Sextant: A Unified Node and Event Localization Framework Using Non-Convex Constraints

Saikat Guha, Rohan Murty, Emin Gün Sirer

Cornell University

The 6th ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing

Challenges in Localization



Hardware

- ► Expensive
- Power Consuming

Infrastructure

- Initial setup required
- Not always available

Modeling

- ► Irregular wireless coverage area
- Introduces error



- Extract geometric constraints
- Disseminate them transitively
- Solve in a distributed manner



- Unified Node and Event localization
- Accurate
 - Negative as well as positive information
 - Explicit representation
- Practical
 - Constraint extraction
 - Deployed on MICA-2 motes, laptops and PDAs





Negative constraint



Positive constraint

- Unified Node and Event localization
- Accurate
 - Negative as well as positive information
 - Explicit representation
- Practical
 - Constraint extraction
 - Deployed on MICA-2 motes, laptops and PDAs





- Need not be convex
- May have holes
- May have disconnected components

- Unified Node and Event localization
- Accurate
 - Negative as well as positive information
 - Explicit representation
- Practical
 - Constraint extraction
 - Deployed on MICA-2 motes, laptops and PDAs





- Unified Node and Event localization
- Accurate
 - Negative as well as positive information
 - Explicit representation
- Practical
 - Constraint extraction
 - Deployed on MICA-2 motes, laptops and PDAs





Positive Information





Intersection of Positive Information





Negative Information





Subtraction of Negative Information





Transitive Dissemination of Positive Information





Transitive Dissemination of Positive Information





Transitive Dissemination of Positive Information





Transitive Dissemination of Positive Information





Combining Positive and Negative Information





Combining Positive and Negative Information





Transitive Dissemination of Negative Information





Transitive Dissemination of Negative Information





Refining Location Estimates





Refining Location Estimates



Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ► Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{x}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_{\times}

Invariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{X} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{X}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{X}} n$$

Polygons with Bézier boundaries





Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ▶ Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{\times}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_{\times}

Invariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{X} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{X}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{X}} n$$

Polygons with Bézier boundaries





Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ► Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{\times}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_x

Invariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{X} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{X}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{X}} n$$

Union of circles in \mathcal{E}_{x}





Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ► Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{x}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_x

Invariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{X} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{X}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{X}} n$$

Intersection of circles in \mathcal{E}_{x}





 Γ_x : learned from wireless neighbors



Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ► Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{x}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_x

nvariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{x} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{x}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{x}} n$$

 Θ_x : learned from wireless non-neighbors





Each Node x

- Location Estimate: \mathcal{E}_x
- ► Positive Constraint: \mathcal{P}_x
- ► Negative Constraint: \mathcal{N}_{x}
- Set of positive constraints: Γ_x
- Set of negative constraints: Θ_x

Invariant

$$\mathcal{E}_{x} = \bigcap_{p \in \Gamma_{x}} p \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Theta_{x}} n$$



Similarity to Node Localization

- Constraints from sensing hardware vs. wireless radio
- Boolean sensed/not-sensed signal vs. boolean connectivity

Differences from Node Localization

Annotate resultant areas with probabilities

Event Localization





Positive Contribution

Sensor somewhere in \mathcal{E} detects event; probability event in grid \mathcal{G}_i .

Negative Contribution

Sensor somewhere in \mathcal{E} does not detect event; probability event in grid \mathcal{G}_i .

Solution

Product of positive and negative contributions from sensors sensing and not-sensing the event.

Bayesian Probability

























Events as a Source of Constraints





Events as a Source of Constraints





Wireless Hardware

- ► Range Measurements
- ► Angle of Arrival

Sensor Hardware

- ► Event Distance
- Directional Sensors

Annulus for range x





Wireless Hardware

- ► Range Measurements
- ► Angle of Arrival

Sensor Hardware

- Event Distance
- Directional Sensors

Sector for angle x

Modeling





Wireless Radio

Boolean packet-received / packet-not-received.

- ► All reachable nodes ≤ *R* away
- ► All unreachable nodes ≥ *r* away

Wireless coverage area is non-convex and has holes

Modeling





Wireless Radio

Boolean packet-received / packet-not-received.

- All reachable nodes $\leq R$ away
- All unreachable nodes $\geq r$ away



Neighborhood Discovery

- Nodes transmit periodic beacons
- Threshold beacon reception required for boolean connectivity

Gossip

Disseminate constraints as long as they are useful

- Positive information used only at first hop
- Negative information used within the first few hops

Implementation

- Implemented on MICA-2 motes, laptops and PDA
- About 2kB of storage per node
- About 80kB data transmitted per node until convergence

Setup

- ► 50 MICA2 motes placed in a grid pattern
- Landmarks chosen at random
- ► 80% packet reception threshold chosen for connectivity

Comparing Node Localization

- Triangulation Centroid of neighbor nodes
 - ► GPSLess
- Single-hop No transitive dissemination
 - ► Active Badge, Cricket, GPSLess, Localization Using Moving Target
- Positive-constraints No negative information
 - APS, Convex position estimation, N-hop Multilateration, Robust Positioning

Sextant

Validation of Node Localization



Node Localization

- Accurate
- ► Efficient
- ► Scalable

Sextant locates more nodes accurately

Validation of Node Localization



Node Localization

- Accurate
- Efficient
- Scalable

Sextant requires few landmarks



- Accurate
- Efficient
- ► Scalable

Sextant requires fixed landmark density

Setup

- ► 50 MICA2 motes placed in a grid pattern
- Event is a flash of light
- Appreciable change in analog value triggers sensor

Comparing Event Localization

- Triangulation Centroid of sensors reporting the event
 - ► Acoustic Ranging
- Sextant

Validation of Event Localization



Sextant locates more events accurately

Validation of Event Localization





Accuracy improves with nodes

Validation of Event Localization



Event Localization

- Accurate
- Efficient
- ► Robust

Sextant independent of sensing range





- Sextant unifies node and event localization in the same framework
- Sextant achieves high accuracy and scalability
 - Explicit representation of regions using Bézier curves
 - Conservative and comprehensive extraction of negative as well as positive constraints
 - Transitive dissemination of constraints
 - Use of events to refine node location
- Sextant is practical
 - Deals well with violations of simplistic assumptions
 - Implemented on MICA-2 motes, PDAs and laptops

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/People/egs/sextant/



Positive Information

- ► **GPS-Free** '01: Capkun, Hamdi and Hubaux
- ► APS '01: Niculescu and Nath
- Convex Position Estimation '01: Doherty, Pister and Ghaoui
- Robust Positioning '02: Savarese, Rabay and Langendoen
- ► N-hop Multilateration '02: Savvides, Park and Srivastava
- APS-AoA '03: Niculescu and Nath
- ► Mere Connectivity Localization '03: Shang, RumI, Zhang and Fromherz
- Connectivity-Based Positioning '04: Bischoff and Wattenhofer
- ► Unit Disk Approximation '04: Kuhn, Moscibroda and Wattenhofer
- ► Virtual Coordinates '04: Moscibroda, O'Dell and Wattenhofer



Single-Hop

- ► Active Badge '92: Want, Hopper, Falcão and Gibbons
- ► GPS-Less '00: Bulusu, Heidemann and Estrin
- RADAR '00: Bahl and Padmanabhan
- Cricket '00: Priyantha, Chakraborty and Balakrishnan
- RF-Based Location Tracking '04: Lorincz and Welsh
- ► VORBA '04: Niculescu and Nath
- Localization Using a Moving Target '04: Galstyan, Krishnamachari, Lerman and Pattem



Event Localization

- Fine-grained Localization '01: Savvides, Han and Srivastava
- ► Collaborative Processing '03: Zhao, Liu, Guibas and Reich
- ► Acoustic Ranging '04: Sallai, Balogh, Maroti and Ledeczi
- ► Countersniper '04: Simon, Maroti, Ledeczi et al.
- **Entity Tracking** '02: Brooks, Griffin and Friedlander
- **Energy-Efficient Surveillance** '04: He, Krishnamurthy, Stankovic et al.