## CS 4110

Programming Languages \& Logics

## Lecture 19

Continuations

## Continuations

In the preceding translations, the control structure of the source language was translated directly into the corresponding control structure in the target language.

For example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T} \llbracket \lambda x \cdot e \rrbracket=\lambda x \cdot \mathcal{T} \llbracket e \rrbracket \\
& \mathcal{T} \llbracket e_{1} e_{2} \rrbracket=\mathcal{T} \llbracket e_{1} \rrbracket \mathcal{T} \llbracket e_{2} \rrbracket
\end{aligned}
$$

What can go wrong with this approach?

## Continuations

- A snippet of code that represents "the rest of the program"
- Can be used directly by programmers...
- ...or in program transformations by a compiler
- Make the control flow of the program explicit
- Also useful for defining the meaning of features like exceptions


## Example

Consider the following expression:

$$
(\lambda x \cdot x)((3 *(1+2))-4)
$$
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## Example

Consider the following expression:
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$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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The original expression is equivalent to $k_{3} 1$, or:

$$
(\lambda c \cdot(\lambda b \cdot(\lambda a \cdot(\lambda v \cdot(\lambda x \cdot x) v)(a-4))(3 * b))(c+2)) 1
$$

## Example (Continued)

Recall that let $x=e$ in $e^{\prime}$ is syntactic sugar for $\left(\lambda x . e^{\prime}\right) e$.
Hence, we can rewrite the expression with continuations more succinctly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let } c=1 \text { in } \\
& \text { let } b=c+2 \text { in } \\
& \text { let } a=3 * b \text { in } \\
& \text { let } v=a-4 \text { in } \\
& (\lambda x . x) v
\end{aligned}
$$

## CPS Transformation
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We assume that the new variables introduced are "fresh."
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