

Machine Learning Theory (CS 6783)

Lecture 12 : Online Learning

1 Recap : Online Learning

For $t = 1$ to n

Instance $x_t \in \mathcal{X}$ is provided

Learner picks $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ (or randomized version $q_t \in \Delta(\mathcal{Y})$)

True label $y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$ is revealed and learner pays loss $\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$

end

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t) - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t)$$

If we use randomized algorithm then, on each round, label \hat{y}_t is drawn from q_t . In this case, we wish to bound regret defined as :

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t)$$

A simple application of Hoeffding-Azuma can in fact turn the above statement in to a high probability statement of form, for any $\delta > 0$ with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over the randomization of the learning algorithm,

$$\mathbf{R}_n \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q_t} [\ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t)] - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t) + \sqrt{\frac{\log 1/\delta}{n}}$$

1.1 Halving : Realizable Online Binary Classification, finite class \mathcal{F}

Assume $\mathcal{Y} = \{\pm 1\}$. Also assume that $y_t = f^*(x_t)$ where $f^* \in \mathcal{F}$ is unknown to the learner.

At round t given x_t predict with majority of consistent hypotheses. That is given past data define set of consistent hypotheses as

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \forall i < t, f(x_i) = y_i\}$$

Given x_t we predict :

$$\hat{y}_t = \text{sign} \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_t} f(x_t) \right)$$

For the above procedure, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(\hat{y}_t, y_t) \leq \frac{\log_2 |\mathcal{F}|}{n}$$

Why ? Notice that if we make a mistake in our prediction at round t , $|\mathcal{F}_{t+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{F}_t|$. Hence total number of mistakes can't be larger than $\log_2 |\mathcal{F}|$

2 Experts/Exponential Weights Algorithm

Algorithm : $q_1(f) = 1/|F|$. Further, each round we update the distribution over experts as,

$$q_{t+1}(f) \propto q_t(f) e^{-\eta \ell(f(x_t), y_t)}$$

Or in other words, $q_{t+1}(f) = \frac{e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^t \ell(f(x_i), y_i)}}{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^t \ell(f(x_i), y_i)}}$

Claim 1.

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)] - \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log |\mathcal{F}|}{n}}$$

Proof. We use the notation $L_t(f) = \sum_{i=1}^t \ell(f(x_i), y_i)$. Define $W_0 = |F|$ and define $W_t = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_t(f)}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{W_n}{W_0} \right) &= \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_n(f)} \right) - \log |\mathcal{F}| \\ &\geq \log \left(\max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_n(f)} \right) - \log |\mathcal{F}| \\ &= -\eta \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t) - \log |\mathcal{F}| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{W_n}{W_0} \right) &= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\frac{W_t}{W_{t-1}} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\frac{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_t(f)}}{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_{t-1}(f)}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{e^{-\eta L_{t-1}(f)}}{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-\eta L_{t-1}(f)}} e^{-\eta \ell(f(x_t), y_t)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} \left[e^{-\eta \ell(f(x_t), y_t)} \right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} \left[e^{-\eta (\ell(f(x_t), y_t) - \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)]) - \eta \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)]} \right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} \left[e^{-\eta (\ell(f(x_t), y_t) - \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)])} \right] \times e^{-\eta \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)]} \right) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} \left[e^{-\eta (\ell(f(x_t), y_t) - \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)])} \right] \right) - \eta \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)] - \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t) \leq \frac{\log |\mathcal{F}|}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} \left[e^{-\eta (\ell(f(x_t), y_t) - \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)])} \right] \right)$$

Note that for any zero mean RV X in the range $[-1, 1]$, $\mathbb{E} [e^{-\eta X}] \leq e^{\eta^2/2}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{f \sim q_t} [\ell(f(x_t), y_t)] - \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(f(x_t), y_t) \leq \frac{\log |\mathcal{F}|}{\eta} + \frac{n\eta}{2}$$

Picking $\eta = \sqrt{2 \log |\mathcal{F}| / n}$ concludes the statement. \square

3 Learning Thresholds

Not learnable, (even in realizable case) why ?

4 Predicting Bit-sequences

Think of the online learning problem where on each round t we predict the next bit $y_t \in \{\pm 1\}$. Also say $\mathcal{F} \subset \{\pm 1\}^n$ and we want to minimize regret (in expectation) :

$$\text{Reg}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}} - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{f_t \neq y_t\}}$$

When can we ensure $\mathbb{E} [\text{Reg}_n] \rightarrow 0$? Let us denote the minimax rate as

$$V_n = \min_{\text{algorithms}} \max_{\text{sequence}} \mathbb{E} [\text{Reg}_n]$$

Claim 2.

$$V_n = \frac{1}{2n} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n f_t \epsilon_t \right]$$

Proof. The basic idea is to write down the minimax rate in a recursive form and get a characterization for it. To this end, say you had already played rounds 1 to $n-1$ optimally, then, on the last two rounds, what are the optimal moves for both the players. We write this value given y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} were already produced as :

$$V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \min_{q_n \in [0,1]} \sup_{y_n \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_n \sim q_n} [\mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{y}_n \neq y_n\}}] - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{f_t \neq y_t\}} \}$$

That is on the last round, the learner picks distribution q_n that minimizes loss at the last step while the adversary picks y_n that maximizes the loss at last step while also minimizes loss of the target we are comparing our regret against. In fact if we define $V_n(y_1, \dots, y_n) = -\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{f_t \neq y_t\}}$ then we see that

$$V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \min_{q_n \in [0,1]} \sup_{y_n \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_n \sim q_n} [\mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{y}_n \neq y_n\}}] + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_n) \}$$

Thus we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) &= \min_{q_n \in [0,1]} \sup_{y_n \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ q_n \mathbf{1}_{\{1 \neq y_n\}} + (1 - q_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{1 = y_n\}} + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_n) \} \\ &= \min_{q_n \in [0,1]} \max \{ (1 - q_n) + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, +1), q_n + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -1) \} \end{aligned}$$

Solution is to pick q_n such that the two terms are equal. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, +1) + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_n} [V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \epsilon_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Now recursively we continue as

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}) &= \min_{q_{n-1} \in [0,1]} \sup_{y_{n-1} \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ q_{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{1 \neq y_{n-1}\}} + (1 - q_{n-1}) \mathbf{1}_{\{1 = y_{n-1}\}} + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{n-1}} [V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, \epsilon_{n-1})] \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding as follows we conclude that :

$$V_n(\cdot) = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_1} [V_n(\epsilon_1)] = \dots = \mathbb{E}_\epsilon [V_n(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)]$$

Hence we conclude that :

$$\text{Minimax}_n = \frac{V_n(\cdot)}{n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[-\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{f_t \neq \epsilon_t\}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} \mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{t=1}^n f_t \epsilon_t \right] - \frac{1}{2}$$

□

Prediction algorithm : the prediction algorithm corresponding to the above analysis is exactly the q_t that minimizes the recursion at each step and hence is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
q_t &= \operatorname{argmin}_{q \in [0,1]} \max_{y_t \in \{\pm 1\}} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}_t \sim q} [\mathbb{1}_{\{\hat{y}_t \neq y_t\}}] + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_t) \} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (1 + V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, +1) - V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, -1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} [V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, +1, \epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)] - \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{t+1:n}} [V_n(y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, -1, \epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)])
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, we can also show that the following randomized algorithm works. Draw $\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n$ and set :

$$q_t = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{f_j \neq y_j\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{f_t \neq 1\}} + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{f_i \neq \epsilon_i\}} \right\} - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{f_j \neq y_j\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{f_t \neq -1\}} + \sum_{i=t+1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{f_i \neq \epsilon_i\}} \right\} \right)$$