

## STANDARD ADTS

## Textbook reference

$\square$ Stacks: Chapters 5,6
$\square$ Queues: Chapters 10,11
$\square$ Self-test problem: Suppose that you are given a list of Integers. Using a foreach loop you run down the list, pushing each element onto a stack. Now, you create a new List<Integer> and item by item, pop items from the stack and add them to the end of your new list. What will the list contain when you are done?

## Abstract Data Types (ADTs)

$\square$ A method for achieving abstraction for data structures and algorithms
$\square$ ADT $=$ model + operations
$\square$ Describes what each operation does, but not how it does it
$\square$ An ADT is independent of its implementation

- In Java, an interface corresponds well to an ADT
- The interface describes the operations, but says nothing at all about how they are implemented
- Example: Stack interface/ADT

```
public interface Stack {
    public void push(Object x) ;
    public Object pop();
    public Object peek();
    public boolean isEmpty();
    public void clear();
}
```


## Queues \& Priority Queues

## ADT Queue

$\square$ Operations:

- void add(Object x);
- Object poll();
- Object peek();
- boolean isEmpty();
- void clear();
$\square$ Where used:
$\square$ Simple job scheduler (e.g., print queue)
$\square$ Wide use within other algorithms
- ADT PriorityQueue
- Operations:
void insert (Object x) ;
Object getMax();
Object peekAtMax();
boolean isEmpty();
void clear();
- Where used:
- Job scheduler for OS
- Event-driven simulation
- Can be used for sorting
- Wide use within other algorithms

A (basic) queue is "first in, first out". A priority queue ranks objects: getMax() returns the "largest" according to the comparator interface.

## Sets

$\square$ ADT Set

- Operations:
void insert(Object element);
boolean contains (Object element);
void remove (Object element) ;
boolean isEmpty();
void clear();
for (Object o: mySet) \{ ... \}
$\square$ Where used:
- Wide use within other algorithms
$\square$ Note: no duplicates allowed
- A "set" with duplicates is sometimes called a multiset or bag

A set makes no promises about ordering, but you can still iterate over it.

## Dictionaries

ADT Dictionary (aka Map)
$\square$ Operations:

- void insert(Object key, Object value);
- void update (Object key, Object value);
- Object find (Object key) ;
- void remove (Object key) ;
- boolean isEmpty() ;
- void clear() ;
$\square$ Think of: key = word; value = definition
$\square$ Where used:
$\square$ Symbol tables
$\square$ Wide use within other algorithms
A HashMap is a particular implementation of the Map interface


## Data Structure Building Blocks

$\square$ These are implementation "building blocks" that are often used to build more-complicated data structures
$\square$ Arrays
$\square$ Linked Lists

- Singly linked
- Doubly linked
- Binary Trees
- Graphs
- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list


## From interface to implementation

$\square$ Given that we want to support some interface, the designer still faces a choice
$\square$ What will be the best way to implement this interface for my expected type of use?
$\square$ Choice of implementation can reflect many considerations
$\square$ Major factors we think about
$\square$ Speed for typical use case
$\square$ Storage space required

## Array Implementation of Stack

```
class ArrayStack implements Stack {
    private Object[] array; //Array that holds the Stack
    private int index = 0; //First empty slot in Stack
    public ArrayStack(int maxSize)
    { array = new Object[maxSize]; }
    public void push(Object x) { array[index++] = x; }
    public Object pop() { return array[--index]; }
    public Object peek() { return array[index-1]; }
    public boolean isEmpty() { return index == 0; }
    public void clear() { index = 0; }
}
```



O(1) worstcase time for each
operation

## Question: What can go wrong?

## Linked List Implementation of Stack

```
class ListStack implements Stack {
    private Node head = null; //Head of list that
        //holds the Stack
    public void push(Object x) { head = new Node(x, head); }
    public Object pop() {
        Node temp = head;
        head = head.next;
        return temp.data;
    }
    public Object peek() { return head.data; }
    public boolean isEmpty() { return head == null; }
    public void clear() { head = null; }
}
```

head


## Queue Implementations

$\square$ Possible implementations

last


Array with head always at $A[0]$ (poll( ) becomes expensive) (can overflow)


Array with wraparound (can overflow)

- Recall: operations are add, poll, peek,...
- For linked-list
- All operations are O(1)
- For array with head at $A[0]$
- poll takes time O(n)
- Other ops are O(1)
- Can overflow
- For array with wraparound
- All operations are O(1)
- Can overflow


## A Queue From 2 Stacks

$\square$ Add pushes onto stack A
$\square$ Poll pops from stack $B$
$\square$ If $B$ is empty, move all elements from stack $A$ to stack B
$\square$ Some individual operations are costly, but still O(1) time per operations over the long run

## Dealing with Overflow

$\square$ For array implementations of stacks and queves, use table doubling
$\square$ Check for overflow with each insert op
$\square$ If table will overflow,
$\square$ Allocate a new table twice the size
$\square$ Copy everything over
$\square$ The operations that cause overflow are expensive, but still constant time per operation over the long run (proof later)

## Goal: Design a Dictionary (aka Map)

Array implementation: Using an array of (key,value) pairs

- void insert(key, value)
- void update (key, value) insert
- Object find(key)
- void remove (key)
- boolean isEmpty()
- void clear()

Unsorted Sorted
O(1) O(n)
update
find
remove

O(logn)
$O(\log n)$
$\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
n is the number of items currently held in the dictionary

## Hashing

$\square$ Idea: compute an array index via a hash function $h$
$\square \mathrm{U}$ is the universe of keys
$\square h: U \rightarrow[0, \ldots, m-1]$
where $m=$ hash table size
$\square$ Usually $|\mathrm{U}|$ is much bigger than $m$, so collisions are possible (two elements with the same hash code)
$\square \mathrm{h}$ should

- be easy to compute
- avoid collisions
- have roughly equal probability for each table position

Typical situation:
$\mathrm{U}=$ all legal identifiers

Typical hash function:
h converts each letter to a number, then compute a function of these numbers

Best hash functions are highly random
This is connected to cryptography We'll return to this in a few minutes

## A Hashing Example

$\square$ Suppose each word below has the following
hashCode

- jan 7
- feb 0
- mar 5
- apr 2
- may 4
- jun 7
- jul

3
■ aug 7

- sep 2
- oct 5
- How do we resolve collisions?
- use chaining: each table position is the head of a list
- for any particular problem, this might work terribly
- In practice, using a good hash function, we can assume each position is equally likely


## Analysis for Hashing with Chaining

Analyzed in terms of load factor $\lambda=\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}=$

- Expected number of probes for (items in table)/(table size) an unsuccessful search = average number of items per table position $=n / m=\lambda$
$\square$ We count the expected number of probes (key comparisons)
- Expected number of probes for a successful search $=1+\lambda=$ $O(\lambda)$
$\square$ Goal: Determine expected number of probes for an - Worst case is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ unsuccessful search


## Table Doubling

$\square$ We know each operation takes time $O(\lambda)$ where $\lambda \lambda$ $=n / m$
$\square$ So it gets worse as n gets large relative to m
$\square$ Table Doubling:

- Set a bound for $\lambda$ (call it $\lambda_{0}$ )
- Whenever $\lambda$ reaches this bound:
- Create a new table twice as big
- Then rehash all the data
- As before, operations usually take time $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- But sometimes we copy the whole table


## Analysis of Table Doubling

$\square$ Suppose we reach a state with $n$ items in a table of size $m$ and that we have just completed a table doubling

|  | Copying Work |
| :--- | :---: |
| Everything has just <br> been copied | n inserts |
| Half were copied <br> previously | $\mathrm{n} / 2$ inserts |
| Half of those were <br> copied previously | $\mathrm{n} / 4$ inserts |
| $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n} / 2+\mathrm{n} / 4+\ldots=2 \mathrm{n}$ |
| Total work |  |

## Analysis of Table Doubling, Cont'd

$\square$ Total number of insert operations needed to reach current table $=$ copying work + initial insertions of items
$=2 n+n=3 n$ inserts

- Disadvantages of table doubling:
$\square$ Each insert takes expected time $O\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ or $O(1)$, so total expected time to build entire table is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
- Worst-case insertion time of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ is definitely achieved (but rarely)
- Thus, not appropriate for time critical operations
$\square$ Thus, expected time per operation is $\mathrm{O}(1)$


## Concept: "hash" codes

$\square$ Definition: a hash code is the output of a function that takes some input and maps it to a pseudorandom number (a hash)
$\square$ Input could be a big object like a string or an Animal or some other complex thing
$\square$ Same input always gives same out

- Idea is that hashCode for distinct objects will have a very low likelihood of collisions
$\square$ Used to create index data structures for finding an object given its hash code


## Java Hash Functions

$\square$ Most Java classes implement the hashCode () method
$\square$ hashCode () returns an int
$\square$ Java's HashMap class uses $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{hashCode}() \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{m}$
$\square h(X)$ in detail:
$\square$ int hash $=\mathrm{X}$. hashCode () ;
$\square$ int index $=$ (hash \& 0x7FFFFFFF) \% m;

- What hashCode () returns:
- Integer:
- uses the int value
- Float:
- converts to a bit representation and treats it as an int
- Short Strings:
- 37*previous + value of next character
- Long Strings:
- sample of 8 characters; 39*previous + next value


## hashCode () Requirements

$\square$ Contract for hashCode () method:
$\square$ Whenever it is invoked in the same object, it must return the same result
$\square$ Two objects that are equal (in the sense of . equals (. . .)) must have the same hash code
$\square$ Two objects that are not equal should return different hash codes, but are not required to do so (i.e., collisions are allowed)

## Hashtables in Java

$\square$ java.util.HashMap
$\square$ java.util.HashSet - A node in each chain looks like
$\square$ java.util.Hashtable this:
$\square$ Use chaining
$\square$ Initial (default) size $=101$

- Load factor $=L_{0}=0.75$


Allows faster rehashing and
(possibly) faster key comparison
$\square$ Uses table doubling (2*previous+1)

## Linear \& Quadratic Probing

These are techniques in which all data is stored directly within the hash table array

Linear Probing
$\square$ Probe at $h(X)$, then at
$-h(X)+1$
$-h(X)+2$

- ...
$-\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{i}$
$\square$ Leads to primary clustering
- Long sequences of filled cells
- Quadratic Probing
- Similar to Linear Probing in that data is stored within the table
- Probe at $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})$, then at
- $h(X)+1$
- $h(X)+4$
- $h(X)+9$
- ...
- $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{i}^{2}$
- Works well when
- $L<0.5$
- Table size is prime


## Universal Hashing

$\square$ In in doubt, choose a hash function at random from a large parameterized family of hash functions (e.g., $h(x)=a x+b$, where $a$ and $b$ are chosen at random)
$\square$ With high probability, it will be just as good as any custom-designed hash function you dream up

## Dictionary Implementations

$\square$ Ordered Array
$\square$ Better than unordered array because Binary Search can be used
$\square$ Unordered Linked List
$\square$ Ordering doesn't help
$\square$ Hashtables
$\square \mathrm{O}(1)$ expected time for Dictionary operations

## Aside: Comparators

$\square$ When implementing a comparator interface you normally must
$\square$ Override compareTo() method
$\square$ Override hashCode()
$\square$ Override equals()
$\square$ Easy to forget and if you make that mistake your code will be very buggy

## hashCode () and equals ()

$\square$ We mentioned that the hash codes of two equal objects must be equal - this is necessary for hashtable-based data structures such as HashMap and HashSet to work correctly
$\square$ In Java, this means if you override Object.equals (), you had better also override Object.hashCode ()
$\square$ But how? ??

## hashCode() and equals ()

```
class Identifier {
    String name;
    String type;
    public boolean equals(Object obj) {
        if (obj == null) return false;
        Identifier id;
        try {
            id = (Identifier)obj;
        } catch (ClassCastException cce) {
            return false;
        }
        return name.equals(id.name) && type.equals(id.type);
    }
```

\}

## hashCode() and equals ()

```
class Identifier {
    String name;
    String type;
    public boolean equals(Object obj) {
        if (obj == null) return false;
        Identifier id;
        try {
        id = (Identifier)obj;
        } catch (ClassCastException cce) {
        return false;
    }
    return name.equals(id.name) && type.equals(id.type);
    }
    public int hashCode() {
        return 37 * name.hashCode() + 113 * type.hashCode() + 42;
    }
}
```


## hashCode() and equals()

```
class TreeNode {
    TreeNode left, right;
    String datum;
    public boolean equals(Object obj) {
        if (obj == null || !(obj instanceof TreeNode)) return false;
        TreeNode t = (TreeNode)obj;
        boolean lEq = (left != null)?
            left.equals(t.left) : t.left == null;
        boolean rEq = (right != null)?
            right.equals(t.right) : t.right == null;
        return datum.equals(t.datum) && lEq && rEq;
    }
```


## hashCode() and equals()

```
class TreeNode {
    TreeNode left, right;
    String datum;
    public boolean equals(Object obj) {
        if (obj == null || !(obj instanceof TreeNode)) return false;
        TreeNode t = (TreeNode)obj;
        boolean lEq = (left != null)?
            left.equals(t.left) : t.left == null;
        boolean rEq = (right != null)?
            right.equals(t.right) : t.right == null;
        return datum.equals(t.datum) && lEq && rEq;
    }
    public int hashCode() {
        int lHC = (left != null)? left.hashCode() : 298;
        int rHC = (right != null) ? right.hashCode() : 377;
        return 37 * datum.hashCode() + 611 * lHC - 43 * rHC;
    }
}
```


## Professional quality hash codes?

$\square$ For large objects we often compute an MD5 hash
$\square$ MD5 is the fifth of a series of standard "message digest" functions
$\square$ They are fast to compute (like an XOR over the bytes of the object)
$\square$ But they also use a cryptographic key: without the key you can't guess what the MD5 hashcode will be

- For example key could be a random number you pick when your program is launched
- Or it could be a password
$\square$ With a password key, an MD5 hash is a "proof of authenticity"
- If object is tampered with, the hashcode will reveal it!

