All about convolution

Last time: Convolution and crosscorrelation

Cross correlation

$$S[f] = w \otimes f$$

$$S[f](m,n) = \sum_{i=-k}^{k} \sum_{j=-k}^{k} w(i,j)f(m+i,n+j)$$

Convolution

$$S[f] = w * f$$

$$S[f](m,n) = \sum_{i=-k}^{k} \sum_{j=-k}^{k} w(i,j)f(m-i,n-j)$$

Last time: Convolution and crosscorrelation

- Properties
 - Shift-invariant: a sensible thing to require
 - Linearity: convenient
- Can be used for smoothing, sharpening
- Also main component of CNNs

$$(w * f)(m, n) = \sum_{i=-k}^{k} \sum_{j=-k}^{k} w(i, j) f(m - i, n - j)$$

- What if m-i <0?
- What if m-i > image size
- Assume f is defined for $[-\infty, \infty]$ in both directions, just 0 everywhere else
- Same for w

$$(w*f)(m,n) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} w(i,j)f(m-i,n-j)$$

90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	20	10	40	0	0
0	10	20	30	0	20	10	0	0	0
0	10	0	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	10	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	30	20	10	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	0	10	0	20	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0

90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	20	10	40	0	0
0	10	20	30	0	20	10	0	0	0
0	10	0	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	10	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	30	20	10	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	0	10	0	20	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0

90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	20	10	40	0	0
0	10	20	30	0	20	10	0	0	0
0	10	0	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	10	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	30	20	10	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	0	10	0	20	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0

90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	20	10	40	0	0
0	10	20	30	0	20	10	0	0	0
0	10	0	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	10	40	30	20	10	0	0
0	10	20	30	30	20	10	0	0	0
0	0	10	20	20	0	10	0	20	0
0	0	0	10	10	10	0	0	0	0



Boundary conditions in practice

- "Full convolution": compute if *any* part of kernel intersects with image
 - requires padding
 - Output size = m+k-1
- "Same convolution": compute if center of kernel is in image
 - requires padding
 - output size = m
- "Valid convolution": compute only if *all* of kernel is in image
 - no padding
 - output size = m-k+1

More properties of convolution

$$(w * f)(m, n) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w(i, j) f(m - i, n - j) \qquad i' = m - i \Rightarrow i = m - i'$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w(m - i', n - j') f(i, j) \qquad j' = n - j \Rightarrow j = n - j'$$

$$= (f * w)(m, n)$$

More properties of convolution

- Convolution is linear
- Convolution is shift-invariant
- Convolution is commutative (w*f = f*w)
- Convolution is associative (v*(w*f) = (v*w)*f)
- Every linear shift-invariant operation is a convolution

Optimization: separable filters

- basic alg. is $O(r^2)$: large filters get expensive fast!
- definition: $a_2(x,y)$ is *separable* if it can be written as:
 - this is a useful property for filters because it allows factoring: $a_2[i,j] = a_1[i]a_1[j]$

$$(a_{2} \star b)[i, j] = \sum_{i'} \sum_{j'} a_{2}[i', j']b[i - i', j - j']$$
$$= \sum_{i'} \sum_{j'} a_{1}[i']a_{1}[j']b[i - i', j - j']$$
$$= \sum_{i'} a_{1}[i'] \left(\sum_{j'} a_{1}[j']b[i - i', j - j']\right)$$

More convolution filters

Mean filter



- But nearby pixels are more correlated than faraway pixels
- Weigh nearby pixels more

Gaussian filter



Gaussian filter

$$G_{\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

 Ignore factor in front, instead, normalize filter to sum to 1

0.003	0.013	0.022	0.013	0.003
0.013	0.060	0.098	0.060	0.013
0.022	0.098	0.162	0.098	0.022
0.013	0.060	0.098	0.060	0.013
0.003	0.013	0.022	0.013	0.003

Gaussian filter





21x21, σ =0.5



21x21, σ =1





Difference of Gaussians





21x21, *σ*=3

21x21, *σ*=1





Difference of Gaussians



Images have structure at various scales





Fourier transform and the frequency domain

Signal processing

- Images are 2D
- For convenience, consider 1D signals
 - Instead of space, time
- f[i] : value of signal at point i (1D analog of f(i,j))

$$(w * f)[n] = \sum_{i} w[i]f[n-i]$$

Signal processing

- Instead of discrete signals, we will consider continuous signals
- Discrete signals can be considered as samples from continuous signals
- $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, w : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- What is convolution for continuous signals?

$$(w * f)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(x)f(t-x)dx$$

Frequency

- *Frequency* of a signal is how fast it changes
 - Reflects scale of structure



Frequency

- $x(t) = \cos 2\pi v t$
- What is the period?
- What is the frequency?

A combination of frequencies 0.1 X + 0.3 X + 0.5 X =

Fourier transform

- Can we figure out the canonical single-frequency signals that make up a complex signal?
 - Yes!
- Can *any* signal be decomposed in this way?
 - Yes!

Idea of Fourier Analysis

- Every signal (doesn't matter what it is)
 - Sum of sine/cosine waves



Idea of Fourier Analysis

- Every signal (doesn't matter what it is)
 - Sum of sine/cosine waves



A box-like example



The Fourier bases

• Not exactly sines and cosines, but *complex* variants

$$e^{ix} = \cos(x) + i\sin(x)$$
$$e^{i2\pi\nu t} = \cos(2\pi\nu t) + i\sin(2\pi\nu t)$$

• Euler's formula



$$x(t) = \cos(0t) + \cos(t) - \frac{1}{3}\cos(3t) + \frac{1}{5}\cos(5t) - \frac{1}{7}\cos(7t)$$

$$\begin{cases} \cos(\omega_0 t) = [e^{j\omega_0 t} + e^{-j\omega_0 t}]/2 & \text{i is same as } j \\ \sin(\omega_0 t) = [e^{j\omega_0 t} - e^{-j\omega_0 t}]/2j & \text{i is same as } j \end{cases}$$

$$x(t) = e^{0t} + \frac{1}{2} [(e^{jt} + e^{-jt}) - \frac{1}{3} (e^{j3t} + e^{-j3t}) + \frac{1}{5} (e^{j5t} + e^{-j5t}) - \frac{1}{7} (e^{j7t} + e^{-j7t})] = \sum_{k=-7}^{7} X[k] e^{jk\omega_0 t}$$

$$X[0] = 0; \quad X[1] = X[-1] = 1/2, \quad X[3] = X[-3] = 1/6, \quad X[5] = X[-5] = 1/10,$$

 $X[7] = X[-7] = 1/14, \quad X[2] = X[-2] = X[4] = X[-4] = X[6] = X[-6] = 0$





Fourier transform for periodic signals

- Suppose x is periodic with period T
- All components must be periodic with period T/k for some integer k
 - Only frequencies are of the form k/T
- Thus:



Given a signal x(t), Fourier transform gives us the coefficients a_k (we will denote these as X[k])

Fourier transform for aperiodic signals

- What if signal is not periodic?
- Can *still* decompose into sines and cosines!
- But no restriction on frequency
- Now need a *continuous space* of frequencies

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\nu) e^{i2\pi\nu t} d\nu \, \, {}^{\text{"Pure"}}_{\text{signal}}$$

• Fourier transform gives us the *function X(v)*

Fourier transform

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\nu) e^{i2\pi\nu t} d\nu$$
$$X(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu t} dt$$

Note: X can in principle be complex: we often look at the magnitude |X(v)|



Why is there a peak at 0?



Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\nu) e^{i2\pi\nu t} d\nu \\ X(\nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu t} dt \end{aligned}$$

Dual domains

- Signal: time domain (or spatial domain)
- Fourier Transform: Frequency domain
 - Amplitudes are called spectrum
- For any transformations we do in time domain, there are corresponding transformations we can do in the frequency domain
- And vice-versa

Dual domains

 Convolution in time domain = Point-wise multiplication in frequency domain

$$\begin{split} h &= f \ast g \\ H &= FG \\ H(\nu) &= F(\nu)G(\nu) \end{split}$$

• *Convolution* in frequency domain = *Point-wise multiplication* in time domain

Proof (if curious)

$$\begin{split} H(\nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu t}dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(t-x)dxe^{-i2\pi\nu t}dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-i2\pi\nu x}g(t-x)e^{-i2\pi\nu (t-x)}dxdt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-i2\pi\nu x}g(u)e^{-i2\pi\nu u}dxdu \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-i2\pi\nu x}dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u)e^{-i2\pi\nu u}du \\ &= F(\nu)G(\nu) \end{split}$$

Properties of Fourier transforms

Property	Signal		Transform				
superposition	$f_1(x) + f_2(x)$		$F_1(\omega) + F_2(\omega)$				
shift	$f(x-x_0)$		$F(\omega)e^{-j\omega x_0}$				
reversal	f(-x)		$F^*(\omega)$				
convolution	f(x) * h(x)		$F(\omega)H(\omega)$				
correlation	$f(x)\otimes h(x)$		$F(\omega)H^*(\omega)$				
multiplication	f(x)h(x)		$F(\omega) * H(\omega)$				
differentiation	f'(x)		$j\omega F(\omega)$				
domain scaling	f(ax)		$1/aF(\omega/a)$				
real images	$f(x) = f^*(x)$	\Leftrightarrow	$F(\omega) = F(-\omega)$				
Parseval's Theorem	$\sum_{x} [f(x)]^2$	=	$\sum_{\omega} [F(\omega)]^2$				

Back to 2D images

- Images are 2D signals
- Discrete, but consider as samples from continuous function
- Signal: f(x,y)
- Fourier transform F(v_x, v_y): contribution of a "pure" signal with frequency v_x in x and v_y in y

Back to 2D images





Signals and their Fourier transform

- Spatial
 - Sine

- Frequency
 - Impulse

Gaussian

• Gaussian

• Box

• Sinc





The Gaussian special case

• Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a gaussian





Sharp discontinuities require very high frequencies



Duality

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\nu) e^{i2\pi\nu t} d\nu \\ X(\nu) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu t} dt \end{aligned}$$

- Since Fourier and inverse Fourier look so much alike:
 - Fourier transform of sinc is box
 - Fourier transform of impulse is sine

Why talk about Fourier transforms?

- Convolution is point-wise multiplication in frequency space
 - Analyze which frequency components a particular filter lets through, e.g., *low-pass, high-pass* or *band-pass* filters
 - Leads to fast algorithms for convolution with large filters: Fast FFT

Why talk about Fourier transforms

- Frequency space reveals structure at various scales
 - Noise is high-frequency
 - "Average brightness" is low-frequency
- Useful to understand how we resize/resample images
 - Sampling causes information loss
 - What is lost exactly?
 - What can we recover?

Fourier transforms from far away

- Fourier transforms are basically a "change of basis"
- Instead of representing image as "the value of each pixel",
- Represent image as "how much of each frequency component"
- "Frequency components" are intuitive: slowlychanging or fast-changing images

Hi, Dr. Elizabeth? Yeah, vh... I accidentally took the Fourier transform of my cat... Meow!

"The cat has some serious periodic components." https://xkcd.com/26/