## Epipolar geometry contd.

## Estimating F-8-point algorithm

- The fundamental matrix F is defined by

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}=0
$$

for any pair of matches $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in two images.

- Let $x=(u, v, 1)^{\top}$ and $x^{\prime}=\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, 1\right)^{\top}$,

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\
f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\
f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

each match gives a linear equation

$$
u u^{\prime} f_{11}+v u^{\prime} f_{12}+u^{\prime} f_{13}+u v^{\prime} f_{21}+v v^{\prime} f_{22}+v^{\prime} f_{23}+u f_{31}+v f_{32}+f_{33}=0
$$

## 8-point algorithm



- In reality, instead of solvingAf $=0$, we seek $\mathbf{f}$ to minimize $\|\mathbf{A f}\|$, least eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}$.


## 8-point algorithm - Problem?

- $F$ should have rank 2
- To enforce that $\mathbf{F}$ is of rank $2, \mathrm{~F}$ is replaced by $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ that minimizes $\left\|\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\right\|$ subject to the rank constraint.
- This is achieved by SVD. Let $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ where

$$
\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{3}
\end{array}\right] \text {, let } \Sigma^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the solution.

## Recovering camera parameters

 from F / E- Can we recover $R$ and $t$ between the cameras from F?

$$
F=K_{2}^{-T}[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R K_{1}^{-1}
$$

- No: $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are in principle arbitrary matrices
- What if we knew $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ to be identity?

$$
E=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R
$$

Recovering camera parameters from E
$E=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R$
$\mathbf{t}^{T} E=\mathbf{t}^{T}[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R=0$
$E^{T} \mathbf{t}=0$

- $\mathbf{t}$ is a solution to $\mathrm{E}^{\top} \mathbf{x}=0$
- Can't distinguish between $\mathbf{t}$ and ct for constant scalar c
- How do we recover R?

Recovering camera parameters from E
$E=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R$

- We know E and t
- Consider taking SVD of E and $[\mathrm{t}]_{\mathrm{X}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\mathbf{t}]_{\times}=U \Sigma V^{T}} \\
E=U^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} V^{\prime T} \\
U^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} V^{\prime T}=E=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R=U \Sigma V^{T} R \\
U^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} V^{\prime T}=U \Sigma V^{T} R \\
V^{\prime T}=V^{T} R
\end{gathered}
$$

Recovering camera parameters from E
$E=[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R$
$\mathbf{t}^{T} E=\mathbf{t}^{T}[\mathbf{t}]_{\times} R=0$
$E^{T} \mathbf{t}=0$

- $\mathbf{t}$ is a solution to $\mathrm{E}^{\top} \mathbf{x}=0$
- Can't distinguish between $\mathbf{t}$ and ct for constant scalar c


## 8-point algorithm

- Pros: it is linear, easy to implement and fast
- Cons: susceptible to noise
- Degenerate: if points are on same plane
- Normalized 8-point algorithm: Hartley
- Position origin at centroid of image points
- Rescale coordinates so that center to farthest point is sqrt (2)


# Other approaches to obtaining 3D structure 

## Active stereo with structured light



- Project "structured" light patterns onto the object
- simplifies the correspondence problem
- Allows us to use only one camera

L. Zhang, B. Curless, and S. M. Seitz. Rapid Shape Acquisition Using Color Structured Light and Multi-pass Dynamic Programming. 3DPVT 2002


## Active stereo with structured light


L. Zhang, B. Curless, and S. M. Seitz. Rapid Shape Acquisition Using Color Structured Light and Multi-pass Dynamic Programming. 3DPVT 2002

Microsoft Kinect


## Light and geometry

## Till now: 3D structure from multiple cameras

- Problems:
- requires calibrated cameras
- requires correspondence
- Other cues to 3D structure?



## What does 3D structure mean?

- We have been talking about the depth of a pixel



## What does 3D structure mean?

- But we can also look at the orientation of the surface at each pixel: surface normal



## Shading is a cue to surface orientation



## Modeling Image Formation



Now we need to reason about:

- How light interacts with the scene
- How a pixel value is related to light energy in the world

Track a "ray" of light all the way from light source to the sensor

## How does light interact with the scene?

- Light is a bunch of photons
- Photons are energy packets
- Light starts from the light source, is reflected / absorbed by surfaces and lands on the camera
- Two key quantities:
- Irradiance
- Radiance


## Radiance

- How do we measure the "strength" of a beam of light?
- Idea: put a sensor and see how much energy it gets



## Radiance

- How do we measure the "strength" of a beam of light?
- Radiance: power in a particular direction per unit area when surface is orthogonal to direction



## Radiance

- Pixels measure radiance



## Where do the rays come from?

- Rays from the light source "reflect" off a surface and reach camera
- Reflection: Surface absorbs light energy and radiates it back



## Irradiance

- Radiance measures the energy of a light beam
- But what is the energy received by a surface?
- Depends on the area of the surface and the orientation

$A \cos \theta$



## Irradiance

- Power received by a surface patch
- of area A
- from a beam of radiance $L$
- coming at angle $\theta=\mathrm{LA} \cos \theta$



## Irradiance

- Power received by a surface patch of unit area
- from a beam of radiance $L$
- coming at angle $\theta=\mathrm{L} \cos \theta$
- Called Irradiance
- Irradiance = Radiance of ray* $\cos \theta$



## Light rays interacting with a surface

- Light of radiance $L_{i}$ comes from light source at an incoming direction $\theta_{i}$
- It sends out a ray of radiance $L_{r}$ in the outgoing direction $\theta_{r}$
- How does $L_{r}$ relate to $L_{i}$ ?

- $\mathbf{N}$ is surface normal
- $\mathbf{L}$ is direction of light, making $\theta_{i}$ with normal
- $\mathbf{V}$ is viewing direction, making $\theta_{r}$ with normal


## Light rays interacting with a surface



- $\mathbf{N}$ is surface normal
- $\mathbf{L}$ is direction of light, making $\theta_{i}$ with normal
- $\mathbf{V}$ is viewing direction, making $\theta_{r}$ with normal

Output radiance along V


## Light rays interacting with a surface



$$
L_{r}=\rho\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{r}\right) L_{i} \cos \theta_{i}
$$

- Special case 1: Perfect mirror
- $\rho\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{r}\right)=0$ unless $\theta_{i}=\theta_{r}$
- Special case 2: Matte surface
- $\rho\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{r}\right)=\rho_{0}$ (constant)


## Special case 1: Perfect mirror

- $\rho\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{r}\right)=0$ unless $\theta_{i}=\theta_{r}$
- Also called "Specular surfaces"
- Reflects light in a single, particular direction



## Special case 2: Matte surface

- $\rho\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{r}\right)=\rho_{0}$
- Also called "Lambertian surfaces"
- Reflected light is independent of viewing direction



## Lambertian surfaces

- For a lambertian surface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{r}=\rho L_{i} \cos \theta_{i} \\
& \Rightarrow L_{r}=\rho L_{i} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\rho$ is called albedo

- Think of this as paint
- High albedo: white colored surface
- Low albedo: black surface
- Varies from point to point


## Lambertian surfaces

- Assume the light is directional: all rays from light source are parallel
- Equivalent to a light source infinitely far away
- All pixels get light from the same direction $L$ and of the same intensity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$


## Lambertian surfaces



## Lambertian surfaces



## Lambertian surfaces

## Far



## Reconstructing Lambertian

 surfaces$$
I(x, y)=\rho(x, y) L_{i} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{N}(x, y)
$$

- Equation is a constraint on albedo and normals
- Can we solve for albedo and normals?


## Solution 1: Shape from Shading

$$
I(x, y)=\rho(x, y) L_{i} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{N}(x, y)
$$

- Assume $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is 1
- Assume Lis known
- Assume some normals known
- Assume surface smooth: normals change slowly

In practice, SFS doesn' t work very well: assumptions are too restrictive, too much ambiguity in nontrivial scenes.

