

CS 4110

Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 4

Inductive Proof and Large-Step Semantics

5 September 2014



Announcements

Office Hours

- Nate: Friday at 11-12pm
- Fran: Wednesday at 11-12pm
- Nitesh: Monday at 10:30am-11:30am and Tuesday at 4:15pm - 5:15pm.

Homework #1

- Due: next Wednesday

Review

So far we've:

- Defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions
- Formalized its semantics as a “small-step” relation:
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

Review

So far we've:

- Defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions
- Formalized its semantics as a “small-step” relation:
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$

Today we'll:

- Proved some basic properties of the small-step relation by induction
- Develop an alternate semantics based on a “large-step” relation
- Prove the equivalence of the two semantics

Induction Principle

Every inductive set A comes with an accompanying induction principle.

To prove $\forall a \in A. P(a)$ we must establish several cases.

- **Base cases:** For each axiom

$$\frac{}{a \in A}$$

$P(a)$ holds, and

- **Inductive cases:** For each inference rule

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \quad \dots \quad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

if $P(a_1)$ and \dots and $P(a_n)$ then $P(a)$

Induction Principle

For example, recall the inductive definition of the natural numbers:

$$\frac{}{0 \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\text{succ}(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

To prove $\forall n. P(n)$, we must show:

- Base case: $P(0)$
- Inductive case: $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m + 1)$

This is just the usual principle of mathematical induction!

Example: Progress

Recall the progress property.

$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}.$

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ well-formed \implies

$e \in \mathbf{Int}$ or $(\exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle)$

We'll prove this by induction on the structure of e .

$\frac{}{x \in \mathbf{Exp}}$

$\frac{}{n \in \mathbf{Exp}}$

$\frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} \quad e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 + e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}$

$\frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} \quad e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}$

$\frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} \quad e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{x := e_1 ; e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}$

Large-Step Semantics

Idea: define a large-step relation that captures the *complete* evaluation of an expression.

Formally: define a relation \Downarrow of type:

$$\Downarrow \subseteq (\mathbf{Store} \times \mathbf{Exp}) \times (\mathbf{Store} \times \mathbf{Int})$$

Notation: write $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ to indicate that $((\sigma, e), (\sigma', n)) \in \Downarrow$

Intuition: the expression e with store σ evaluates in one big step to the final store σ' and integer n .

Integers

$$\overline{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{Int}$$

Variables

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{Var}$$

Addition

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \quad n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{Add}$$

Multiplication

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \quad n = n_1 \times n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{Mul}$$

Assignment

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma'[x \mapsto n_1], e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

Large-Step Semantics

$$\frac{}{\langle \sigma, n \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{Int}$$

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{Var}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \quad n = n_1 + n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{Add}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle \quad n = n_1 \times n_2}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n \rangle} \text{Mul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n_1 \rangle \quad \langle \sigma' [x \mapsto n_1], e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1 ; e_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma'', n_2 \rangle} \text{Assgn}$$

Example

Assume that $\sigma(\text{bar}) = 7$.

$$\frac{\frac{\langle \sigma, 3 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma, 3 \rangle}{\text{Int}} \quad \frac{\frac{\langle \sigma', \text{foo} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 3 \rangle}{\text{Var}} \quad \frac{\langle \sigma', \text{bar} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 7 \rangle}{\text{Var}}}{\langle \sigma', \text{foo} * \text{bar} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle} \text{Mul}}{\langle \sigma, \text{foo} := 3; \text{foo} * \text{bar} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', 21 \rangle} \text{Assgn}$$

Equivalence

Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$

Equivalence

Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$

To streamline the proof, we'll use the following multi-step relation:

$$\frac{}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma, e \rangle} \text{Refl}$$
$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \quad \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle} \text{Trans}$$

Equivalence

Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$

Lemma

1. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then:
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e * e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n * e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 * e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 * n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, x := e; e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', x := n; e_2 \rangle$

Equivalence

Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$

Lemma

1. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then:
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e * e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n * e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 * e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 * n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, x := e; e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', x := n; e_2 \rangle$
2. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$

Equivalence

Theorem (Equivalence of small-step and large-step)

$\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$ if and only if $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$

Lemma

1. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then:
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e + e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n + e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 + e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 + n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, e * e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n * e_2 \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, n_1 * e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n_1 * n \rangle$
 - ▶ $\langle \sigma, x := e; e_2 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', x := n; e_2 \rangle$
2. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$
3. If $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$, then $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma', n \rangle$