


... picking up where we stopped

- We were discussing the class hierarchy
- We had been focused on extending a class by creating a new child class
 - We looked at "overloading" methods
 - Allows us to have multiple methods with the same name but with different type signatures
 - Used when some arguments have default values. The "short" versions just call the "ultimate" one with default values for any unspecified parameters



Example: **Overriding** "toString"

- Similar terms but over<u>load</u> and over<u>ride</u> differ
 - Overload: A class with multiple methods having the same name but different type signatures
 - Override: A class that redefines some method that its parent defined, and that it would have inherited
- Overload has nothing to do with extending a class
- Override is used only when extending a class

Example: **Overriding** "toString"

- Class Object defines toString, so every object of every class contains toString.
 - toString in Object: prints name@Address
 - Most classes override toString()
 - toString() in an object usually returns a string that contains values of the fields of the object, printed in a nice way.

// Putting it right into the declaration can increase clarity public @Override string toString() {
 return this.name + ":" + this.value;

Class Object defines to String, so every object of every class contains to String. to String in Object: prints name@Address Most classes ove Method To String should override some inherited method. In the prints of the fields of the object, printed in a nice way. // If you make a mistake, now Eclipse will notice & warn you public @Override string To String() { // Mistake: to, not To return this.name + ":" + this.value; }

Is toString() the only use for override? This the most common use! But there is one other very common case Java has many pre-defined classes for making lists or other kinds of collections

Again, there are default comparison rules but they don't often do exactly what you would want

□ It can search and sort within them

□ These need a way to compare elements

Example: Overloading "compareTo"

- □ Interface Comparable has three methods:
 - a.equals(b): returns true/false
 - a.compareTo(b): returns -/0/+
 - a.hashCode(): returns a number (ideally unique and randomized) representing object a. Usually return data.hashCode() for some data object in a that represents a's "value" (perhaps a string or a number)
 - Warning: Override one method? Must override all. Otherwise, get mix of inherited and override versions, and Java utilities that depend on them malfunction

Accessing Overridden Methods

Suppose a class overrides method m

Like toString() in the examples we just saw

- Sometimes it is useful to be able to call the parent version.

 E.g. maybe you still want to print the Name@Address using
 Object.toString()
- □ In subclass, call overridden method using Super.m()
- Example:

 Public @Override String toString() {
 return super.toString() + ": " + name + ", price=" + price;
 }
 "ns@0xAF402: Hotel Bates, price=37.50"

Shifting gears

- □ We've focused on the type hierarchy.
- Now let's look at a different but related question: how things get initialized in Java
 - For a single object
 - ... for static variables, and then for instance variables
 - ... then for objects in a subclass of a parent class
 - $\hfill \square$... and then for two classes that refer to one another

Drill down: Initializing an object

- Questions to ask about initialization in Java:
 - When do things have default values? What are those?
 - What happens if you touch an uninitialized object?
 - What if you need a more complicated initialization that requires executing some code?
 - Who gets initialized first in an parent/subclass situation?
 - Who gets initialized first if two different classes have initializers that each refer to the other?

```
Java automatically calls two.toString()
 Constructors
                       It works: class Thing inherits Object.toString().
                         Won't print value in field val [Why not?]

    Called to create new instances of a class.

    A class can define multiple constructors

    Default constructor initializes all fields to default

    values (0, false, null...)
class Thing {
   int val;
   Thing(int val) {
      this.val = val; Thing one = new Thing(1);
                     Thing two = new Thing(2);
                     Thing three = new Thing();
   Thing() {
                     System.out.println("Thing two = "
                                                        two);
      this(3);
```

```
Constructors in class hierarchy
□ Principle: initialize superclass fields first.
□ Implementation: First statement of
                                              Hotel@xy
  constructor must be call on constructor in
  this class or superclass Java syntax or is:
                                                Object fields,
     this(arguments);
                                                methods
     super(arguments);
□ If you don't do this, Java
                                                Lodaina fields.
  inserts call
                                                methods
     super();
 public class Hotel extends Lodging \{\dots\}
                                                Hotel fields,
                                                methods
```

```
public class CSuper {
    public CSuper() {
        System.out.println("CSuper constructor called.");
    }
    public class A extends CSuper {
        public A() {
            super();
            System.out.println("Constructor in A running.");
        }
        public static void main(String[] str) {
            Class A obj = new Class A();
        }
}
```

```
Uhat arelocal variables?

Local variable: variable declared in method body

Not initialized, you need to do it yourself!

Eclipse should detect these mistakes and tell you

class Thing {
   int val;
   public Thing(int val) {
      int undef;
      this.val = val + undef;
   }
   public Thing() {
      this(3);
   }
}
```

```
Static Initializers

An initializer for a static field runs once, when the class is loaded
Used to initialize static objects

class StaticInit {

static Set<String> courses = new HashSet<String>();

static {

courses.add("CS 2110");

courses.add("CS 2111");

}

...

Glimpse of a "generic"
```

Reminder: Static vs Instance Example

```
class Widget {
    static int nextSerialNumber = 10000;
    int serialNumber;
    Widget() {        serialNumber = nextSerialNumber++; }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Widget a = new Widget();
        Widget b = new Widget();
        Widget c = new Widget();
        System.out.println(a.serialNumber);
        System.out.println(b.serialNumber);
        System.out.println(c.serialNumber);
    }
}
```

Accessing static versus instance fields

- If name is unique and in scope, Java knows what you are referring to. In scope: in this object and accessible.
 Just use the (unqualified) name:
 - serialNumber
 - nextSerialNumber
- Refer to <u>static</u> fields/methods in <u>another</u> class using name of class
 - Widget.nextSerialNumber
- Refer to <u>instance</u> fields/methods of another object using name of <u>object</u>
 - a.serialNumber

Hair-raising initialization

- □ Suppose a is of type A and b is of type B
 - ... and A has static field myAVal,
 - □and B has field myBVal.
 - Suppose we have static initializers:
 public static int myAVal = B.myBVal+1;
 public static int myBVal = A.myAVal+1;



Hair-raising initialization

- What happens depends on which class gets loaded first. Assume program accesses A.
 - Java "loads" A and initializes all its fields to 0/false/null
 - Now, static initializers run. A accesses B. So Java loads B and initializes all its fields to O/false/null.
 - Before we can access B.myBVal we need to initialize it.
- \square B sets myBVal = A.myAVal+1 = 0+1 = 1
- \square Next A sets A.myAVal = B.myBVal+1=1+1=2
- (Only lunatics write code like this but knowing how it works is helpful)



Some Java ((issues))

 An overriding method cannot have more restricted access than the method it overrides

```
class A {
    public int m() {...}
}

class B extends A {
    private @Override int m() {...} //illegal!
}

A foo = new B(); // upcasting
foo.m(); // would invoke private method in
    // class B at runtime
```

Can we override a field?

- ... Yes, Java allows this. There are some situations where it might even be necessary.
- □ We call the technique "shadowing"
- □ But it isn't normally a good idea.

```
... a nasty example
class A {
int i = 1;
  int f() { return i; }
class B extends A {
                                                   // Shadows variable i in class A.
   int @Override f() { return -i; }
                                                   // Overrides method f in class A.
public class override_test {
  public static void main(String args[]) {
                                                               The "runtime" type of "a" is
"B"!
      B b = new B();
                                                // Refers to B.i; prints 2.
      System.out.println(b.i):
      System.out.println(b.f());
A a = (A) b;
                                                    Refers to B.f(); prints -2.
                                                   // Cast b to an instance of class A.
       System.out.println(a.i);
                                                 // Now refers to A.i; prints 1;
                                                 // Still refers to B.f(); prints -2;
```

Shadowing Like overriding, but for fields instead of methods Superclass: variable v of some type Subclass: variable v perhaps of some other type Subclass method: access shadowed variable using super.v Variable references are resolved using static binding (i.e. at compile-time), not dynamic binding (i.e. not at runtime) Variable reference r.v uses the static (declared) type of variable r, not runtime type of the object referred to by r Shadowing is bad medicine. Don't do it. CS2110 does not allow it


... back to our nasty example class A { int i = 1; int f() { return i; } class B extends A { int i = 2; // Shadows variable i in class A. int @Override f() { return -i; } // Overrides method f in class A. public class override_test { public static void main(String args[]) { B b = new B(); The "declared" or "static" type of "a" is "A"! // Refers to B.i; prints 2. System.out.println(b.i); System.out.println(b.f()); Refers to B.f(); prints -2. A = (A) b;// Cast b to an instance of class A. // Now refers to A.i; prints 1; System.out.println(a.i); // Still refers to B.f(); prints -2;

Software Engineering The art by which we start with a problem statement and gradually evolve a solution There are whole books on this topic and most companies try to use a fairly uniform approach that all employees are expected to follow The class hierarchy you design is a step in this process

```
The software design cycle

Some ways of turning a problem statement into a program that we can debug and run

Top-Down, Bottom-Up Design
Software Process (briefly)
Modularity
Information Hiding, Encapsulation
Principles of Least Astonishment and "DRY"
Refactoring
```



Not a perfect, pretty picture

- It is often easy to take the first step but not the second one
- Large abstractions come naturally. But details often work better from the ground up
- Many developers work by building something small, testing it, then extending it
 - □ It helps to not be afraid of needing to recode things

Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up

□ Is one way better? Not really!

- It's sometimes good to alternative
- By coming to a problem from multiple angles you might notice something you had previously overlooked
- Not the only ways to go about it
- □ Top-Down: harder to test early because parts needed may not have been designed yet
- □ Bottom-Up: may end up needing things different from how you built them

Software Process

□ For simple programs, a simple process...



- But to use this process, you need to be sure that the requirements are fixed and well understood!
 - Many software problems are not like that
 - Often customer refines requirements when you try to deliver the initial solution!

Incremental & Iterative

Deliver versions of system in several small cycles



- Recognizes that for some settings, software development is like gardening
- You plant seeds... see what does well... then replace the plants that did poorly

Information Hiding

What "information" do classes hide?
 "Internal" design decisions.

```
public class Set {
    ...
    public void add(Object o) ...
    public boolean contains(Object o) ...
    public int size() ...
```

Class'es interface: everything in it that is externally accessible

Encapsulation

- By hiding code and data behind its interface, a class encapsulates its "inner workings"
- Why is that good?
 - Can change implementation later without invalidating the code that uses the class

```
class LineSegment {
    private Point2D p1, p2;
    ...
    public double length() {
        return p1.distance(p2);
    }
```

```
class LineSegment {
    private Point2D p;
    private double length;
    private double phi;
    ...
    public double length() {
        return length;
    }
```

Degenerate Interfaces - Public fields are usually a Bad Thing: class Set { public int count = 0; public void add(Object o) ... public boolean contains(Object o) ... public int size() ... } - Anybody can change them; the class has no control

```
Use of interfaces?

When team builds a solution, interfaces can be valuable!

Rebecca agrees to implement the code to extract genetic data from files

Tom will implement the logic to compare DNA
Willy is responsible for the GUI

By agreeing on the interfaces between their respective modules, they can all work on the program simultaneously
```

```
Principle of Least Astonishment

Interface should "hint" at its behavior

Bad:
    public int product(int a, int b) {
        return a*b > 0 ? a*b : -a*b;
    }

Better:
    /** Return absolute value of a * b */
    public int absProduct(int a, int b) {
        return a*b > 0 ? a*b : -a*b;
    }

Names and comments matter!
```

```
Outsmarting yourself

A useful shorthand... Instead of
something = something * 2;
... use
something *= 2;

All such operators:
+= -= *= /= %= ^=
```

```
Principle of Least Astonishment

Unexpected side effects are a Bad Thing

class MyInteger {
    private int value;
    public MyInteger times(int factor) {
        value *= factor;
        return new MyInteger(value):
    }

Developer trying to be clever. But what does code do to i?

MyInteger j = new MyInteger(Least)

MyInteger j = i.times(10);
```

```
Duplication


It is common to find some chunk of working code, make a replica, then edit the replica

But this makes your software fragile: later, when code you copied needs to be revised, either

The person doing that changes all instances, or
some become inconsistent

Duplication can arise in many ways:
constants (repeated "magic numbers")
code vs. comment
within an object's state
...
```

"DRY" Principle Don't Repeat Yourself Nice goal: have each piece of knowledge live in one place But don't go crazy over it DRYing up at any cost can increase dependencies between code "3 strikes and you refactor" (i.e. clean up)




Why is refactoring good?

- □ If your application later gets used as part of a Nasa mission to Mars, it won't make mistakes
- Every place that the gravitational constant shows up in your program a reader will realize that this is what they are looking at
- □ The compiler may actually produce better code

Common refactorings

- Rename something
 - □ Eclipse will do it all through your code
 - Warning: Eclipse doesn't automatically fix comments!
 - □ Take a chunk of your code and turn it into a method
 - Anytime your "instinct" is to copy lines of code from one place in your program to another and then modify, consider trying this refactoring approach instead...
 - ... even if you have to modify this new method, there will be just one "version" to debug and maintain!



Summary

- 50
 - We've seen that Java offers ways to build general classes and then to created specialized versions of them
 - In fact we saw several ways to do this
 - Our challenge is to use this power to build clean, elegant software that doesn't duplicate functionality in confusing ways
 - The developer's job is to find abstractions and use their insight to design better code!