- Previous Lecture (and Discussion):
  - Branching (if, elseif, else, end)
  - Relational operators (<, >=, ==, ~=, ..., etc.)
  - Logical operators (&&, | |, ~)
- Today's Lecture:
  - Logical operators and "short-circuiting"
  - More branching—*nesting*
  - Top-down design
- Announcements:
  - Project I (PI) due Thursday at IIpm
  - Submit <u>real</u> .m files (plain text, not from a word processing software such as Microsoft Word)
  - Register your clicker using the link on the course website

# Consider the quadratic function

$$q(x) = x^2 + bx + c$$

on the interval [L, R]:

Is the function strictly increasing in [L, R]?
Which is smaller, q(L) or q(R) ?

What is the minimum value of q(x) in [L, R]?

### Minimum is at L, R, or xc



# Modified Problem 3

Write a code fragment that prints "yes" if xc is in the interval and "no" if it is not. So what is the requirement?

```
% Determine whether xc is in
% [L,R]
xc = -b/2;
if
   disp('Yes')
else
   disp('No')
end
```

So what is the requirement?

```
% Determine whether xc is in
% [L,R]
xc = -b/2;
if L<=xc && xc<=R
   disp('Yes')
else
   disp('No')
end
```

The value of a boolean expression is either true or false.

### (L < = xC) && (xC < = R)

This (compound) boolean expression is made up of two (simple) boolean expressions. Each has a value that is either true or false.

Connect boolean expressions by boolean operators:



&& logical and: Are both conditions true?E.g., we ask "is  $L \leq x_c$  and  $x_c \leq R$ ?"In our code: L <= xc && xc <= R

&& logical and: Are both conditions true? E.g., we ask "is  $L \le x_c$  and  $x_c \le R$ ?" In our code:  $L \le xc$  &&  $xc \le R$ logical or: Is at least one condition true? E.g., we can ask if  $x_c$  is outside of [L,R], i.e., "is  $x_c \le L$  or  $R \le x_c$ ?" In code:  $xc \le L$  ||  $R \le xc$ 

&& logical and: Are both conditions true?
E.g., we ask "is L≤x<sub>c</sub> and x<sub>c</sub> ≤ R ?"
In our code: L<=xc && xc<=R</li>
logical or: Is at least one condition true?

E.g., we can ask if  $x_c$  is outside of [L,R], i.e., "is  $x_c < L$  or  $R < x_c$ ?" In code: xc < L | R<xc

logical <u>not</u>: Negation
 E.g., we can ask if x<sub>c</sub> is not outside [L,R].
 In code: ~(xc<L | R<xc)</li>

logical <u>and</u>: Are both conditions true? ઝ્ઝ E.g., we ask "is  $L \leq x_c$  and  $x_c \leq R$ ?" In our code: L<=xc && xc<=R logical <u>or</u>: Is at least one condition true? E.g., we can ask if  $x_c$  is outside of [L,R], i.e., "is  $x_c < L$  or  $R < x_c$ ?" In code: xc<L | R<xc logical <u>not</u>: Negation ~ E.g., we can ask if  $x_c$  is not outside [L,R].

In code: ~(xc<L || R<xc)

# The logical AND operator: &&



## The logical AND operator: &&



The logical OR operator:



F F F T T F T T The logical OR operator:







### "Truth table"

X, Y represent boolean expressions. E.g., d>3.14

Х	Y	X <mark>&amp;&amp;</mark> Y	XIY	~Y
		"and"	"or"	"not"
F	F	F	F	Т
F	Т	F	Т	F
Т	F	F	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т	Т	F

### "Truth table"

### Matlab uses 0 to represent false, 1 to represent true

X	Y	X <mark>&amp;&amp;</mark> Y	XIY	<b>~</b> Y
		"and"	"or"	"not"
0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0

## Logical operators "short-circuit"

<u>a > b</u> && c > d

true

Go oi



Entire expression is false since the first part is false A && expression shortcircuits to false if the left operand evaluates to *false*.

A expression short-circuits to if

## Logical operators "short-circuit"

a > b && c > d

true

Goo



Entire expression is false since the first part is false A && expression shortcircuits to false if the left operand evaluates to *false*.

A expression short-circuits to true if the left operand evaluates to *true*.

# Always use logical operators to connect simple boolean expressions

Why is it wrong to use the expression

 $L \leq xc \leq R$ 

for checking if  $x_c$  is in [L,R]?

Example: Suppose L is 5, R is 8, and xc is 10. We know that 10 is not in [5,8], but the expression

L <= xc <= R gives...

Variables a, b, and c have whole number values. True or false: This fragment prints "Yes" if there is a *right triangle* with side lengths a, b, and c and prints "No" otherwise.

```
if a^2 + b^2 == c^2
    disp(`Yes')
else
    disp(`No')
end
```



```
a = 5;
b = 3;
c = 4;
if (a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2})
                                                 3
    disp('Yes')
else
                                             4
    disp('No')
end
       This fragment prints "No"
even though we have a right
         triangle!
```

```
a = 5;
b = 3;
c = 4;
if ((a^2+b^2==c^2) || (a^2+c^2==b^2)...
|| (b^2+c^2==a^2))
disp('Yes')
else
disp('No')
end
```



on the interval [L, R]:

Is the function strictly increasing in [L, R]? Which is smaller, q(L) or q(R)?

What is the minimum value of q(x) in [L, R]?



Lecture 4

### Conclusion

## If $x_c$ is between L and R

Then min is at  $x_c$ 

Otherwise

Min value is at one of the endpoints

Start with pseudocode

If xc is between L and R

Min is at xc

Otherwise

Min is at one of the endpoints

We have decomposed the problem into three pieces! Can choose to work with any piece next: the if-else construct/condition, min at xc, or min at an endpoint Set up structure first: if-else, condition

if L<=xc && xc<=R

Then min is at xc

### else

Min is at one of the endpoints

### end

Now refine our solution-in-progress. I'll choose to work on the if-branch next

Refinement: filled in detail for task "min at xc"

```
if L<=xc && xc<=R
   % min is at xc
   qMin= xc^2 + b*xc + c;</pre>
```

else

Min is at one of the endpoints

### end

Continue with refining the solution ... else-branch next

Refinement: detail for task "min at an endpoint"

```
if L \leq xc \& xc \leq R
   % min is at xc
  qMin = xc^2 + b*xc + c;
else
   % min is at one of the endpoints
   if % xc left of bracket
      % min is at L
   else % xc right of bracket
      % min is at R
   end
end
```

Continue with the refinement, i.e., replace comments with code

Refinement: detail for task "min at an endpoint"

```
if L<=xc && xc<=R
   % min is at xc
  qMin = xc^2 + b*xc + c;
else
   % min is at one of the endpoints
   if xc < L
      qMin = L^2 + b*L + c;
   else
      qMin = R^2 + b^*R + c;
   end
end
```

Final solution (given b,c,L,R,xc)

if  $L \leq xc \& xc \leq R$ % min is at xc  $qMin = xc^2 + b*xc + c;$ else % min is at one of the endpoints if xc < L $qMin = L^2 + b*L + c;$ else An if-statement can appear within a branch  $qMin = R^2 + b^*R + c;$ just like any other kind of end end statement! See quadMin.m quadMinGraph.m

## Notice that there are 3 alternatives $\rightarrow$ can use elseif!

```
if L<=xc && xc<=R
  % min is at xc
  qMin= xc^2+b*xc+c;
else
  % min at one endpt
  if xc < L
    qMin = L^2+b*L+c;
  else
    qMin = R^2 + b*R + c;
  end
end
```

```
if L<=xc && xc<=R
   % min is at xc
   qMin= xc^2+b*xc+c;
elseif xc < L
   qMin= L^2+b*L+c;
else
   qMin= R^2+b*R+c;
end</pre>
```

# Top-Down Design



An algorithm is an idea. To use an algorithm you must choose a programming language and implement the algorithm.

If xc is between L and R Then min value is at xc

Otherwise

Min value is at one of the endpoints

### if L<=xc && xc<=R

% min is at xc

### else

% min is at one of the endpoints

if L<=xc && xc<=R
 % min is at xc</pre>

else

% min is at one of the endpoints

if L<=xc && xc<=R
 % min is at xc
 qMin= xc^2 + b\*xc + c;
else</pre>

% min is at one of the endpoints

if L<=xc && xc<=R
 % min is at xc
 qMin= xc^2 + b\*xc + c;
else</pre>

% min is at one of the endpoints

if L<=xc && xc<=R
 % min is at xc
 qMin= xc^2 + b\*xc + c;
else
 % min is at one of the endpoints
 if xc < L</pre>

else

#### end

```
if L<=xc && xc<=R
    % min is at xc
    qMin= xc^2 + b*xc + c;
else
    % min is at one of the endpoints
    if xc < L
        qMin= L^2 + b*L + c;
    else
        qMin= R^2 + b*R + c;
    end
end</pre>
```

### Does this program work?

```
score= input(`Enter score: ');
if score>55
     disp('D')
elseif score>65
     disp('C')
elseif score>80
     disp('B')
elseif score>93
     disp('A')
else
     disp(`Not good...')
end
```



