

Lecture 15: Calculus in OCAML

Mark Bickford

March 28, 2016

Introduction The basic concepts of calculus are real numbers, functions from reals to reals, continuity of functions, and the derivatives and integrals of functions. Expressing these things in a functional programming language like OCAML lets us compute with these concepts and gives a concrete meaning for mathematical concepts that might seem very abstract. Thinking about the OCAML types for these things can give you a clearer understanding of them.

Integers The integers are represented in OCAML by the type `big_int`. For brevity, I'll write `Int` for the type of integers.

Real numbers We have seen that a real number x is a function from positive integers to rationals such that for all n and m , $|x(n) - x(m)| \leq (\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m})$. We can think of this as saying that the n^{th} approximation $x(n)$ is a rational number that is within distance $\frac{1}{n}$ of the real number x .

We represent a rational number using pair of integers, so it can have OCAML type `Int * Int`. So a real number can have OCAML type `Int -> Int * Int`. But we could code a pair of integers into a single integer, or, even better, we can always normalize the n^{th} approximation $x(n) = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$ to $\frac{c_n}{2n}$ where $c_n = 2n * a_n \div b_n$. Then we can just represent the real number x by the function $\lambda n.c_n$ because the denominator of the n^{th} approximation will always be $2n$.

So, a real number can have the OCAML type `Int -> Int`. Lets write `real` for whichever type we have chosen for the real numbers.

Functions of reals What is the type of a function like $\text{cosine}(x)$? Is its OCAML type `real -> real`? The answer is "yes and no". Yes, because that is the best we can do in the OCAML type system. No, because to be a function from reals to reals it has to satisfy an additional property.

Two real numbers x and y are equal if their n^{th} approximations are always within $\frac{2}{n}$ of each other:

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow |x(n) - y(n)| \leq \frac{2}{n}, \text{ for all } n$$

We call an OCAML function $f: \text{real} \rightarrow \text{real}$ an *operation* on real numbers. But to be a (mathematical) *function* on real numbers it must satisfy the property that if $x = y$ then $f(x) = f(y)$.

If that holds for all reals x and y in some interval $[a, b]$, then we say that the operation f is a *function* defined on interval $[a, b]$.

$$\text{FUN}(f, a, b) \Leftrightarrow (x = y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)), \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } [a, b]$$

Continuous functions A function is *continuous* if its graph does not have any gaps or jumps. So, if x_1 and x_2 are very close together then $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ must also be close together (otherwise there would be a jump in the graph of f between x_1 and x_2). To say this precisely we need an “epsilon-delta” definition, but that is not complicated. We can represent an arbitrarily small ϵ or δ as $\frac{1}{n}$ where n is a positive integer. The operation $f: \text{real} \rightarrow \text{real}$ is (uniformly) continuous on the interval $[a, b]$ if for any ϵ there is a δ such that for all reals x_1 and x_2 in the interval $[a, b]$, if x_1 and x_2 are within δ of each other then $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ are within ϵ of each other.

$$\text{CONT}(f, a, b) \Leftrightarrow \forall n. \exists m. |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{m} \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } [a, b]$$

We can represent the fact that operation f is continuous on $[a, b]$ by giving another function $mc: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$, called the *modulus of continuity* of f , that for each n gives the needed m . So an operation f is continuous on $[a, b]$ if there is a modulus of continuity mc such that, for any positive integer n , if x_1 and x_2 are within $\frac{1}{mc(n)}$ of each other then $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ will be within $\frac{1}{n}$ of each other.

Integral of a function If f is a continuous function on $[a, b]$ then $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is the (signed) area under the graph of f between a and b . To get the n^{th} approximation of this real number, we partition the interval $[a, b]$ into parts of length $s = \frac{b-a}{k}$, by letting $p_0 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \cdots \leq p_k$ be $p_i = a + (i * s)$ so $p_0 = a$ and $p_k = b$. Then we add up the areas of the rectangles $f(p_i) * s$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. We need to choose k big enough and approximate the $f(p_i)$ close enough so that what we get is within $\frac{1}{n}$ of the true area.

If mc is a modulus of continuity for f and c is an integer c such that $2n * (b - a) \leq c$, then we let $m = mc(c)$ and choose k so that $s = \frac{b-a}{k} \leq \frac{1}{m}$. Then for any x in the small interval $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$ we will have $|f(x) - f(p_i)| \leq \frac{1}{c}$. So the difference between the area of the rectangle $f(p_i) * s$ and the true area under the curve between p_i and p_{i+1} will be at most $\frac{s}{c}$. If we add up all of these errors we get at most $k * \frac{s}{c}$ which equals $\frac{b-a}{c}$ which is $\leq \frac{1}{2n}$. The sum of the areas of the rectangles is the real number `riemann_sum f a b k = s * (f(a) + f(a+s) + ... f(a+(k-1)*s))`. The $(2n)^{th}$ approximation of that real number is within $\frac{1}{2n}$ of the area of the rectangles which is within $\frac{1}{2n}$ of the true area. So it is within $\frac{1}{n}$ of the true area.

OCAML code for integral

```
let riemann_sum f a b k =
  let x = rdiv_int (rsubtract b a) k in
  let g i =
    let aa = rmul (bigint2real (sub_big_int k i)) a in
    let bb = rmul (bigint2real i) b in
    rdiv_int (radd aa bb) k in
  let s = rsum (fun i -> f (p i)) zero_big_int (pred_big_int k) in
  rmul s x

let integral mc f a b:real =
  fun n ->
    let nn = mult_int_big_int 2 n in
    let len = canonical_bound (rsubtract b a) in
    let c = mult_big_int nn len in
    let m = mc c in
    let k = mult_big_int m len in
    riemann_sum f a b k nn:q
```

When we load this code into OCAML we get this:

```
val integral:
  (Big_int.big_int -> Big_int.big_int) ->
  (real -> real) -> real -> real -> real = <fun>
```

The inputs to the integral are the modulus of continuity, the operation, and the endpoints. The output is a real.

How to get a modulus of continuity If a function f has a derivative f' then the *Mean Value Theorem* says that $\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|} = f'(c)$ for some c

between x and y . So, if the maximum of the absolute value of f' on the interval $[a, b]$ is bounded by an integer k , we can use `fun n -> k*n` for a modulus of continuity for f on $[a, b]$.

Running the integral code We can try this out. To calculate the area under the curve $y = x^2$ between 1 and 2 we use

```
integral (fun n -> 4*n) (fun x -> rmul x x) (int2real 1) (int2real 2)
```

Since we know that the derivative of x^2 is $2x$, the maximum of the derivative on the interval $[1,2]$ is 4. That is why we can use `fun n -> 4*n` for the modulus of continuity.

To get two digits of accuracy, we need to approximate the integral within $\frac{1}{100}$ so we apply it to 100. We get 2.33, reasonably fast. But to get three digits accuracy we apply to 1000, and it takes more than a minute to get 2.333.

Let's compute the area under the curve $y = \text{sine}(x)$ between 0 and 3. Since the derivative of $\text{sine}(x)$ is $\text{cosine}(x)$ and that is bounded by 1, we can use the modulus of continuity `fun n -> n`. So we use

```
integral (fun n -> n) (fun x -> sine x) (int2real 0) (int2real 3)
```

We get two digits of accuracy by applying it to 100 and get 1.98 but it takes about a minute.

Fundamental theorem of Calculus Function F is an *anti-derivative* of function of f if $F'(x) = f(x)$.

The fundamental theorem of calculus says that in that case, $\int_a^b f(x)dx = F(b) - F(a)$.

We can use this to compute $\int_1^2 x^2 dx$ quickly because an anti-derivative is $\frac{x^3}{3}$ so the answer is $\frac{2^3}{3} - \frac{1^3}{3} = \frac{7}{3} = 2.3333333333333333...$

For $\int_0^3 \text{sine}(x)dx$, the anti-derivative is $-\text{cosine}(x)$, so the answer is $-\text{cosine}(3) + \text{cosine}(0)$ which is $1 - \text{cosine}(3)$. We can compute 20 digits of this very quickly and get 1.98999249660044545727. This agrees with the measly two digits of accuracy we got after a minute using the integral code.

Moral: *The fundamental theorem of calculus is an efficiency result.* It says that a labor-intensive summation can be computed by evaluating an anti-derivative at two points.