

CS 4110

# Programming Languages & Logics

---

Lecture 26  
Existential Types



# Namespaces

---

It's no fun to program in a language with a single, global namespace: C, FORTRAN, and PHP until depressingly recently.

# Namespaces

---

It's no fun to program in a language with a single, global namespace: C, FORTRAN, and PHP until depressingly recently.

Components of a large program have to worry about name collisions.

And components become tightly coupled: any component can use a name defined by any other.

# Modularity

---

A *module* is a collection of named entities that are related.

Modules provide separate namespaces: different modules can use the same names without worrying about collisions.

Modules can:

- Choose which names to export
- Choose which names to keep hidden
- Hide implementation details

# Existential Types

---

In the polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus, we introduced *universal* quantification for types.

$$\tau ::= \dots \mid X \mid \forall X. \tau$$

# Existential Types

In the polymorphic  $\lambda$ -calculus, we introduced *universal* quantification for types.

$$\tau ::= \dots \mid X \mid \forall X. \tau$$

If we have  $\forall$ , why not  $\exists$ ? What would *existential* type quantification do?

$$\tau ::= \dots \mid X \mid \exists X. \tau$$

# Existential Types

---

Together with records, existential types let us *hide* the implementation details of an interface.

# Existential Types

---

Together with records, existential types let us *hide* the implementation details of an interface.

∃ **Counter**.

```
{ new : Counter,  
  get : Counter → int,  
  inc : Counter → Counter }
```



# Existential Types

Together with records, existential types let us *hide* the implementation details of an interface.

∃ **Counter**.

```
{ new : Counter,  
  get : Counter → int,  
  inc : Counter → Counter }
```

Here, the *witness type* might be **int**:

```
{ new : int,  
  get : int → int,  
  inc : int → int }
```

# Existential Types

Let's extend our STLC with existential types:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau ::= & \mathbf{int} \\ & | \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ & | \{ l_1 : \tau_1, \dots, l_n : \tau_n \} \\ & | \exists X. \tau \\ & | X \end{aligned}$$

# Syntax & Dynamic Semantics

---

We'll tag the values of existential types with the witness type.

# Syntax & Dynamic Semantics

We'll tag the values of existential types with the witness type.

A value has type  $\exists X. \tau$  is a pair  $\{\tau', v\}$  where  $v$  has type  $\tau\{\tau'/X\}$ .

# Syntax & Dynamic Semantics

We'll tag the values of existential types with the witness type.

A value has type  $\exists X. \tau$  is a pair  $\{\tau', v\}$   
where  $v$  has type  $\tau\{\tau'/X\}$ .

We'll add new operations to construct and destruct these pairs:

pack  $\{\tau_1, e\}$  as  $\exists X. \tau_2$   
unpack  $\{X, x\} = e_1$  in  $e_2$

The diagram illustrates the relationship between the pack and unpack operations and the lambda expression  $(\lambda a: X. a)$ . The lambda expression is written in blue ink at the bottom. Two arrows originate from it: one points to the  $x$  in the unpack operation, and the other points to the  $X$  in the unpack operation. To the right of the lambda expression, there is a handwritten definition of the witness type  $x$ :  $x: \{\text{new}: X, \text{inc}: X \rightarrow X\}$ . This definition shows that the witness  $x$  is a pair consisting of a constructor  $\text{new}$  that takes an  $X$  and returns an  $X$ , and an inductor  $\text{inc}$  that takes an  $X$  and returns an  $X$ .

# Syntax

$e ::= x$

|  $\lambda x:\tau. e$

|  $e_1 e_2$

|  $n$

|  $e_1 + e_2$

|  $\{ l_1 = e_1, \dots, l_n = e_n \}$

|  $e.l$

|  $\text{pack } \{ \tau_1, e \} \text{ as } \exists X. \tau_2$

|  $\text{unpack } \{ X, x \} = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

$v ::= n$

|  $\lambda x:\tau. e$

|  $\{ l_1 = v_1, \dots, l_n = v_n \}$

|  $\text{pack } \{ \tau_1, v \} \text{ as } \exists X. \tau_2$

# Dynamic Semantics

$E ::= \dots$

| pack  $\{\tau_1, E\}$  as  $\exists X. \tau_2$

| unpack  $\{X, x\} = E$  in  $e$

---

unpack  $\{X, x\} = (\text{pack } \{\tau_1, v\} \text{ as } \exists Y. \tau_2)$  in  $e \rightarrow e\{v/x\}\{\tau_1/X\}$

# Static Semantics

$$\frac{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2 \{\tau_1 / X\}}{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } \{\tau_1, e\} \text{ as } \exists X. \tau_2 \quad \exists X. \tau_2}$$

*WITNESS*



# Static Semantics

$$\frac{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2 \{ \tau_1 / X \}}{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash \text{pack } \{ \tau_1, e \} \text{ as } \exists X. \tau_2 : \exists X. \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \exists X. \tau_1 \quad \Delta \cup \{ X \}, \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2 \text{ ok}}{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack } \{ X, x \} = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2}$$

The side condition  $\Delta \vdash \tau_2 \text{ ok}$  ensures that the existentially quantified type variable  $X$  does not appear free in  $\tau_2$ .

# Example


```
let counterADT =  
  pack { int,  
        { new = 0,  
          get =  $\lambda i:\mathbf{int}. i$ ,  
          inc =  $\lambda i:\mathbf{int}. i + 1$  } }  
  as  
   $\exists$  Counter.  
    { new : Counter,  
      get : Counter  $\rightarrow$  int,  
      inc : Counter  $\rightarrow$  Counter }  
in ...
```

# Example

---

Here's how to use the existential value *counterADT*:

```
unpack {T, c} = counterADT in  
let y = c.new in  
c.get (c.inc (c.inc y))
```



# Representation Independence

We can define alternate, equivalent implementations of our counter...

```
let counterADT =  
  pack { {x: int},  
        { new = {x = 0},  
          get =  $\lambda r: \{x: \mathbf{int}\}. r.x$ ,  
          inc =  $\lambda r: \{x: \mathbf{int}\}. r.x + 1$  } }  
  as  
   $\exists$ Counter.  
    { new : Counter,  
      get : Counter  $\rightarrow$  int,  
      inc : Counter  $\rightarrow$  Counter }  
in ...
```

# Existentials and Type Variables

---

In the typing rule for `unpack`, the side condition  $\Delta \vdash \tau_2 \text{ ok}$  prevents type variables from “leaking out” of `unpack` expressions.

# Existentials and Type Variables

In the typing rule for `unpack`, the side condition  $\Delta \vdash \tau_2 \text{ ok}$  prevents type variables from “leaking out” of `unpack` expressions.

This rules out programs like this:

let  $m =$

    pack  $\{\mathbf{int}, \{a = 5, f = \lambda x:\mathbf{int}. x + 1\}\}$  as  $\exists X. \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow X\}$

in

    unpack  $\{T, x\} = m$  in  $x.f x.a$

where the type of  $x.f x.a$  is just  $T$ .

# Encoding Existentials

---

We can encode existentials using universals!

The idea is to use a Church encoding where an existential value is a function that takes a type and then calls a continuation.

# Encoding Existentials

We can encode existentials using universals!

The idea is to use a Church encoding where an existential value is a function that takes a type and then calls a continuation.

$$\exists X. \tau \triangleq \forall Y. (\forall X. \tau \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y$$

$$\text{pack } \{\tau_1, e\} \text{ as } \exists X. \tau_2 \triangleq \lambda Y. \lambda f : (\forall X. \tau_2 \rightarrow Y). f [\tau_1] e$$

$$\text{unpack } \{X, x\} = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \triangleq e_1 [\tau_2] (\lambda X. \lambda x : \tau_1. e_2)$$

where  $e_1$  has type  $\exists X. \tau_1$  and  $e_2$  has type  $\tau_2$