

Machine Learning Theory (CS 6783)

Lecture 22: Relaxations & deriving algorithms

1 Recap

1. $\mathbf{Rel}_n : \bigcup_{t=0}^n \mathcal{X}^t \times \mathcal{Y}^t \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is admissible if

$$\mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) \geq - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t)$$

and

$$\sup_{x_t} \inf_{q^t} \sup_{y_t} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \} \leq \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1})$$

2. Algorithm : $q_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{q \in \Delta(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{y_t} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \}$
3. Bound : $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Reg}_n] \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Rel}_n(\cdot)$
4. Sequential Rademacher relaxation is admissible and gives the sequential Rademacher bound

$$\mathbf{Rad}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) = \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ 2 \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right\}$$

2 The Recipe

Recipe

- ✓ *Start with sequential Rademacher complexity relaxation*
- ✓ *Replace by an appropriate upper bound (relaxation)*
- ✓ *Check the admissibility condition*
- ✓ *Solve for the strategy using the relaxation*

1. Write down sequential Rademacher relaxation for the given problem (in a malleable form).

2. Mover to relaxation upper bound $\mathbf{Rel}_n$ such that $\forall t \in [n]$ and for all $x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}$,

$$\mathbf{Rad}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \leq \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t})$$

(notice this ensures that initial condition is satisfied, this also goes half the way in getting feasible relaxation).

3. Two equivalent ways of checking admissibility condition, $\forall x_t \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{q_t} \sup_{y_t} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \} \leq \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1}) \\ & \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t})] \right\} \leq \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1}) \end{aligned}$$

4. Algorithm, solve optimization:

$$q_t(x_t) = \operatorname{argmin}_{q_t \in \Delta(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{y_t} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \}$$

3 Example : Bit Prediction

$$\mathcal{X} = \{\}, \mathcal{Y} = \{\pm 1\}, \mathcal{F} \subset \{\pm 1\}^n, \ell(\hat{y}, y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{y} \neq y\}} = \frac{1 - \hat{y}y}{2}.$$

Steps 1 & 2 (we can use exact seq. Rademacher relaxation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Rad}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) &= \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[2 \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right] \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[\sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s (1 - \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1}) \cdot f_{s-t}) - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f_s, y_s) \right] \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[\sum_{s=t+1}^n -\epsilon_s \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1}) \cdot f_{s-t} - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f_s, y_s) \right] \end{aligned}$$

using the contraction lemma for removing binary tree we proved,

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s f_{s-t} - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right] = \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t})$$

Step 3 Checking admissibility: This relaxation is basically the sequential Rademacher relaxation that just simplifies for the bit prediction problem. Hence as we already proved, it is admissible.

Step 4 Now for the algorithm :

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= \operatorname{argmin}_{q_t \in [0,1]} \max_{y_t \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}}] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t}) \} \\ &= \inf_{q_t \in [0,1]} \max_{y_t \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ q_t \mathbf{1}_{\{1 \neq y_t\}} + (1 - q_t) \mathbf{1}_{\{-1 \neq y_t\}} + \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t}) \} \\ &= \inf_{q_t \in [0,1]} \max \{ (1 - q_t) + \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t-1}, +1), q_t + \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t-1}, -1) \} \end{aligned}$$

Minimized when the two terms are equal and so,

$$q_t = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t-1}, +1) - \mathbf{Rel}_n(y_{1:t-1}, -1))$$

Now note that $\mathbf{Rel}_n$ involves average over Rademacher variables but by Hoeffding bound we can replace it on each round by a single draw and pay only $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ additive factor more. Note that beyond the extra factor 2 this is the same algorithm as the minimax algorithm we derived in earlier Lecture. Finally the bound we get is

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Reg}_n] \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Rel}_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \epsilon_t f_t \right]$$

4 Finite Experts

$|\mathcal{F}| < \infty$ and ℓ bounded by say 1.

Step 1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Rad}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) &= \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left[2 \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right] \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(2\lambda \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

That is we move to limit of soft-max.

Step 2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Rad}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) &= \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \inf_{\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(2\lambda \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(2\lambda \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \exp \left(2\lambda \sum_{s=t+1}^n \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})) \right) \cdot \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \\ &= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} \left[\prod_{s=t+1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_t} [\exp(2\lambda \epsilon_s \ell(f(\mathbf{x}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})), \mathbf{y}_{s-t}(\epsilon_{t+1:s-1})))] \right] \cdot \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

using $\mathbb{E}_\epsilon [e^{\epsilon x}] \leq e^{x^2/2}$ exactly as in finite lemma proof, since loss is bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{s=t+1}^n \exp(2\lambda^2) \cdot \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \\ &= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\} \\ &=: \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. (admissibility)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \right\} \\
&= \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} \left[\inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\} \right] \right\} \\
&\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right] \right\} \\
&= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(\exp(\lambda \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)])) + \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right] \right\} \\
&= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(\lambda \inf_{\hat{y}_t \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right] \right\} \\
&\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(\lambda (\mathbb{E}_{y_t \sim p_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)] - \ell(f(x_t), y_t)) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right] \right\} \\
&\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{y_t, y'_t \sim p_t} \left[\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(\lambda (\ell(f(x_t), y'_t) - \ell(f(x_t), y_t)) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right] \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \sup_{p_t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{y_t, y'_t \sim p_t} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_t} \left[\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(\lambda \epsilon_t (\ell(f(x_t), y'_t) - \ell(f(x_t), y_t)) - \lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right] \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$(e^x + e^{-x})/2 \leq e^{x^2/2}$ and loss is bounded by 1 and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \cdot e^{2\lambda^2} \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t+1) \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. (algorithm) We get a parameter free version of exponential weights algorithm,

$$\lambda_t^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t+1) \right\} \quad \& \quad q_t^* \propto \exp \left(-\lambda_t^* \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{y_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t^*} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t}, y_{1:t}) \right\} \\
&= \sup_{y_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t^*} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda(n-t) \right\} \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{y_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t^*} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda_t^* \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) \right\} + 2\lambda_t^*(n-t) \\
&= \sup_{y_t} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t^*} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t^*} [\exp(-\lambda_t^* \ell(f(x_t), y_t))] \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_t^*} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda_t^*(n-t) \Big\} \\
&= \sup_{y_t} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_t^*} \log \left(\exp(\lambda_t^* \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t^*} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)]) \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_t^*} \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t^*} [\exp(-\lambda_t^* \ell(f(x_t), y_t))] \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_t^*} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda_t^* \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda_t^*(n-t) \Big\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_t^*} \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\lambda_t^* \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \ell(f(x_s), y_s) \right) \right) + 2\lambda_t^*(n-t+1) \Big\} = \mathbf{Rel}_n(x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get the guarantee,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Reg}_n] \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{Rel}_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \inf_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(|\mathcal{F}|) + 2\lambda n \right\} = \sqrt{\frac{8 \log |\mathcal{F}|}{n}}$$