## CS 4110 - Programming Languages and Logics Lecture \#3: Inductive definitions and proofs

In this lecture, we will use the semantics of our simple language of arithmetic expressions,

$$
e::=x|n| e_{1}+e_{2}\left|e_{1} * e_{2}\right| x:=e_{1} ; e_{2},
$$

to express useful program properties, and we will prove these properties by induction.

## 1 Program Properties

There are a number of interesting questions about a language one can ask: Is it deterministic? Are there non-terminating programs? What sorts of errors can arise during evaluation? Having a formal semantics allows us to express these properties precisely.

- Determinism: Evaluation is deterministic,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall e \in \text { Exp. } \forall \sigma, \sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in \text { Store. } \forall e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in \text { Exp. } \\
& \quad \text { if }\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { and }\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \text { then } e^{\prime}=e^{\prime \prime} \text { and } \sigma^{\prime}=\sigma^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Termination: Evaluation of every expression terminates,

$$
\forall e \in \operatorname{Exp} . \forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } \exists \sigma^{\prime} \in \text { Store. } \exists e^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Exp} .\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow^{*}\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { and }\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \nrightarrow,
$$

where $\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \nrightarrow$ is shorthand for $\neg\left(\exists \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in\right.$ Store. $\left.\exists e^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Exp} .\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right)$.
It is tempting to want the following soundness property,

- Soundness: Evaluation of every expression yields an integer,

$$
\forall e \in \operatorname{Exp} . \forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } \exists \sigma^{\prime} \in \text { store. } \exists n^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Int} .\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow^{*}\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right\rangle,
$$

but unfortunately it does not hold in our language! For example, consider the totally-undefined function $\sigma$ and the expression $i+j$. The configuration $\langle\sigma, i+j\rangle$ is stuck-it has no possible transitionsbut $i+j$ is not an integer. The problem is that $i+j$ has free variables but $\sigma$ does not contain mappings for those variables.

To fix this problem, we can restrict our attention to well-formed configurations $\langle\sigma, e\rangle$, where $\sigma$ is defined on (at least) the free variables in $e$. This makes sense as evaluation typically starts with a closed expression. We can define the set of free variables of an expression as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f v s(x) & \triangleq\{x\} \\
f v s(n) & \triangleq\} \\
\operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) & \triangleq \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right) \cup f v s\left(e_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{vvs}\left(e_{1} * e_{2}\right) & \triangleq \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{fvs}\left(x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right) & \triangleq \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right) \cup\left(f v s\left(e_{2}\right) \backslash\{x\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can formulate two properties that imply a variant of the soundness property above:

- Progress: For each expression $e$ and store $\sigma$ such that the free variables of $e$ are contained in the domain of $\sigma$, either $e$ is an integer or there exists a possible transition for $\langle\sigma, e\rangle$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall e \in \text { Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } \\
& \quad f v s(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Exp} . \exists \sigma^{\prime} \in \text { Store. }\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Preservation: Evaluation preserves containment of free variables in the domain of the store,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall e, e^{\prime} \in \text { Exp. } \forall \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \text { Store. } \\
& \quad f v s(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \text { and }\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \Longrightarrow f v s\left(e^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of this lecture shows how can we prove such properties using induction.

## 2 Inductive sets

Induction is an important concept in programming language theory. An inductively-defined set $A$ is one that is described using a finite collection of axioms and inductive (inference) rules. Axioms of the form

$$
\overline{a \in A}
$$

indicate that $a$ is in the set $A$. Inductive rules

\[

\]

indicate that if $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are all elements of $A$, then $a$ is also an element of $A$.
The set $A$ is the set of all elements that can be inferred to belong to $A$ using a (finite) number of applications of these rules, starting only from axioms. In other words, for each element $a$ of $A$, we must be able to construct a finite proof tree whose final conclusion is $a \in A$.

Example 1. The set described by a grammar is an inductive set. For instance, the set of arithmetic expressions can be described with two axioms and three inference rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{x \in \operatorname{Exp}} \overline{n \in \operatorname{Exp}} \\
& \frac{e_{1} \in \operatorname{Exp}}{e_{1}+e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp}} e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp} \\
&
\end{aligned} \frac{e_{1} \in \operatorname{Exp} \quad e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp}}{e_{1} * e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp}} \quad \frac{e_{1} \in \operatorname{Exp} \quad e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp}}{x:=e_{1} ; e_{2} \in \operatorname{Exp}}
$$

These axioms and rules describe the same set of expressions as the grammar:

$$
e::=x|n| e_{1}+e_{2}\left|e_{1} * e_{2}\right| x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}
$$

Example 2. The natural numbers (expressed here in unary notation) can be inductively defined:

$$
\overline{0 \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\operatorname{succ}(n) \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

Example 3. The small-step evaluation relation $\rightarrow$ is an inductively defined set.
Example 4. The multi-step evaluation relation can be inductively defined:

$$
\frac{\lambda^{*}}{\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow^{*}\langle\sigma, e\rangle} \operatorname{REFL} \quad \frac{\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow^{*}\left\langle\sigma^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}{\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow^{*}\left\langle\sigma^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle} \text { Trans }
$$

Example 5. The set of free variables of an expression $e$ can be inductively defined:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{y \in \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right)}{y \in \operatorname{fvs}(y)} \frac{y \in \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{2}\right)}{y \in \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)} \quad \frac{y \in f v s\left(e_{1}\right)}{y \in f v s\left(e_{1} * e_{2}\right)}
\end{gathered} \quad \frac{y \in \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{2}\right)}{y \in \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1} * e_{2}\right)}
$$

## 3 Inductive proofs

We can prove facts about elements of an inductive set using an inductive reasoning that follows the structure of the set definition.

### 3.1 Mathematical induction

You have probably seen proofs by induction over the natural numbers, called mathematical induction. In such proofs, we typically want to prove that some property $P$ holds for all natural numbers, that is, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. $P(n)$. A proof by induction works by first proving that $P(0)$ holds, and then proving for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $P(m)$ then $P(m+1)$. The principle of mathematical induction can be stated succinctly as

$$
P(0) \text { and }(\forall m \in \mathbb{N} . P(m) \Longrightarrow P(m+1)) \Longrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} . P(n)
$$

The proposition $P(0)$ is the basis of the induction (also called the base case) while $P(m) \Longrightarrow P(m+1)$ is called induction step (or the inductive case). While proving the induction step, the assumption that $P(m)$ holds is called the induction hypothesis.

### 3.2 Structural induction

Given an inductively defined set $A$, to prove that a property $P$ holds for all elements of $A$, we need to show:

1. Base cases: For each axiom

$$
\overline{a \in A}
$$

$P(a)$ holds.
2. Inductive cases: For each inference rule

$$
\frac{a_{1} \in A \quad \ldots \quad a_{n} \in A}{a \in A}
$$

if $P\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\ldots$ and $P\left(a_{n}\right)$ then $P(a)$.

Note that if the set $A$ is the set of natural numbers from Example 2 above, then the requirements for proving that $P$ holds for all elements of $A$ is equivalent to mathematical induction.

If $A$ describes a syntactic set, then we refer to induction following the requirements above as structural induction. If $A$ is an operational semantics relation (such as the small-step operational semantics relation $\rightarrow$ ) then such an induction is called induction on derivations. We will see examples of structural induction and induction on derivations throughout the course.

### 3.3 Example: Progress

Let's consider the progress property defined above, and repeated here:
Progress: For each store $\sigma$ and expression $e$ such that the free variables of $e$ are contained in the domain of $\sigma$, either $e$ is an integer or there exists a possible transition for $\langle\sigma, e\rangle$ :

$$
\forall e \in \operatorname{Exp} . \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Store} . \operatorname{fvs}(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Exp} . \exists \sigma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Store} .\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Let's rephrase this property in terms of an explicit predicate on expressions:

$$
P(e) \triangleq \forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } f v s(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

The idea is to build a proof that follows the inductive structure given by the grammar:

$$
e::=x|n| e_{1}+e_{2}\left|e_{1} * e_{2}\right| x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}
$$

This technique is called "structural induction on $e$." We analyze each case in the grammar and show that $P(e)$ holds for that case. Since the grammar productions $e_{1}+e_{2}$ and $e_{1} * e_{2}$ and $x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}$ are inductive, they are inductive steps in the proof; the cases for $x$ and $n$ are base cases. The proof proceeds as follows.

Proof. Let $e$ be an expression. We will prove that

$$
\forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } \operatorname{fvs}(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\langle\sigma, e\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

by structural induction on $e$. We analyze several cases, one for each case in the grammar for expressions:

Case $e=x$ : Let $\sigma$ be an arbitrary store, and assume that $f v s(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$. By the definition of $f v s$ we have $f v s(x)=\{x\}$. By assumption we have $\{x\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$ and so $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$. Let $n=\sigma(x)$. By the Var axiom we have $\langle\sigma, x\rangle \rightarrow\langle\sigma, n\rangle$, which finishes the case.
Case $e=n$ : We immediately have $e \in \mathbf{I n t}$, which finishes the case.
Case $e=e_{1}+e_{2}$ : Let $\sigma$ be an arbitrary store, and assume that $f v s(e) \subseteq d o m(\sigma)$. We will assume that $P\left(e_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(e_{2}\right)$ hold and show that $P(e)$ holds. Let's expand these properties. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(e_{1}\right)=\forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } f v s\left(e_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e_{1} \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \\
& P\left(e_{2}\right)=\forall \sigma \in \text { Store. } f v s\left(e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e_{2} \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and want to prove:
$P\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)=\forall \sigma \in$ Store. $f v s\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e_{1}+e_{2} \in \operatorname{Int}$ or $\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}+e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$
We analyze several subcases.

Subcase $e_{1}=n_{1}$ and $e_{2}=n_{2}$ : By rule ADD, we immediately have $\left\langle\sigma, n_{1}+n_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle\sigma, p\rangle$, where $p=n_{1}+n_{2}$.
Subcase $e_{1} \notin$ Int: By assumption and the definition of fvs we have

$$
f v s\left(e_{1}\right) \subseteq f v s\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)
$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis $P\left(e_{1}\right)$ we also have $\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for some $e^{\prime}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. By rule LAdd we have $\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}+e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}+e_{2}\right\rangle$.
Subcase $e_{1}=n_{1}$ and $e_{2} \notin$ Int: By assumption and the definition of fos we have

$$
f v s\left(e_{2}\right) \subseteq f v s\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)
$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis $P\left(e_{2}\right)$ we also have $\left\langle\sigma, e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for some $e^{\prime}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. By rule RADD we have $\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}+e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e_{1}+e^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which finishes the case.

Case $e=e_{1} * e_{2}$ : . Analogous to the previous case.
Case $e=x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}$ : . Let $\sigma$ be an arbitrary store, and assume that $f v s(e) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$. As above, we assume that $P\left(e_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(e_{2}\right)$ hold and show that $P(e)$ holds. Let's expand these properties. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(e_{1}\right)=\forall \sigma . \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e_{1} \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \\
& P\left(e_{2}\right)=\forall \sigma . \operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow e_{2} \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and want to prove:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right)=\forall \sigma . f v s & \left(x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \Longrightarrow \\
& x:=e_{1} ; e_{2} \in \operatorname{Int} \text { or }\left(\exists e^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime} .\left\langle\sigma, x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We analyze several subcases.
Subcase $e_{1}=n_{1}$ : By rule AssGn we have $\left\langle\sigma, x:=n_{1} ; e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma\left[x \mapsto n_{1}\right]$. Subcase $e_{1} \notin$ Int: By assumption and the definition of fvs we have

$$
\operatorname{fvs}\left(e_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{fvs}\left(x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)
$$

Hence, by induction hypothesis we also have $\left\langle\sigma, e_{1}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for some $e^{\prime}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. By the rule Assgn1 we have $\left\langle\sigma, x:=e_{1} ; e_{2}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\sigma^{\prime}, x:=e_{1}^{\prime} ; e_{2}\right\rangle$, which finishes the case and the inductive proof.

