

## SEARCHING AND SORTING HINT AT ASYMPTOTIC COMPLEXITY

Lecture 10
CS2110 - Spring 2016

## Miscellaneous

$\square$ A3 due Monday night. Group early! Only 379 views of the piazza A3 FAQ. Everyone should look at it.
$\square$ Pinned Piazza note on Supplemental study material. @472. Contains material that may help you study certain topics. It also talks about how to study.

## Search as in problem set: b is sorted
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$$
\mathrm{h}=-1 ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b} \text {.length; }
$$

$$
\text { while }(h+1!=t)\{
$$

$$
\text { if }(\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{~h}+1]<=\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}+1 \text {; }
$$

$$
\text { else } \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{h}+1 \text {; }
$$

## Methodology:

1. Draw the invariant as a combination of pre and post
2. Develop loop using 4 loopy questions.

## Practice doing this!

## Search as in problem set: b is sorted


b.length

$$
\mathrm{b}[0]>\mathrm{v} ? \quad \text { one iteration. }
$$

$\mathrm{h}=-1$; $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}$.length;
while $(\mathrm{h}+1!=\mathrm{t})$ \{
if $(\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h}+1]<=\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{l}$;
else $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{h}+1$;
\}
$\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{b}$.length -1$] \leq 0$ ?
b.length iterations
Worst case: time is proportional to size of $b$

Since b is sorted, can cut ? segment in half. As a dictionary search

## Search as in problem set: b is sorted



$\mathrm{h}=-1 ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}$.length;
while (h ! $=\mathrm{t}-1$ ) \{

$$
\text { int } \mathrm{e}=(\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{t}) / 2
$$

$$
/ / \mathrm{h}<\mathrm{e}<\mathrm{t}
$$

$$
\text { if }(\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{e}]<=\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{e} \text {; }
$$

else $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{e}$;

## Binary search: an $O(\log n)$ algorithm


$\mathrm{h}=-1$; $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}$.length;
while (h ! $=\mathrm{t}-1$ ) \{

int $\mathrm{e}=(\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{t}) / 2$;
if $(\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{e}]<=\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{e} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=2^{* *} \mathrm{k}$ ? About k iterations
else $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{e}$;

Each iteration cuts the size of the? segment in half.

Time taken is proportional to k , or $\log \mathrm{n}$.
A logarithmic algorithm Write as $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$ [explain notation next lecture]

## Looking at execution speed Process an array of size n



## InsertionSort


for (int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{b}$.length; $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$ ) \{ maintain invariant \}

Each iteration, $i=1+1$; How to keep inv true?


Push $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}]$ down to its shortest position in $\mathrm{b}[0 . . \mathrm{i}]$, then increase i

Will take time proportional to the number of swaps needed

## What to do in each iteration?



## InsertionSort

| $/ /$ sort b[], an array of int |
| :--- |
| $/ /$ inv: $\mathrm{b}[0 . . \mathrm{i}-1]$ is sorted |
| for $($ int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{b}$. length; $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)\{$ |
| $\quad$Push $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}]$ down to its sorted <br> position in $\mathrm{b}[0 . . \mathrm{i}]$ |
| $\}$ |

Many people sort cards this way
Works well when input is nearly sorted

Note English statement in body.
Abstraction. Says what to do, not how.

This is the best way to present it. We expect you to present it this was when asked.

Later, show how to implement that with a loop

## InsertionSort



## How to write nested loops
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## InsertionSort

```
// sort b[], an array of int
// inv: b[0..i-1] is sorted
for (int i=0; i < b.length; i= i+1) {
    Push b[i] down to its sorted position
    in b[0..i]
}
```

Pushing $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}]$ down can take i swaps. Worst case takes

$$
1+2+3+\ldots \mathrm{n}-1=(\mathrm{n}-1) * \mathrm{n} / 2
$$

Swaps.

- Worst-case: O(n²) (reverse-sorted input)
- Best-case: O(n) (sorted input)
- Expected case: O(n²)
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}))$ : Takes time proportional to $f(n)$. Formal definition later

Let $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{b}$.length

## SelectionSort



Keep invariant true while making progress?

Increasing $i$ by 1 keeps inv true only if $b[i]$ is $\min$ of $b[i .$.

## SelectionSort

```
//sort b[], an array of int
// inv: b[0..i-1] sorted AND
// \(\quad \mathrm{b}[0 . . \mathrm{i}-1]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i} .\).
```

for (int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{b}$.length; $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$ ) \{
int $\mathrm{m}=$ index of minimum of $\mathrm{b}[i .$.$] ;$
Swap b[i] and b[m];
\}


Each iteration, swap min value of this section into $b[i]$

## Swapping b[i] and b[m]

// Swap b[i] and b[m]
int $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}]$;
$\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{m}]$;
$\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{m}]=\mathrm{t}$;

## Partition algorithm of quicksort



Swap array values around until b[h..k] looks like this:



## Partition algorithm



Combine pre and post to get an invariant

invariant needs at least 4
sections

## Partition algorithm


$\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{k}$;
while $(\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{t})$ \{
if $(b[j+1]<=b[j])\{$
Swap b[j+1] and b[j]; $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j}+1$;
\} else \{
Swap $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}+1]$ and $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{t}] ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}-1$;
$\}$
\}
Takes linear time: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{k}+1-\mathrm{h})$

Initially, with $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{h}$ and $t=k$, this diagram looks like the start diagram

Terminate when $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{t}$, so the "?" segment is empty, so diagram looks like result diagram

## QuickSort procedure

/** Sort b[h..k]. */
public static void $\mathrm{QS}($ int [] b, int $h$, int $k$ ) \{
if (b[h..k] has $<2$ elements) return; Base case
int $\mathrm{j}=\operatorname{partition}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k})$;
// We know $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{j}-1]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}+1 . . \mathrm{k}]$
//Sort b[h.j-1] and b[j+1..k]
QS(b, h, j-1);
QS(b, j+1, k);
\}
Function does the partition algorithm and returns position $j$ of pivot

## QuickSort

Quicksort developed by Sir Tony Hoare (he was knighted by the Queen of England for his contributions to education and CS).
81 years old.
Developed Quicksort in 1958. But he could not explain it to his colleague, so he gave up on it.


Later, he saw a draft of the new language Algol 58 (which became Algol 60). It had recursive procedures. First time in a procedural programming language. "Ah!," he said. "I know how to write it better now." 15 minutes later, his colleague also understood it.

## Worst case quicksort: pivot always smallest value


partioning at depth 0
partioning at depth 1
partioning at depth 2
/** Sort b[h..k]. */
public static void $\mathrm{QS}($ int [] b , int h , int k$)$ \{
if (b[h..k] has < 2 elements) return;
int $\mathrm{j}=$ partition $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k})$;
QS(b, h, j-1); $\quad$ QS(b, j+1, k);

## Best case quicksort: pivot always middle value

| 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

depth 0.1 segment of size $\sim \mathrm{n}$ to partition.

| $<=\mathrm{x} 1$ | x 1 | $>=\mathrm{x} 1$ | x 0 | $<=\mathrm{x} 2$ | x 2 | $>=\mathrm{x} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\square$
$\square$
$\square$

Depth 2.2 segments of size $\sim \mathrm{n} / 2$ to partition.

Depth 3. 4 segments of size $\sim \mathrm{n} / 4$ to partition.

Max depth: about $\log \mathrm{n}$. Time to partition on each level: $\sim \mathrm{n}$ Total time: O(n $\log \mathrm{n})$.

Average time for Quicksort: $\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n}$. Difficult calculation

## QuickSort procedure

/** Sort b[h..k]. */
public static void $\mathrm{QS}($ int [] b , int h , int k$)$ \{
if (b[h..k] has < 2 elements) return; Worst-case: quadratic int $\mathrm{j}=\operatorname{partition}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}) ; \quad$ Average-case: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$
// We know $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{j}-1]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}+1 . . \mathrm{k}]$
// Sort b[h..j-1] and b[j+1..k]
QS(b, h, j-1);
QS(b, j+1, k);
Worst-case space: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{n})$ ! --depth of recursion can be $n$
Can rewrite it to have space $O(\log n)$
Average-case: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} * \log \mathrm{n})$

## Partition algorithm

Key issue:
How to choose a pivot?

Choosing pivot

- Ideal pivot: the median, since it splits array in half
But computing median of unsorted array is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$, quite complicated
Popular heuristics: Use
- first array value (not good)
- middle array value
- median of first, middle, last, values GOOD!
- Choose a random element


## Quicksort with logarithmic space

Problem is that if the pivot value is always the smallest (or always the largest), the depth of recursion is the size of the array to sort.

Eliminate this problem by doing some of it iteratively and some recursively

## Quicksort with logarithmic space

Problem is that if the pivot value is always the smallest (or always the largest), the depth of recursion is the size of the array to sort.

Eliminate this problem by doing some of it iteratively and some recursively. We may show you this later. Not today!

## QuickSort with logarithmic space

/** Sort b[h..k]. */
public static void QS(int[] b, int $h$, int $k$ ) \{
int $\mathrm{h} 1=\mathrm{h}$; int $\mathrm{k} 1=\mathrm{k}$;
// invariant $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} . . \mathrm{k}]$ is sorted if $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} 1 . . \mathrm{k} 1]$ is sorted
while ( $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} 1 . . \mathrm{k} 1]$ has more than 1 element) \{
Reduce the size of $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} 1 . . \mathrm{k} 1]$, keeping inv true
\}
\}

## QuickSort with logarithmic space
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```
/** Sort b[h..k]. */
```

public static void $Q S($ int []$b$, int $h$, int $k)\{$
int $\mathrm{h} 1=\mathrm{h}$; int $\mathrm{k} 1=\mathrm{k}$;
// invariant $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} . . \mathrm{k}]$ is sorted if $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} 1 . . \mathrm{k} 1]$ is sorted
while (b[h1..k1] has more than 1 element) \{
int $\mathrm{j}=\operatorname{partition}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h} 1, \mathrm{k} 1)$;
$/ / \mathrm{b}[\mathrm{h} 1 . . \mathrm{j}-1]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}]<=\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{j}+1 . . \mathrm{k} 1]$
if (b[h1..j-1] smaller than $b[j+1 . . k 1])$
$\{\mathrm{QS}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{j}-1) ; \mathrm{h} 1=\mathrm{j}+1 ;\}$
else
$\{\mathrm{QS}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{k} 1) ; \mathrm{k} 1=\mathrm{j}-1 ;\}$
\}
\}

Only the smaller segment is sorted recursively. If $b[h 1 . . k 1]$ has size n , the smaller segment has size $<\mathrm{n} / 2$.

Therefore, depth of recursion is at most $\log n$

Binary search: find position $h$ of $v=5$
pre: array is sorted


Loop invariant:
$\mathrm{b}[0 . \mathrm{h}]<=\mathrm{v}$
$b[t .]>$.
$B$ is sorted


