7, simp: 11]$ $\overline{3p + p + 3p} = p$ 8866 $[8866 \rightarrow 7]$ $\overline{3p} + p + \overline{3p} + q + \overline{p+q} = q$ 8870 [8865, simp: 8870] $\overline{3p + p} + 2p = 2p$ 8871 A Baker's Dozen. The key steps in proving the Robbins conjecture, as reported by EQP, an automated theorem-proving program developed by William McCune and colleagues at Argonne National Laboratory. (See Box, "Substitute Teacher," page 63 for details.) WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

The phrase il est repeatedly in the

phrase is common in spelling out details th

matical techniques. words. What Laplace

intense mathematical

was easy to see. Th right combination of

Oddly enough, Lay see how a particular

Could a computer

William McCune, Laboratory in Illinois

proving programs. ?

dicts on a range of pr His most powerful pr

can be every bit as

program considers e:

the program's creato

bolic logic that had posed in the 1930's.

has been solved by described as reasoni

Stanley Burris, a los

Canada. "Now tha

problem, it opens th The Robbins co which are named

(1815-1864), who into algebraic expri

expressed as p+ p + N(q); a logic


"It's a clear lan

Cryptic or not, E announced a solution

Note: Same order of search complexity as performed by Deep Blue per move. Quantative threshold for creativity?

1997:

Deep Blue beats the World Chess Champion



Deep Blue had Kasparov in deep thought (CNN)



I could feel human-level intelligence across the room

Gary Kasparov, World Chess Champion (human...)

Note: when training in self-play, be careful to randomize!

Deep Blue vs. Kasparov



Game 1: 5/3/97: Kasparov wins

Game 2: 5/4/97: Deep Blue wins

Game 3: 5/6/97:

Draw

Game 4: 5/7/97:

Draw

Game 5: 5/10/97:

Draw

Game 6: 5/11/97: Deep Blue wins

The value of IBM's stock increased by \$18 Billion!

We'll discuss Deep Blue's architecture, when we cover *multi-agent search*.

Game 3:

Why did

Kasparov not

simply repeat

moves from

game 1?

On Game 2

Game 2 - Deep Blue took an early lead. Kasparov resigned, but it turned out he could have forced a draw by perpetual check.

Interestingly, if Kasparov had been playing a human he would most likely not have resigned!

This was real chess. This was a game any human grandmaster would have been proud of.

Joel Benjamin grandmaster, member Deep Blue team

Kasparov on Deep Blue

1996: Kasparov Beats Deep Blue

"I could feel --- I could smell --- a new kind of intelligence across the table." (CNN)

1997: Deep Blue Beats Kasparov

"Deep Blue hasn't proven anything." ©

Current strongest play: Computer-Human hybrid

May, '97 --- Deep Blue vs. Kasparov. First match won against world-champion. "intelligent creative" play.

200 million board positions per second!

Kasparov: ... still understood 99.9 of Deep Blue's moves.


Deep Blue considers 60 billion boards per move! Human?

Around 10 to 20 lines of play. Hmm...

Intriguing issue: How does human cognition deal with the search space explosion of chess?

Or how can humans compete with computers at all?? (What does human cognition do? Truly unknown...)

1999: Remote Agent takes Deep Space 1 on a galactic ride



NASA: Autonomous Intelligent Systems.

Engine control next generation spacecrafts.

Automatic planning and execution model.

Fast real-time, on-line performance.

Compiled into 2,000 variable logical reasoning problem.

Contrast: current approach customized software with ground control team. (e.g., Mars mission \$50M.)

Remote Agent:

1999 Winner of NASA's Software of the Year Award

NASA engineers were at first very reluctant. Multi-million dollar mission on the line. On-board computer hardware was very limited till mid-nineties.



It's one small step in the history of space flight. But it was one giant leap for computer-kind, with a state-of-the-art AI system being given primary command of a spacecraft.

Known as Remote Agent,

the software operated NASA's Deep Space 1 spacecraft and its futuristic ion engine during two experiments that started on Monday, May 17, 1999.

For two days, Remote Agent ran on the on-board computer of Deep Space 1, more than 60,000,000 miles (96,500,000 kilometers) from Earth.

The tests were a step toward robotic explorers of the 21st century that are less costly, more capable and more independent from ground control.

http://ic.arc.nasa.gov/projects/remote-agent/index.html

Robocup @ Cornell --- Raff D'Andrea 2000




RoboCup Japan open 2013





Kiva Systems \$700M

From Robocup to Warehouse Automation



2005 Autonomous Control: DARPA GRAND CHALLENGE



October 9, 2005

Stanley and the Stanford RacingTeam were awarded 2 million dollars for being the first team to complete the 132 mile DARPA Grand Challenge course (Mojave Desert). Stanley finished in just under 6 hours 54 minutes and averaged over 19 miles per hours on the course.

Sebastian Thrun:

Google's driverless car (2011)

Cornell team stuck **®** due to malfunctioning GPS.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bp9KBrH8H04



path **planning**


Path Planning Overview

Path planning is the basic process by which our vehicle decides on what path to take through the world. The A.I. uses the world model created by the sensors, the GPS waypoints provided during the race by DARPA, and a road following algorithm to pick a best path.

Road Following

The road following algorithm uses color differences, shadowing, and edge-detection algorithms to detect the sides of a road (if there is a road) and then decides if the road is turning, going straight, which direction, how sharply, etc. The road following algorithm uses input from most of the vehicle sensors, and provides the A.I. with probable road characteristics.

A* algorithm
Covered in
search and
problem solving.



Cornell: 4th!

2007 Darpa Urban Challenge

Also, in historic

Winner: CMU Tartan Racing's Boss

1st autonomous driverless car collision. Rear-ended by MIT car!



Watson: Question-Answering system, 2011



Watson defeats the two greatest Jeopardy! champions

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dr7IxQeXr7g

WATSON



Neural Networks --- Deep Learning, 2012.

New York Times: "Scientists See Promise in Deep-Learning Programs," Saturday, Nov. 24, 2012.

http://www.nytimes.com/2012/11/24/science/scientists-see-advancesin-deep-learning-a-part-of-artificial-intelligence.html?hpw

Multi-layer neural networks, a resurgence!

- a) Winner one of the most recent learning competitions
- b) Automatic (unsupervised) learning of "cat" and "human face" from 10 million of Google images; 16,000 cores 3 days; multilayer neural network (Stanford & Google).
- c) Speech recognition and real-time translation (Microsoft Research, China).

Aside: see web site for great survey article "A Few Useful Things to Know About Machine Learning" by Domingos, CACM, 2012.



Start at min. 3:00. Deep Neural Nets in speech recognition.

Other promising ongoing efforts

- 1) Intelligent autonomous assistants, e.g., iPhone's Siri (still a long way to go ©) Integrated, autonomous agents.

 Google Glass will be the next step. Location / context aware; rich sensing, vision and speech understanding and generation.
- 2) Fully self-driving car (Google; assisted driving Mercedes and BMW --- the cost of a car is becoming software and sensors Incredibly more lines of code in a Mercedes than in a Boeing 747.)
- 2) Google translate. Reaches around 70% of human translator performance. Almost fully a purely statistical approach.

Not clear yet how far one can go without a real understanding of the semantics (meaning). But with Big Data, statistical methods already went much further than many researchers had considered possible only 10 years ago.

Course Administration



What is Artificial Intelligence?



Course Themes, Goals, and Syllabus

Setting expectations for this course

Are you going to build real systems and robots?

Goal:

Introduce the conceptual framework and computational techniques that serve as a foundation for the field of artificial intelligence (AI).

Syllabus

- Structure of intelligent agents and environments.
- Problem solving by search: principles of search, uninformed ("blind") search, informed ("heuristic") search, and local search.
- Constraint satisfaction problems: definition, search and inference, and study of structure.
- Adversarial search: games, optimal strategies, imperfect, real-time decisions.
- Logical agents: propositional and first order logic, knowledge bases and inference.
- Uncertainty and probabilistic reasoning: probability concepts, Bayesian networks, probabilistic reasoning over time, and decision making.
- Learning: inductive learning, concept formation, decision tree learning, statistical approaches, neural networks, reinforcement learning.

So far, we discussed

Artificial Intelligence and characteristics of intelligent systems.

Brief history of AI

Major recent AI achievements

Reading: Chapter 1 Russell & Norvig