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## Announcements

- Exam 2 grades released
- Please submit regrade requests only if your answer matches the rubric
- We will release our first programming assignment this week
- Recall: not graded, but we will provide all the help


## Goals for Today's Lecture

- Wrap up BGP
- Understand switch/router architecture


## Recap: Inter-domain Routing Follows the Money



- ASes provide "transit" between their customers
- Peers do not provide transit between other peers


## BGP is Inspired by Distance Vector

- Per-destination route advertisements
- No global sharing of network topology
- Iterative and distributed convergence on paths
- But, four key differences
- BGP does not pick shortest paths
- Each node announces one or multiple PATHs per destination
- Selective Route advertisement: not all paths are announced
- BGP may aggregate paths
- may announce one path for multiple destinations


## BGP Issues

## BGP: Issues

- Reachability
- Security
- Convergence
- Performance
- Anomalies


## Reachability

- In normal routing, if graph is connected then reachability is assured
- With policy routing, this doesn't always hold



## Security

- An AS can claim to serve a prefix that they actually don't have a route to (blackholing traffic)
- Problem not specific to policy or path vector
- Important because of AS autonomy
- Fixable: make ASes prove they have a path
- But...
- AS may forward packets along a route different from what is advertised
- Tell customers about a fictitious short path...
- Much harder to fix!


## Convergence

- If all AS policies follow Gao-Rexford rules,
- Then BGP is guaranteed to converge (safety)
- For arbitrary policies, BGP may fail to converge!


## BGP Example (All good)



|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 10 | 20 | 30 | - |
| R2 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 430 |
| R3 | 130 | 20 | 30 | 430 |

GOOD GADGET

## Example of Policy Oscillation

"1" prefers "1 30 " over "1 0 " to reach " 0 " 10


320
30

## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

Initially: nodes 1, 2, 3 know only shortest path to 0


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

1 advertises its path 10 to 2


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

3 advertises its path 30 to 1


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

1 withdraws its path 10 from 2


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

2 advertises its path 20 to 3


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

3 withdraws its path 30 from 1


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

1 advertises its path 10 to 2


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation

2 withdraws its path 20 from 3


## Step-by-step Policy Oscillation



We are back to where we started!

## BGP Example (Persistent Loops)



|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R 1$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | - |
| $R 2$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | 420 |
| $R 3$ | 10 | 20 | 3420 | 420 |
| $R 4$ | 10 | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | 3420 | 420 |
| $R 5$ | 10 | 210 | 3420 | - |
| $R 6$ | 10 | 210 | 30 | - |
| $R 7$ | 130 | 210 | 30 | - |
| $R 8$ | 130 | 20 | 30 | - |
| $R 9$ | 130 | 20 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ |
| R10 | 130 | 20 | $\mathbf{3 4 2 0}$ | 420 |
| R11 | 10 | 20 | 3420 | 420 |

## BGP Example (Bad bad bad)



NAUGHTY GADGET

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 10 | 20 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | - |
| R2 | 10 | 20 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 430 |
| R3 | 130 | 20 | 30 | 430 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R 1$ | 10 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 30 | - |
| $R 2$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ |
| $R 3$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 20 | 3420 | 420 |
| $R 4$ | 10 | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | 3420 | 420 |
| $R 5$ | 10 | 210 | 3420 | - |
| $R 6$ | 10 | 210 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | - |
| $R 7$ | 130 | 210 | 30 | - |
| $R 8$ | 130 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 30 | - |
| $R 9$ | 130 | 20 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ |
| $R 10$ | 130 | 20 | $\mathbf{3 4 2 0}$ | 420 |
| $R 11$ | 10 | 20 | 3420 | 420 |

## Convergence

- If all AS policies follow Gao-Rexford rules,
- Then BGP is guaranteed to converge (safety)
- For arbitrary policies, BGP may fail to converge!
- Why should this trouble us?


## Performance Non-Issues

- Internal Routing
- Domains typically use "hot potato" routing
- Not always optimal, but economically expedient
- Policy not about performance
- So policy-chosen paths aren't shortest
- AS path length can be misleading
- $20 \%$ of paths inflated by at least 5 router hops


## Performance (example)

- AS path length can be misleading
- An AS may have many router-level hops



## Performance: Real Issue

## Slow Convergence

- BGP outages are biggest source of Internet problems
- Labovitz et al. SIGCOMM'97
- $10 \%$ of routes available less than $95 \%$ of the time
- Less than $35 \%$ of routes available $99.99 \%$ of the time
- Labovitz et al. SIGCOMM 2000
- $40 \%$ of path outages take $30+$ minutes to repair
- But most popular paths are very stable


## Where are we?



## Switch/Router Architecture

## IP Routers and Switches (used interchangeably today)

- Core building block of Internet infrastructure
- \$120B+ industry
- Vendors: Cisco, Huawei, Juniper, Alcatel-Lucent (account for >90\%)


## Recap: Routers Forward Packets




- $N=$ No. Of external router ports
- $R=$ bandwidth ("line rate") of a port
- Router capacity $=\mathrm{NxR}$



## Examples of Routers (core)

- Core: Cisco CRS
- $R=10 / 40 / 100 \mathrm{Gbps}$
- NR = 922 Tbps
- Netflix: 0.7 GB/hr (1.5Mb/s)
- ~600 million concurrent Netflix users
- Edge (ISP): Cisco ASR
- $R=1 / 10 / 40$ Gbps
- NR = 120 Gbps
- Edge (enterprise): Cisco 3945E
- $R=10 / 100 / 1000 \mathrm{Mbps}$
- NR < 10 Gbps


What's Inside a Router?


What's Inside a Router?


What's Inside a Router?


## Input Line Cards: Tasks

- Receive incoming packets (physical layer stuff)
- Update the IP header
- TTL, Checksum (maybe some other fields)
- Lookup the output port for the destination IP address
- Queue the packet at the switch fabric


## Challenge: Speed!

- 100B packets @ 40Gbps => packet every 20 nano secs!
- Typically implemented with specialized hardware
- ASICs, specialized "network processors"


## Looking up the Output Port

- Upon receiving a packet
- Inspect the destination IP address in the header
- Index into the routing/forwarding table
- If no match, select the default route
- Forward packet out appropriate interface
- Default route
- Configured to cover cases where no matches
- Allows small tables at edge (w/o routing algorithms)
- if it isn't on my subnet, send it to my ISP


## Scaling the Lookup

- Recall: For scalability, addresses are aggregated
- Longest Prefix match
- Find the entry with matching "longest prefix" with destination address


Finding a Match

- Incoming packet destination: 201.143.7.0

| Prefix | Port |
| :--- | :--- |
| $201.143 .0 .0 / 22$ | Port 1 |
| $201.143 .4 .0 .0 / 24$ | Port 2 |
| $201.143 .5 .0 .0 / 24$ | Port 3 |
| $201.143 .6 .0 / 23$ | Port 4 |

## Finding a Match: Covert to Binary

- Incoming packet destination: 201.143.7.0

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000111 | 11010010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Routing Table
201.143.0.0/22

| 11001001 | 10001111 | $000000--$ | ------ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.4.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000100 | ------ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.5.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000101 | ------ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.6.0/23

| 11001001 | 10001111 | $0000011-$ | $--e_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Finding a Match: Covert to Binary

- Incoming packet destination: 201.143.7.0

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000111 | 11010010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Routing Table
201.143.0.0/22

201.143.4.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000100 | $-\cdots-\cdots-{ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.5.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000101 | $-\cdots-\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.6.0/23

| 11001001 | 10001111 | $0000011-$ | $\cdots \cdots-{ }_{50}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Finding a Match: Covert to Binary

- Incoming packet destination: 201.143.7.0

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000111 | 11010010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Routing Table
201.143.0.0/22

201.143.4.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000100 | $\cdots-\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.5.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000101 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

201.143.6.0/23


## Longest Prefix Match

- Incoming packet destination: 201.143.7.0

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000111 | 11010010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.0.0/22

Routing Table

| 1 | 10001414 - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201.143.4.0/24 |  |  |


201.143.5.0/24

| 11001001 | 10001111 | 00000101 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

201.143.5.0/23

11001001
10001111
0000011- $\square$
Check an address against all destination prefixes and select the prefix it matches with on the most bits

## Finding the Match Efficiently

- Testing each entry to find a match scales poorly
- Roughly (number of entries) $\times$ (number of bits)
- Must leverage tree structure of binary strings
- Set up tree-like data structure
- Called a TRIE
- We will briefly discuss it; more details in text
- In case you are interested ....


## Consider Four 3-Bit Prefixes

- Just focusing on the bits where all the action is....
- 0** $\rightarrow$ Port 1
- $100 \rightarrow$ Port 2
- $101 \rightarrow$ Port 3
- 11* $\rightarrow$ Port 4

Tree Structure


Walk Tree: Stop at Prefix Entries


Walk Tree: Stop at Prefix Entries

walking trees takes O(\#bits)

## Longest Prefix Match in Real Routers

- Real routers use far more advanced/complex solutions
- But what we discussed is the starting point
- With many heuristics and optimizations that leverage real-world patterns
- Some destinations more popular than others
- Some ports lead to more destinations
- Typical fix granularities


## Recap: Input Linecards

- Main challenge is processing speed
- But what we discussed is the starting point
- Tasks involved
- Update packet header (easy)
- Longest prefix match lookup on destinations address (harder)
- Mostly implemented with specialized hardware


## Output Linecard



- Packet Classification: map each packet to a "flow"
- Flow (for now): set of packets between two particular endpoints
- Buffer Management: decide when and which packet to drop
- Scheduler: decide when and which packet to transmit


## Output Linecard

- Packet Classification: map each packet to a "flow"
- Flow (for now): set of packets between two particular endpoints
- Buffer Management: decide when and which packet to drop
- Scheduler: decide when and which packet to transmit
- Used to implement various forms of policy
- Deny all e-mail traffic from ISP X to Y (access control)
- Route IP telephony traffic from $X$ to $Y$ via PHY_CIRCUIT (policy)
- Ensure that no more than 50 Mbps are injected from ISP-X (QoS)


## Simplest FIFO Router

- No classification
- Drop tail buffer management: when buffer is full drop incoming packet
- First In First Out (FIFO) Scheduling: schedule packets in order of arrival

- Classify an IP packet based on the number of fields in the packet header
- Source/destination IP address (32 bits)
- Source/destination TCP port number (16 bits)
- Type of Service (TOS) byte (8 bits)
- Type of Protocol (8 bits)
- In general fields are specified by range
- Classification requires a multi-dimensional range search



## Scheduler

- One queue per flow
- Scheduler decides from which queue to send a packet
- Goals of scheduling algorithm
- Fast!
- Depends on the policy being implemented (fairness, priority, etc.)



## Example: Priority Scheduler

- Packets in the highest priority queue are always served before the packets in the lower priority queues



## Example: Round Robin Scheduler

- Packets are served from each queue in turn



## Connecting Input to Output: Switch Fabric

- Priority Scheduler: packets are served from each queue in turn



What's Hard About the Switch Fabric?

Queueing!

Third Generation Router: Switched Interconnects


Third Generation Router: Switched Interconnects


## Reality is More Complicated

- Commercial high-speed routers use
- Combination of input and output queueing
- Complex multi-stage "topologies"
- Distributed multi-stage schedulers (for scalability)


## IP Routers Recap

- Core building block of Internet infrastructure
- Scalable Routing -> Longest Prefix Matching
- Need fast implementations for
- Longest prefix matching
- Switch fabric scheduling


## What do we know so far [1] ...

- Network performance metrics
- Transmission delay, propagation delay, queueing delay, bandwidth
- Sharing networks
- Circuit switching, packet switching, and associated tradeoffs
- Why is Internet packet switched?
- Architectural principles and design goals
- Layering principle, End-to-end principle, Fate sharing principle
- Many important design goals from David Clark's paper
- And many important missing goals
- Addressing
- Link layer MAC names, and scalability challenges at the Internet
- Network layer IP addresses: three requirements, aggregation, CIDR


## What do we know so far [2] ...

- Link Layer
- Sharing a Broadcast medium, associated challenges, CSMA/CD
- Link layer addressing: MAC names
- Why Frames? Why Switched Ethernet?
- The Spanning Tree Protocol (STP)
- Network Layer
- Why Network Layer? Why not just use STP across the Internet?
- Routing Tables: A collection of spanning trees, one per destination
- Generating Valid Routing tables (within a domain):
- Global view (Link-State Protocol), and limitations
- Local view (Distance-vector Protocol)
- Generating Valid Routing tables (across domains):
- Border Gateway Protocol, Internet structure, routing policies


## Network Layer

- THE functionality: delivering the data
- THE protocol: Internet Protocol (IP)
- Achieves its functionality (delivering the data), using three ideas:
- Addressing (IP addressing)
- Routing (using a variety of protocols)
- Packet header as an interface (Encapsulating data into packets)

