

## Undirected Trees

- An undirected graph is a tree if there is exactly one simple path between any pair of vertices



## A lecture with two distinct parts

$\square$ Part I: Finishing our discussion of graphs
$\square$ Today: Spanning trees
$\square$ Definitions, algorithms (Prim's, Kruskal's)
$\square$ Travelling salesman problem

Part II: Introduction to the idea of threads
$\square$ Why do we need them?
$\square$ What is a thread?

## Facts About Trees

- $|\mathrm{E}|=|\mathrm{V}|-1$
- connected
- no cycles

In fact, any two of these properties imply the third, and imply that the graph
 is a tree

## Spanning Trees

A spanning tree of a connected undirected graph $(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is a subgraph $\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ that is a tree


## Spanning Trees

A spanning tree of a connected undirected graph $(V, E)$ is a subgraph $\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ that is a tree

- Same set of vertices V
- $\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{E}$
- $\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ is a tree




## Finding a Spanning Tree

A subtractive method

- Start with the whole graph - it is connected
- If there is a cycle, pick an edge on the cycle, throw it out - the graph is still connected (why?)
- Repeat until no more cycles



## Finding a Spanning Tree

## An additive method

- Start with no edges - there are no cycles
- If more than one connected component, insert an edge between them - still no cycles (why?)
- Repeat until only one
 component


Finding a Spanning Tree

An additive method

- Start with no edges - there are no cycles
- If more than one connected component, insert an edge between them - still no cycles (why?)
- Repeat until only one
 component


Minimum Spanning Trees

- Suppose edges are weighted, and we want a spanning tree of minimum cost (sum of edge weights)
- Some graphs have exactly one minimum spanning tree. Others have multiple trees with the same cost, any of which is a minimum spanning tree



## 3 Greedy Algorithms

A. Find a max weight edge - if it is on a cycle, throw it out, otherwise keep it



## 3 Greedy Algorithms

A. Find a max weight edge - if it is on a cycle, throw it out, otherwise keep it




## 3 Greedy Algorithms

C. Start with any vertex, add min weight edge extending that connected component that does not form a cycle

Prim's algorithm (reminiscent of Dijkstra's algorithm)



## 3 Greedy Algorithms

- When edge weights are all distinct, or if there is exactly one minimum spanning tree, the 3 algorithms all find the identical tree


| Prim's Algorithm |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 41 |  |
|  | ```prim(s) { D[s] = 0; mark s; //start vertex while (some vertices are unmarked) { v = unmarked vertex with smallest D; mark v; for (each w adj to v) { D[w] = min(D[w], c(v,w)); } } }``` |
|  | - $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for adj matrix <br> - While-loop is executed $n$ times <br> - For-loop takes O(n) time <br> $\square O(m+n \log n)$ for adj list <br> $\square$ Use a PQ <br> - Regular PQ produces time $O(n+m \log m)$ <br> - Can improve to $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$ using a fancier heap |



## Traveling Salesman Problem

$\square$ Given a list of cities and the distances between each pair, what is the shortest route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city?

- Basically what we want the butterfly to do in A6! But we don't mind if the butterfly revisits a city (Tile), or doesn't use the very shortest possible path.
- The true TSP is very hard (NP complete)... for this we want the perfect answer in all cases, and can't revisit.
$\square$ Most TSP algorithms start with a spanning tree, then "evolve" it into a TSP solution. Wikipedia has a lot of information about packages you can download...



## Issue: A fast computer runs hot

$\square$ Power dissipation rises as the square of the CPU clock rate
$\square$ Chips were heading towards melting down!
$\square$ Multicore: with four CPUs (cores) on one chip, even if we run each at half speed we get more overall performance!


## The Multicore Trend

$\square$ Moore's Law: Computer speeds and memory densities nearly double each year
$\square$ But we no longer are getting this speed purely by running a faster CPU clock
$\square \mathrm{CPU}=$ "central processor unit"
$\square$ CPU clock roughly determines instructions / second for the computer


## How a computer works

$\square$ Your program translates to machine instructions
$\square$ CPU has a pointer into the code: Program Counter $\square$ To execute an instruction, it fetches what the PC points to, decodes it, fetches the arguments, and performs the required action (such as add two numbers, then store at some location)
$\square$ We call this a "thread of execution" or a "context of execution"
$\square$ One CPU == 1 thread, right? Well, not really....

```
    Each program has its own thread!
    Earliest days: shared one CPU among many
    programs by just having it run a few instructions
    each, "round robin"
    \squareProgram A gets to run 10,000 instructions
    \squareThen pause A, "context switch" to B, run 10,000 of B
    \squareThen pause B, context switch to C, run 10,000 for C...
This makes one CPU seem like N (slower) CPUs
With the new trend toward multicore we can have a
    lot of threads all concurrently active
```


## How is a Thread defined？

$\square$ A separate＂execution＂that runs within a single program and can perform a computational task independently and concurrently with other threads
$\square$ Many applications do their work in just a single thread：the one that called main（）at startup $\square$ But there may still be extra threads．．．
－．．．Garbage collection runs in a＂background＂thread
$\square$ GUls have a separate thread that listens for events and ＂dispatches＂upcalls
$\square$ Today：learn to create new threads of our own

| Keeping those cores busy |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| －The operating system provides support for multiple＂processes＂ |  | Non |  |  |
| －In reality there there may be fewer processors than processes | 込 | Unin | \% : |  |
|  |  | E |  | \％ |
| hardware level，lots of multitasking |  | ＝ |  | 比 |
| －memory subsystem |  | 5imm |  | 法 |
| deo controlle |  | Sismoma |  | ，5ix |
| se |  |  |  | ＂x |
| －instruction prefetching |  |  |  | ix |
| ualization can even let one |  |  |  |  |
| machine create the illusion of many machines（they share disks，etc） | 89amer |  |  | anmer |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## What is a Thread in Java？

$\square$ A thread is a kind of object that＂independently computes＂
$\square$ Has an associated stack and local variables（context） $\square$ Needs to be created，like any object
－Then＂started＂．This causes some method（like main（））to be invoked．It runs side by side with other thread in the same program and they see the same global data
$\square$ The actual execution could occur on distinct CPU cores，but Java could also simulate multiple cores． You can＇t really tell which approach Java is using

## Concurrency

$\square$ Concurrency refers to a single program in which several threads are running simultaneously
$\square$ Special problems arise：These threads literally access the same shared memory regions at the same time！
－They are at risk of interfering with each other，e．g．if one thread is modifying a complex structure like a heap while another is trying to read it
$\square \ln \operatorname{cs} 2110$ we focus on simple ways to use this model without bugs introduced by interference

