# CS 4110

# Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 5
IMP Properties

10 September 2014

#### Announcements

#### Office Hours

• Fran: Wednesday at 11-12pm

#### Homework #1

• Due: Today

#### Homework #2

• Out: Today

#### Review

Last time we defined the IMP programming language...

$$a :== x | n | a_1 + a_2 | a_1 \times a_2$$
  
 $b :== true | false | a_1 < a_2$   
 $c :== skip$   
 $| x := a$   
 $| c_1; c_2$   
 $| if b then c_1 else c_2$   
 $| while b do c$ 

3

### Quiz: What does this program do?

```
x1 := n1; x2 := n2; x3 := n3; tmp := 0;
if x^2 \le x^1 then
     tmp := x2;
     x2 := x1;
     x1 := tmp
else skip;
if x3 \le x2 then
     tmp := x3;
     x3 := x2;
     x2 := tmp
else skip
```

#### How about this one?

```
x1 := n1; x2 := n2; x3 := n3; tmp := 0; swaps := 1;
while 0 < swaps do
     swaps := 0;
     if x^2 \le x^1 then
          tmp := x2;
          x2 := x1:
          x1 := tmp;
          swaps := swaps + 1
     else skip;
     if x3 \le x2 then
          tmp := x3;
          x3 := x2:
          x2 := tmp;
          swaps := swaps + 1
     else skip
```

# Does this program terminate?

```
x1 := n1; x2 := n2; x3 := n3; tmp := 0; swaps := 1;
while 0 < swaps do
     swaps := 0;
     if x^2 \le x^1 then
          tmp := x2;
          x2 := x1:
          x1 := tmp;
          swaps := swaps + 1
     else skip;
     if x3 \le x2 then
          tmp := x3;
          x3 := x2:
          x2 := tmp;
          swaps := swaps + 1
     else skip
```

• Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.
- Q: How much space do we need to represent configurations during execution of an IMP program?

- Q: Can you write a program that doesn't terminate?
- A: while true do skip
- Q: Does this mean that IMP is Turing complete?
- A: Not quite... we also need to check the language is not finite state... but IMP has real mathematical integers.
- Q: What if we replace Int with Int64?
- A: Then we would lose Turing completeness.
- Q: How much space do we need to represent configurations during execution of an IMP program?
- A: Can calculate a fixed bound!

#### Determinism

#### Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathsf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}.$ 

if  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$  then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

7

#### Determinism

#### Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathsf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}.$ 

if 
$$\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$$
 and  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$  then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

#### Proof.

By structural induction on c...

#### Determinism

#### Theorem

 $\forall c \in \mathsf{Com}, \sigma, \sigma' \sigma'' \in \mathsf{Store}.$ 

if  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$  and  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''$  then  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

#### Proof.

By structural induction on c...

#### Proof.

By induction on the derivation of  $\langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'$ ...

### Derivations

Write  $\mathcal{D} \Vdash y$  if the conclusion of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  is y.

#### Derivations

Write  $\mathcal{D} \Vdash y$  if the conclusion of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  is y.

#### Example:

Given the derivation,

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, 6 \rangle \Downarrow 6}{\langle \sigma, 6 \times 7 \rangle \Downarrow 42}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, 6 \times 7 \rangle \Downarrow 42}{\langle \sigma, i := 6 \times 7 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[i \mapsto 42]}$$

we would write:  $\mathcal{D} \Vdash \langle \sigma, i := 42 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[i \mapsto 42]$ 

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

A derivation  $\mathcal{D}'$  is an immediate subderivation of  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{D}' \Vdash z$  where z is one of the premises used of the final rule of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Given a set of axioms and inference rules, the set of derivations is itself an inductively defined set!

This means we can prove properties by induction on derivations!

A derivation  $\mathcal{D}'$  is an immediate subderivation of  $\mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{D}' \Vdash z$  where z is one of the premises used of the final rule of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In a proof by induction on derivations, for every axiom and inference rule, assume that the property *P* holds for all immediate subderivations, and show that it holds of the conclusion.

# Large-Step Semantics

Skip 
$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle \sigma, \text{skip} \rangle \Downarrow \sigma}$$
 Assgn  $\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow n}{\langle \sigma, x := e \rangle \Downarrow \sigma[x \mapsto n]}$  Seq  $\frac{\langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \sigma', c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, c_1; c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}$  If-T  $\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \langle \sigma, c_1 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$  If-F  $\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{false} \quad \langle \sigma, c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}{\langle \sigma, \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \rangle \Downarrow \sigma'}$  While-T  $\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \langle \sigma, c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma' \quad \langle \sigma', \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}{\langle \sigma, \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}$  While-F  $\frac{\langle \sigma, b \rangle \Downarrow \text{false}}{\langle \sigma, \text{while } b \text{ do } c \rangle \Downarrow \sigma''}$