# Machine Learning Theory (CS 6783) 

Lecture 15 \& 16: Online Convex Optimization/Learning

## 1 Online Convex Optimization Setting

For the purpose of this lecture let us modify the online learning protocol a bit (this can be done w.l.o.g.). First, Let $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, that is the instance space pair. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a convex subset of a vector space. $\ell: \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the loss function. For each $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ let $\ell(\cdot, z)$ be a convex function.

For $t=1$ to $n$
Learner picks $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \in \mathcal{F}$
Receives instance $z_{t} \in \mathcal{Z}$
Suffers loss $\ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)$
End
The goal again is to minimize regret :

$$
\operatorname{Reg}_{n}:=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right)
$$

## 2 Examples

Experts Problem In the experts problem, think about a $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ as a finite set of models, Let $\phi: \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{Z} \mapsto[-1,1]$ be any arbitrary loss function of your choice. Now let us define $\mathcal{F}=\Delta\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ the set of distributions over $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ which is of course a convex set. Let $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{F}=\Delta\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and for a given $f \in \mathcal{F}=\Delta\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$, let $\ell(f, z)=\mathbb{E}_{g \sim f}[\phi(g, z)]$ which is clearly linear in $f$. In this case clearly regret is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Reg}_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{g_{t} \sim \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}} \phi\left(g_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \Delta\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{g \sim f}\left[\phi\left(g, z_{t}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{g_{t} \sim \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}} \phi\left(g_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \Delta\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{E}_{g \sim f}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \phi\left(g, z_{t}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{g_{t} \sim \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}} \phi\left(g_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{g \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}_{g \sim f} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \phi\left(g, z_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Online Linear SVM In the case of SVM we are interested in linear predictors with constraint on the $\ell_{2}$ norm of the predictor. In this case, $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathcal{Y}=\{ \pm 1\}$. $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ and $\ell(\mathbf{f},(\mathbf{x}, y))=$ $\max \left\{0,1-y \cdot \mathbf{f}^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right\}, \mathcal{F}=\left\{\mathbf{f}:\|\mathbf{f}\|_{2} \leq R\right\}$. Feel free to change hinge loss to any convex loss line square loss, logistic loss etc. Also feel free to replace the constraint $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{2} \leq R$ by some other convex constraint. Regret is given by

$$
\operatorname{Reg}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \max \left\{0,1-y_{t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right\}-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \max \left\{0,1-y_{t} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{t}\right\}
$$

Regularized Linear Prediction Another set of problems that automatically fits the online convex optimization framework are regularized loss minimization problem. Here again $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ could be say $[-1,1]$. Now consider the case when $\ell(\mathbf{f},(x, y))=\phi\left(\mathbf{f}^{\top} \mathbf{x}, y\right)+\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{f})$. Where $\phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is some loss convex in first argument. $\mathbf{R}: \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function. As an example think of the regularized version of SVM or online ridge regression, or online Lasso.

Matrix Prediction/Collaborative Filtering Imagine we have a bunch of $M$ users and a bunch of $N$ products. We want to predicts ratings of users for various products in an online fashion. Eg. on round $t$ we are given $x_{t} \in[M] \times[N]$ the position of the matrix we are required to predict. Learner then picks the predicted rating. Finally the true rating is revealed and learner suffers loss for predicting wrong.

$$
\operatorname{Reg}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\left[x_{t}\right]-y_{t}\right|-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left|\mathbf{f}\left[x_{t}\right]-y_{t}\right|
$$

Think of $\mathcal{F}$ as a convex set where each $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ is an $M \times N$ matrix. Each $\hat{y}_{t}$ is also an $M \times N$ matrix.

### 2.1 Online to Batch/Statistical

Given an online learning algorithm for these convex problems it is really simple to get a batch learning algorithm for the problem with almost no extra computational cost. Note that if we have an online learning algorithm with regret bound rate $_{n}$, this would imply that:

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right) \leq \operatorname{rate}_{n}
$$

Now say we were really interested only in statistical learning, and say we get sample $S=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ iid from some fixed but unknown distribution $D$. The new simply run the online learning procedure
on this sample one by one for $n$ rounds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rate}_{n} & \geq \mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right)\right] \\
& \geq \mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} L_{D}(\mathbf{f}) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t-1}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{z_{t} \sim D}\left[\ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)\right]\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} L_{D}(\mathbf{f})
\end{aligned}
$$

However $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}$ only depends on $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t-1}$ and so $\mathbb{E}_{z_{t} \sim D}\left[\ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)\right]=L_{D}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right)$. Hence :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rate}_{n} & \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{S}\left[L_{D}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right)\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} L_{D}(\mathbf{f}) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{S}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} L_{D}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right)\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} L_{D}(\mathbf{f}) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{S}\left[L_{D}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right)\right]-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} L_{D}(\mathbf{f})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus given an online learning algorithm which some regret guarantee for a convex learning problem the way to convert it to a batch algorithm is simply run it over the training sample once and then calculate the average $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}$ and return this ash the final predictor.

### 2.2 Online Linear Optimization

Though we are concerned with general convex losses, it suffices (in many cases with no additional cost) to only consider online linear optimization where the loss is linear rather than general convex. The reason for this is the following. First, given any $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathcal{Z}$ let $\mathbf{f}^{*}=\underset{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right)$. Now note that by convexity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}^{*}, z_{t}\right) & \leq \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right), \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right), \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right\rangle-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right), \mathbf{f}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be the subset of vectors defined as, $\mathcal{D}=\{\nabla(\mathbf{f}, z): \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}, z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Now since in the online learning protocol, learner picks $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \in \mathcal{F}$ and then adversary picks $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, we can simply think of adversary as directly picking any $\nabla_{t} \in \mathcal{D}$ directly and this only increases the bound. Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}, z_{t}\right)-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ell\left(\mathbf{f}, z_{t}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right\rangle-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \mathbf{f}\right\rangle
$$

What the above means is that if we have an algorithm for online linear optimization, we can use it as an algorithm for online convex optimization assuming the instance received on round $t$ is the gradients of the convex function at the point $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}$.

## 3 Online Gradient Descent

In this example we assume $\mathcal{F}$ is some convex set such that $\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\|f\| \leq R$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a set whose elements all have Euclidean norm bounded by $B$. We consider linear loss. That is at time $t$ the loss is $\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right\rangle$.

## Algorithm :

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\eta \nabla_{t}\right)
$$

where $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the Euclidean projection on to set $\mathcal{F}$ defined by:

$$
\Pi_{F}(\mathbf{f})=\underset{f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|f^{\prime}-f\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and $\eta>0$ is referred to as step-size.
Claim 1. If we use the online gradient descent algorithm with $\eta=\frac{R}{B \sqrt{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}=\mathbf{0}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}\right\rangle-\inf _{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \mathbf{f}\right\rangle \leq \frac{R B}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

Proof. Fix any $\mathbf{f}^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that,

$$
\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\eta \nabla_{t}\right)-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\eta \nabla_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\eta^{2}\left\|\nabla_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-2 \eta\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

Thus we can conclude that

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2 \eta}\left(\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\eta}{2}\left\|\nabla_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Summing we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\langle\nabla_{t}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\rangle & \leq \frac{1}{2 \eta} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t+1}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n}\left\|\nabla_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \eta}\left(\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{1}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1}-\mathbf{f}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\eta}{2} n B^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \eta} R^{2}+\frac{\eta}{2} n B^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the $\eta$ from the claim and dividing throughout by $n$ gives the result.
Bound from sequential Rademacher complexity : Recall that for linear case with bounded Euclidean norms the Sequential Rademacher complexity was in fact bounded as $\frac{R B}{\sqrt{n}}$ which matches the above

What is the lower bound for this problem? In fact it is not hard to see that the lower bound for this problem is also $\frac{R B}{\sqrt{n}}$.

This algorithm is worst case optimal (in terms of computational efficiency) for SVM (even for statistical learning). Why ? Think about sample complexity and amount of time needed to read the data.

