Virtual Memory 2

Prof. Hakim Weatherspoon
CS 3410, Spring 2015
Computer Science
Cornell University

P & H Chapter 5.7

Announcements

Lab3: Available today, and due by next Wednesday

HW2: Do up to Problem5 this week. Do it ***now***.
Do Problem9, coding a hashtable in C, ***now***.

Announcements

Next five weeks

- Week 10 (Apr 7): **Lab3** (calling convention) release
- Week 11 (Apr 14): **Proj3** (caches) release, Lab3 due Wed
- Week 12 (Apr 21): **Lab4** (virtual memory) release, due in-class, Proj3 due Fri, **HW2 due Sat**
- Week 13 (Apr 28): **Proj4** (multi-core/parallelism) release, Lab4 due in-class, **Prelim2**
- Week 14 (May 5): **Proj3 Tournament**, Proj4 design doc due

Final Project for class

- Week 15 (May 12): Proj4 due Wed

Goals for Today

Virtual Memory

- Address Translation
  - Pages, page tables, and memory mgmt unit
- Paging
- Performance
  - How slow is it
  - Making virtual memory fast
  - Translation lookaside buffer (TLB)
- Virtual Memory Meets Caching

- Role of Operating System
  - Context switches, working set, shared memory


Virtual Memory
Code Stored in Memory
(also, data and stack)
compute
jump/branch
targets
$0 (zero)
$1 ($at)
register
file
$29 ($sp)
$31 ($ra)
A
B
imm
alu
D
B
M
+4
PC
new
pc
inst
control
extend
detect
hazard
forward
unit
Stack, Data, Code
Stored in Memory
ctrl
Instruction
Fetch
Instruction
Decode
Execute
Memory
Write-
Back
IF/ID
ID/EX
EX/MEM
MEM/WB

Virtual Memory Summary

All problems in computer science can be solved by another level of indirection.

Need a map to translate a “fake” virtual address (generated by CPU) to a “real” physical Address (in memory)

Virtual memory is implemented via a “Map”, a ***PageTage,*** that maps a ***vaddr*** (a virtual address) to a ***paddr*** (physical address):

paddr = PageTable[vaddr]

A page is constant size block of virtual memory. Often, the page size will be around 4kB to reduce the number of entries in a PageTable.

We can use the PageTable to set Read/Write/Execute permission on a per page basis. Can allocate memory on a per page basis. Need a valid bit, as well as Read/Write/Execute and other bits.

But, overhead due to PageTable is significant.

Next Goal

How do we reduce the size (overhead) of the PageTable?

Next Goal

How do we reduce the size (overhead) of the PageTable?

A: Another level of indirection!!



A: can make different views of same data with different permissions



Q: Benefits?
A1: Don't need 4MB contiguous physical memory
A2: Don't need to allocate every PageTable, only those containing valid PTEs
Q: Drawbacks?
A: Longer lookups.

Multi-level Page Tables

Assume most of PageTable is empty

How to translate addresses? Multi-level PageTable

Q: Benefits?

A: Don't need 4MB contiguous physical memory

A: Don't need to allocate every PageTable, only those containing valid PTEs

Q: Drawbacks

A: Performance: Longer lookups

Q: Benefits?
A1: Don't need 4MB contiguous physical memory
A2: Don't need to allocate every PageTable, only those containing valid PTEs
Q: Drawbacks?
A: Longer lookups.

Takeaway

All problems in computer science can be solved by another level of indirection.

Need a map to translate a “fake” virtual address (generated by CPU) to a “real” physical Address (in memory)

Virtual memory is implemented via a “Map”, a ***PageTage,*** that maps a ***vaddr*** (a virtual address) to a ***paddr*** (physical address):

paddr = PageTable[vaddr]

A page is constant size block of virtual memory. Often, the page size will be around 4kB to reduce the number of entries in a PageTable.

We can use the PageTable to set Read/Write/Execute permission on a per page basis. Can allocate memory on a per page basis. Need a valid bit, as well as Read/Write/Execute and other bits.

But, overhead due to PageTable is significant.

Another level of indirection, two levels of PageTables, can significantly reduce the overhead due to PageTables.

Next Goal

Can we run process larger than physical memory?

Paging

Paging

Can we run process larger than physical memory?

- The “virtual” in “virtual memory”

View memory as a “cache” for secondary storage

- Swap memory pages out to disk when not in use
- Page them back in when needed

Assumes Temporal/Spatial Locality

- Pages used recently most likely to be used again soon



A: can make code read-only, executable; make data read-write but not executable; etc.

Performance

Performance

Virtual Memory Summary

PageTable for each process:

- Page table tradeoffs
  - Single-level (e.g. 4MB contiguous in physical memory)
  - or multi-level (e.g. less mem overhead due to page table),
  - …
- every load/store translated to physical addresses
- page table miss = *page fault*
  - load the swapped-out page and retry instruction, or kill program if the page really doesn't exist, or tell the program it made a mistake

Page Table Review

x86 Example: 2 level page tables, assume…
32 bit vaddr, 32 bit paddr
4k PDir, 4k PTables, 4k Pages



Q:How many bits for a physical page number?
A: 20

Q: What is stored in each PageTableEntry?

A: ppn, valid/dirty/r/w/x/…

Q: What is stored in each PageDirEntry?

A: ppn, valid/?/…

Q: How many entries in a PageDirectory?

A: 1024 four-byte PDEs

Q: How many entires in each PageTable?

A: 1024 four-byte PTEs

Page Table Example

x86 Example: 2 level page tables, assume…

32 bit vaddr, 32 bit paddr

4k PDir, 4k PTables, 4k Pages

PTBR = 0x10005000 (physical)



Write to virtual address, vaddr= 0x7192a44c…

Q: Byte offset in page? 0x44c PT Index? 0x12a PD Index? 0x1c6

(vaddr<<10)>>22 vaddr>>22

(1) PageDir is at 0x10005000, so…

Fetch PDE from physical address 0x1005000+(4*PDI)

- suppose we get {0x12345, v=1, …}

(2) PageTable is at 0x12345000, so…

Fetch PTE from physical address 0x12345000+(4*PTI)

- suppose we get {0x14817, v=1, d=0, r=1, w=1, x=0, …}

(3) Page is at 0x14817000, so…

Write data to physical address? 0x1481744c

Also: update PTE with d=1

byte offset = 0x44c
PTI = 0x12a
PDI = (0x719 >> 2) = 0x1c6

Performance

Virtual Memory Summary

PageTable for each process:

- Page
  - Single-level (e.g. 4MB contiguous in physical memory)
  - or multi-level (e.g. less mem overhead due to page table),
  - …
- every load/store translated to physical addresses
- page table miss: load a swapped-out page and retry instruction, or kill program

Performance?

- How many memory references required to access memory?
  a) 1 b) 2
  c) 3 d) 4
  e) 5

4x slower!
Pipelining? No. Parallelization? No.

Performance

Virtual Memory Summary

PageTable for each process:

- Page
  - Single-level (e.g. 4MB contiguous in physical memory)
  - or multi-level (e.g. less mem overhead due to page table),
  - …
- every load/store translated to physical addresses
- page table miss: load a swapped-out page and retry instruction, or kill program

Performance?

- terrible: memory is already slow
  translation makes it slower

Solution?

- A cache, of course

4x slower!
Pipelining? No. Parallelization? No.

Next Goal

How do we speedup address translation?

Making Virtual Memory Fast

The Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)

Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)

Hardware Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)

A small, very fast cache of recent address mappings

- TLB hit: avoids PageTable lookup
- TLB miss: do PageTable lookup, cache result for later


TLB Diagram
V R W X D
tag
ppn
V R W X D
0 invalid
1 0
0 invalid
0 invalid
1 0
0 0
1 1
0 invalid
V
0 invalid
0 invalid
0 invalid
1
0 invalid
1
1
0 invalid

A TLB in the Memory Hierarchy



(1) Check TLB for vaddr (~ 1 cycle)

(2) TLB Hit

- compute paddr, send to cache

(2) TLB Miss: traverse PageTables for vaddr

(3a) PageTable has valid entry for in-memory page

- Load PageTable entry into TLB; try again (tens of cycles)

(3b) PageTable has entry for swapped-out (on-disk) page

- Page Fault: load from disk, fix PageTable, try again (millions of cycles)

(3c) PageTable has invalid entry

- Page Fault: kill process

TLB miss in hardware usually, but not always
PageTable stuff in software

Takeaway

The TLB is a fast cache for address translations.

A TLB hit is fast, miss is slow.

Next Goal

How do we keep TLB, PageTable, and Cache consistent?

TLB Coherency

TLB Coherency: What can go wrong?

A: PageTable or PageDir contents change

- swapping/paging activity, new shared pages, …

A: Page Table Base Register changes

- context switch between processes



Fully transparent

Translation Lookaside Buffers (TLBs)

When PTE changes, PDE changes, PTBR changes….

Full Transparency: TLB coherency in hardware

- Flush TLB whenever PTBR register changes [easy – why?]
- Invalidate entries whenever PTE or PDE changes [hard – why?]

TLB coherency in software

If TLB has a no-write policy…

- OS invalidates entry after OS modifies page tables
- OS flushes TLB whenever OS does context switch

process ID could just be the PTBR for the process

TLB Parameters

TLB parameters (typical)

- very small (64 – 256 entries), so very fast
- fully associative, or at least set associative
- tiny block size: why?

Intel Nehalem TLB (example)

- 128-entry L1 Instruction TLB, 4-way LRU
- 64-entry L1 Data TLB, 4-way LRU
- 512-entry L2 Unified TLB, 4-way LRU

Tiny block size because there is no spacial locality

Takeaway

The TLB is a fast cache for address translations.

A TLB hit is fast, miss is slow.

TLB Coherency –

- in HW – flush TLB when PTBR changes (context switch) and invalidate entry when PTE or PDE changes (may nee processID).
- In SW–OS invalidates TLB entry after change page tables or OS flushes TLB whenever OS does context switch

Next Goal

Virtual Memory meets Caching

Virtually vs. physically addressed caches

Virtually vs. physically tagged caches

Virtual Memory meets Caching

Virtually vs. physically addressed caches

Virtually vs. physically tagged caches

Recall TLB in the Memory Hierarchy



TLB is passing a physical address so we can load from memory.

What if the data is in the cache?



A: have to flush entire cache on context switch

A:

Doing synonym updates requires significant hardware – essentially an associative lookup on the physical address tags to see if you have multiple hits



A1: Physically-addressed: nothing; Virtually-addressed: need to flush cache
A2: Physically-addressed: nothing; Virtually-addressed: problems

Indexing vs. Tagging

Physically-Addressed Cache

- slow: requires TLB (and maybe PageTable) lookup first

Virtually-Addressed Cache

- fast: start TLB lookup before cache lookup finishes
- PageTable changes (paging, context switch, etc.)
  → need to purge stale cache lines (how?)
- Synonyms (two virtual mappings for one physical page)
  → could end up in cache twice (very bad!)

Virtually-Indexed, Physically Tagged Cache

- ~fast: TLB lookup in parallel with cache lookup
- PageTable changes → no problem: phys. tag mismatch
- Synonyms → search and evict lines with same phys. tag

Typical Cache Setup



Typical L1: On-chip virtually addressed, physically tagged

Typical L2: On-chip physically addressed

Typical L3: On-chip …

Design Decisions of Caches/TLBs/VM

Caches, Virtual Memory, & TLBs

Where can block be placed?

- Direct, n-way, fully associative

What block is replaced on miss?

- LRU, Random, LFU, …

How are writes handled?

- No-write (w/ or w/o automatic invalidation)
- Write-back (fast, block at time)
- Write-through (simple, reason about consistency)

Where?
Caches: direct/n-way/fa
VM: fa, but with a table of contents to eliminate searches
TLB: fa
Replacement?
varied
Writes?
Caches: usually write-back, or maybe write-through, or maybe no-write w/ invalidation
VM: write-back
TLB: usually no-write

Summary of Caches/TLBs/VM

Caches, Virtual Memory, & TLBs

Where can block be placed?

- Caches: direct/n-way/fully associative (fa)
- VM: fa, but with a table of contents to eliminate searches
- TLB: fa

What block is replaced on miss?

- varied

How are writes handled?

- Caches: usually write-back, or maybe write-through, or maybe no-write w/ invalidation
- VM: write-back
- TLB: usually no-write

Where?
Caches: direct/n-way/fa
VM: fa, but with a table of contents to eliminate searches
TLB: fa
Replacement?
varied
Writes?
Caches: usually write-back, or maybe write-through, or maybe no-write w/ invalidation
VM: write-back
TLB: usually no-write

Summary of Cache Design Parameters

	L1	Paged Memory	TLB
Size (blocks)	1/4k to 4k	16k to 1M	64 to 4k
Size (kB)	16 to 64	1M to 4G	2 to 16
Block size (B)	16-64	4k to 64k	4-32
Miss rates	2%-5%	10^{-4} to 10^{-5}%	0.01% to 2%
Miss penalty	10-25	10M-100M	100-1000

Role of the Operating System
Context switches, working set,
shared memory

Next Goal

How many programs do you run at once?

How does the Operating System (OS) help?

Role of the Operating System

The operating systems (OS) manages and multiplexes memory between process. It…

- Enables processes to (explicitly) increase memory:
  - sbrk and (implicitly) decrease memory
- Enables sharing of physical memory:
  - multiplexing memory via context switching, sharing memory, and paging
- Enables and limits the number of processes that can run simultaneously

sbrk (more memory)

Suppose Firefox needs a new page of memory

(1) Invoke the Operating System

```
void *sbrk(int nbytes);
```

(2) OS finds a free page of physical memory

- clear the page (fill with zeros)
- add a new entry to Firefox's PageTable

Context Switch (sharing CPU)

Suppose Firefox is idle, but Skype wants to run

(1) Firefox invokes the Operating System

```
int sleep(int nseconds);
```

(2) OS saves Firefox's registers, load Skype's

- (more on this later)

(3) OS changes the CPU's Page Table Base Register

- Cop0:ContextRegister / CR3:PDBR

(4) OS returns to Skype

Shared Memory

Suppose Firefox and Skype want to share data

(1) OS finds a free page of physical memory

- clear the page (fill with zeros)
- add a new entry to Firefox's PageTable
- add a new entry to Skype's PageTable
  - can be same or different vaddr
  - can be same or different page permissions

Multiplexing

Suppose Skype needs a new page of memory, but Firefox is hogging it all

(1) Invoke the Operating System

```
void *sbrk(int nbytes);
```

(2) OS can't find a free page of physical memory

- Pick a page from Firefox instead (or other process)

(3) If page table entry has dirty bit set…

- Copy the page contents to disk

(4) Mark Firefox's page table entry as "on disk"

- Firefox will fault if it tries to access the page

(5) Give the newly freed physical page to Skype

- clear the page (fill with zeros)
- add a new entry to Skype's PageTable

Takeaway

The OS assists with the Virtual Memory abstraction

- `sbrk`
- Context switches
- Shared memory
- Multiplexing memory

How can the OS optimize the use of physical memory?

What does the OS need to beware of?

Paging Assumption 1

OS multiplexes physical memory among processes

- assumption # 1:
  processes use only a few pages at a time
- working set = set of process's recently actively pages



Thrashing (excessive paging)



Q: What if working set is too large?

Case 1: Single process using too many pages



Case 2: Too many processes



Thrashing

Thrashing b/c working set of process (or processes) greater than physical memory available

- Firefox steals page from Skype
- Skype steals page from Firefox

- I/O (disk activity) at 100% utilization
  - But no useful work is getting done

Ideal: Size of disk, speed of memory (or cache)

Non-ideal: Speed of disk

Paging Assumption 2

OS multiplexes physical memory among processes

- assumption # 2:
  recent accesses predict future accesses
- working set usually changes slowly over time



More Thrashing

Q: What if working set changes rapidly or unpredictably?



A: Thrashing b/c recent accesses don't predict future accesses

Preventing Thrashing

How to prevent thrashing?

- User: Don't run too many apps
- Process: efficient and predictable mem usage
- OS: Don't over-commit memory, memory-aware scheduling policies, etc.

Takeaway

The OS assists with the Virtual Memory abstraction

- `sbrk`
- Context switches
- Shared memory
- Multiplexing memory
- Working set
- Thrashing

Virtual Memory Summary

All problems in computer science can be solved by another level of indirection.

Need a map to translate a “fake” virtual address (generated by CPU) to a “real” physical Address (in memory)

Virtual memory is implemented via a “Map”, a ***PageTage,*** that maps a ***vaddr*** (a virtual address) to a ***paddr*** (physical address):

paddr = PageTable[vaddr]

A page is constant size block of virtual memory. Often, the page size will be around 4kB to reduce the number of entries in a PageTable.

We can use the PageTable to set Read/Write/Execute permission on a per page basis. Can allocate memory on a per page basis. Need a valid bit, as well as Read/Write/Execute and other bits.

But, overhead due to PageTable is significant.

Summary of Caches/TLBs/VM

Caches, Virtual Memory, & TLBs

Where can block be placed?

- Caches: direct/n-way/fully associative (fa)
- VM: fa, but with a table of contents to eliminate searches
- TLB: fa

What block is replaced on miss?

- varied

How are writes handled?

- Caches: usually write-back, or maybe write-through, or maybe no-write w/ invalidation
- VM: write-back
- TLB: usually no-write

Where?
Caches: direct/n-way/fa
VM: fa, but with a table of contents to eliminate searches
TLB: fa
Replacement?
varied
Writes?
Caches: usually write-back, or maybe write-through, or maybe no-write w/ invalidation
VM: write-back
TLB: usually no-write

Summary of Cache Design Parameters

	L1	Paged Memory	TLB
Size (blocks)	1/4k to 4k	16k to 1M	64 to 4k
Size (kB)	16 to 64	1M to 4G	2 to 16
Block size (B)	16-64	4k to 64k	4-32
Miss rates	2%-5%	10^{-4} to 10^{-5}%	0.01% to 2%
Miss penalty	10-25	10M-100M	100-1000