

1 Inductive Proofs

There are a number of properties of entities in CS 611 that need inductive proofs, including:

- expression termination
- deterministic evaluation
- equivalence of semantics
- equivalence of expressions

Winskel’s discussions of these inductive proofs are built on the notion of a well-founded relation \prec , while the lectures mostly utilize induction on the heights of derivation trees.

Well-founded induction generalizes ordinary induction by introducing a *well-founded* predecessor function \prec . The predecessor function \prec for the natural numbers is $n \prec n + 1$. In well-founded induction, we want to prove that some $P(e)$ holds for all $e \in S$, where S has some well-founded relation \prec on its members, by showing $P(1)$ and $P(e) \wedge n \prec n' \Rightarrow P(n')$.

A function is *well-founded* if there are no infinite downward chains in S ordered by \prec . This means that \prec must be irreflexive since if there were some a such that $a \prec a$ then we could construct an infinite downward chain $\dots \prec a \prec a \prec a$. If there were an infinite downward chain, then there might not be any base case supporting the induction.

The rule for well-founded induction is

$$\frac{\forall e . (\forall e' \prec e . P(e')) \Rightarrow P(e)}{\forall e . P(e)} .$$

It is clear that this corresponds to the induction step in mathematical induction, but less clear that it also accommodates the base case requirement of same, since when e is an element without a predecessor (eg 1 in the natural numbers), $(\forall e' \prec e . P(e')) \Rightarrow P(e)$ is equivalent to $\text{true} \Rightarrow P(e)$, which is to say we must be able to derive $P(e)$ without the benefit of an induction hypothesis, just as in standard induction.

Recall that structural induction involves proving that $P(e)$ holds if $P(e')$ holds for each subexpression e' of e . Then we can define \prec via

$$e' \prec e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e' \text{ a subexpression of } e .$$

Given that expressions can only have finite length and any expression is strictly longer than each of its subexpressions the set of expressions ordered by \prec has no infinite downward chain and so we can use well-founded induction.

2 Inductively Defined Sets

In our discussions of induction so far we have been trying to show that some $P(e)$ holds for all e in some inductively defined set. What do we mean by an inductively defined set? Intuitively we mean a set of elements such that for each element we can construct a finite proof of membership using the inference rules given for the set. Part of this intuition is that the only expressions we will see in a proof of e will be shorter than e , so that we are in a sense proving $P(e)$ assuming $P(e')$ for all shorter e' .

We can express a more generalized (and formal) notion of an inductively defined set as follows. Recall that an inductive definition of a set is a set of inference rules (a “proof system”) and that given any substitution of metavariables subject to side conditions. Then we can define a rule operator R by

$$R(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x : \frac{x_1 \dots x_m}{x} \text{ is a rule instance} \wedge \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq A\}$$

Note that R is defined on all sets A (not just on subsets of the inductively defined set).

Some properties of R :

- $R(\emptyset) = \{x : \overline{x}\}$ = the set of elements of the set that can be concluded from axioms.
- $R(R(\emptyset))$ = the set of elements that have proof trees of height ≤ 1 .
- $R(A_1 \cup A_2) \supseteq R(A_1) \cup R(A_2)$.
- $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \Rightarrow R(A_1) \subseteq R(A_2)$ — R is monotonic with respect to \subseteq .

Let S be the set of all elements we can derive by from the rules and axioms of the system. Intuitively, we require that applying the rules of the system to S should not produce any new elements; that is, S should be *closed* under the rule operator R : $S \supseteq R(S)$. We will see that $S = R(S)$, that is S is a *fixed point* of the operator R , in fact S is the least fixed point of R , which we denote as $\text{fix}(R)$.

- x is a *fixed point* of $f : D \rightarrow D$ iff $x = f(x)$.
- $\text{fix}(R) : (D \rightarrow D) \rightarrow D$ takes a function and returns the least fixed point of that function.

In order to find this fixed point, we need a solution to $S = R(S)$.

The things we can prove with trees of finite height are the members of the sets $\emptyset = R^0(\emptyset), R(\emptyset), R^2(\emptyset), \dots$ which are related in a monotonic sequence $R^0(\emptyset) \subseteq R^1(\emptyset) \subseteq R^2(\emptyset) \subseteq \dots$. We can see that this sequence exists by induction using the fact that R is monotonic: observe that $R^0(\emptyset) \subseteq R^1(\emptyset)$, then $R^1(\emptyset) \subseteq R^2(\emptyset)$, and so on.

Then we can define S by

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R^n(\emptyset).$$

Now we can show $S = \text{fix}(R)$ as follows:

$S \supseteq R(S)$

Assume $x \in R(S)$. Then for some rule instance $\frac{x_1 \dots x_m}{x}$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq S$. Because m is finite and each x_i must enter $S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R^n(\emptyset)$ at a finite stage $R^n(\emptyset)$, there must be some finite n such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq R^n(\emptyset)$. Then $x \in R(R^n(\emptyset)) = R^{n+1}(\emptyset)$ and so by the definition of S it must be that $x \in S$.

$S \subseteq R(S)$

Assume $x \in S = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R^n(\emptyset)$. Then for some n , $x \in R^n(\emptyset) = R(R^{n-1}(\emptyset))$, and $R(R^{n-1}(\emptyset)) \subseteq R(S)$ by the definition of S and the monotonicity of R , so $x \in R(S)$.

For all fixpoints $B = R(B)$, $S \subseteq B$

Let B be any other fixpoint $B = R(B)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \subseteq & B \\ R(\emptyset) & \subseteq & B \\ R^2(\emptyset) & \subseteq & B \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{(union all above)} & & \text{(union all above)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ S & \subseteq & B \end{array}$$

We can use a similar argument to observe that S is not only the least fixed point, but also the least set that is closed under R . If B is a set closed under R , then $B \supseteq R(B)$, so the right-hand side of the set inclusions above will be $B, R(B), R^2(B), \dots$, which are monotonically *decreasing* sets. Thus we have $S \subseteq B$ for any such B .

3 Final Remarks

We try in induction to show $P(e)$ for all e in $S = \text{fix}(R)$.

Assume for the induction hypothesis that there is some e contained in $R^n(\emptyset)$ for some n , then the induction step is to prove $P(e)$ assuming $P(e')$ for all $e' \in R^{n'}$ where $n' < n$.

Conclude that

$$\forall n . \forall e \in R^n(\emptyset) . P(e) \Rightarrow \forall e \in \text{fix}(R) . P(e)$$

.