

CS 671 Automated Reasoning

Extending Nuprl's Type Theory



1. Design Decisions for Nuprl's Type Theory
2. Product, Union, and List Types
3. The Curry-Howard Isomorphism, formally
4. Empty and Unit Types

DESIGN DECISIONS FOR NUPRL'S TYPE THEORY

● Syntax:

- Expressions will be represented in a **uniform term syntax**
- Term **display is independent** of the internal syntax

● Semantics:

- Semantics **models proof**, not denotation
- Semantics is based on judgments and **lazy evaluation** of noncanonical terms
- Judgments concern **typehood**, type equality, **membership**, and **typed equality**

● Proof Theory:

- Proofs proceed by applying **sequent-style refinement** rules
- A judgment “*t is a member of T*” is represented as $T \text{ [ext } t]$
- **Propositions** are represented as **types**
Basic propositions have **Ax** as only member
- Typehood is represented by a cumulative hierarchy of universes

See Appendix A of the Nuprl 5 manual for details

CARTESIAN PRODUCTS: BUILDING DATA STRUCTURES

Syntax:

Canonical: $S \times T, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$

Noncanonical: **let** $\langle x, y \rangle = e$ **in** u

Evaluation:

$$\frac{e \downarrow \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \quad u[e_1, e_2 / x, y] \downarrow val}{\text{let } \langle x, y \rangle = e \text{ in } u \downarrow val}$$

Semantics:

· $S \times T$ is a type if S and T are

· $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle e_1', e_2' \rangle$ in $S \times T$ if $S \times T$ type, $e_1 = e_1'$ in S , and $e_2 = e_2'$ in T

Library Concepts: $e.1, e.2$

See Appendix A.3.2 and the library theory `core_2` for further details

LISTS: BASIC DATA CONTAINERS

Syntax:

Canonical: $T \text{ list}$, $[]$, $e_1 :: e_2$

Noncanonical: $\text{list_ind}(e; \text{base}; x, l, f_{xl}.up)$

Evaluation:

$$\frac{e \downarrow [] \quad \text{base} \downarrow val}{\text{list_ind}(e; \text{base}; x, l, f_{xl}.up) \downarrow val}$$

$$\frac{e \downarrow e_1 :: e_2 \quad up[e_1, e_2 \text{ list_ind}(e; \text{base}; x, l, f_{xl}.up) / x, , l, f_{xl}] \downarrow val}{\text{list_ind}(e; \text{base}; x, l, f_{xl}.up) \downarrow val}$$

Semantics:

- $T \text{ list}$ is a type if T is
- $[] = []$ in $T \text{ list}$ if $T \text{ list}$ is a type
- $e_1 :: e_2 = e_1' :: e_2'$ in $T \text{ list}$ if $T \text{ list}$ type, $e_1 = e_1'$ in T , and $e_2 = e_2'$ in $T \text{ list}$

Library Concepts:

$\text{hd}(e)$, $\text{tl}(e)$, $e_1 @ e_2$, $\text{length}(e)$, $\text{map}(f; e)$, $\text{rev}(e)$, $e[i]$, $e[i..j^-]$, \dots

See Appendix A.3.10 and the library theory `list_1` for further details

DISJOINT UNION: CASE DISTINCTIONS

Syntax:

Canonical: $S+T$, $\text{inl}(e)$, $\text{inr}(e)$

Noncanonical: $\text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inl}(x) \mapsto u \mid \text{inr}(y) \mapsto v$

Evaluation:

$$\frac{e \downarrow \text{inl}(e') \quad u[e' / x] \downarrow \text{val}}{\text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inl}(x) \mapsto u \mid \text{inr}(y) \mapsto v \downarrow \text{val}}$$

$$\frac{e \downarrow \text{inr}(e') \quad v[e' / y] \downarrow \text{val}}{\text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inl}(x) \mapsto u \mid \text{inr}(y) \mapsto v \downarrow \text{val}}$$

Semantics:

- $S+T$ is a type if S and T are
- $\text{inl}(e) = \text{inl}(e')$ in $S+T$ if $S+T$ type, $e = e'$ in S
- $\text{inr}(e) = \text{inr}(e')$ in $S+T$ if $S+T$ type, $e = e'$ in T

Library Concepts: —

See Appendix A.3.3 for further details

THE CURRY-HOWARD ISOMORPHISM, FORMALLY

Proposition		Type
$P \wedge Q$	\equiv	$P \times Q$
$P \vee Q$	\equiv	$P + Q$
$P \Rightarrow Q$	\equiv	$P \rightarrow Q$
$\neg P$	\equiv	$P \rightarrow \text{void}$
$\exists x:T. P[x]$	\equiv	$x:T \times P[x]$
$\forall x:T. P[x]$	\equiv	$x:T \rightarrow P[x]$

Need an **empty type** to represent “falsehood”

Need **dependent types** to represent quantifiers

See the library theory `core_1` for further details

EMPTY TYPE `void`

Syntax:

Canonical: `void` – *no canonical elements* –

Noncanonical: `any(e)`

Evaluation: – *no reduction rules* –

Semantics:

- `void` is a type
- $e = e'$ in `void` *never holds*

Library Concepts: —

See Appendix A.3.6 and Section 3 of the 1993 CS611 notes for further details

Warning: rules for `void` allows proving semantical nonsense like

$x:\text{void} \vdash 0=1 \in 2$ or $\vdash \text{void} \rightarrow 2$ type

Unit: ONE ELEMENT TYPE

Syntax:

Canonical: **Unit**, **Ax**

Noncanonical: – *no noncanonical expressions* –

Evaluation: – *no reduction rules* –

Semantics:

- **Unit** is a type
- $Ax = Ax$ in **Unit**

Library Concepts: —

Defined type in NUPRL, see the library theory **core_1** for further details