

1. We show how to express the following functions as  $\mu$ -recursive functions.

(a)

$$\text{const}_k(x) = k \quad \text{for arbitrary } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Define

$$\text{const}_k \equiv \text{pr}(c_k, \pi_2^2)$$

(b)

$$\text{exp}(x, y) = x^y$$

Define

$$\text{add} \equiv \text{pr}(\pi_1^1, s \circ \pi_3^3)$$

Define

$$\text{mul} \equiv \text{pr}(\text{const}_0, \text{add} \circ \pi_1^3, \pi_3^3)$$

Define

$$\text{exp} \equiv \text{pr}(\text{const}_1, \text{mul} \circ \pi_1^3, \pi_3^3)$$

(c)

$$\text{Sum}_f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^y f(i)$$

Define

$$\text{Sum}_f \equiv \text{pr}(f \circ c_0, \text{add} \circ (f \circ s \circ \pi_1^2), \pi_2^2)$$

2. We show how to express the following functions in Peano arithmetic.

First, define

$$x < y \equiv (\exists z)(x + (z + \mathbf{1}) = y)$$

$$x \leq y \equiv (x < y) \vee (x = y)$$

$$x|y \equiv (\exists z)(x * z = y)$$

(a)

$$div(x, y) = x \div y$$

Define

$$R_{div}(x, y, z) \equiv (\exists a)((a < y) \wedge (x = z * y + a))$$

(b)

$$divides(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ divides } y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$R_{divides}(x, y, z) \equiv ((x|y) \supset (z = \mathbf{1})) \wedge (\sim(x|y) \supset (z = \mathbf{0}))$$

(c)

$$prime(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a prime number} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$R_{prime}(x, z) \equiv ((\forall a)((a|x) \supset ((a = \mathbf{1}) \vee (a = x)))) \supset (z = \mathbf{1}) \\ \wedge \\ (((\exists a)((a|x) \wedge \sim(a = \mathbf{1}) \wedge \sim(a = x))) \supset (z = \mathbf{0}))$$

3. We prove  $(\forall x)(\sim(x + \mathbf{1} = x))$  in Peano arithmetic.

We first prove a useful lemma:

**lemma1:**  $(\forall x, y)((x = y) \supset (x + \mathbf{1} = y + \mathbf{1}))$

	$x = y$	
$\wedge$	$x + (\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1}) = (x + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{1}$	<b>add-step</b> $[x, \mathbf{0}]$
$\supset$	$x + (\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1}) = (y + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{1}$	<b>subst</b>
$\supset$	$(x + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{1} = (y + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{1}$	<b>assoc+</b> $[x, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}], \text{subst}$
$\supset$	$x + \mathbf{1} = (y + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{1}$	<b>add-base</b> $[x], \text{subst}$
$\supset$	$x + \mathbf{1} = y + \mathbf{1}$	<b>add-base</b> $[y], \text{subst}$

**thm:**  $(\forall x)(\sim(x + \mathbf{1} = x))$

	$\sim(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0})$	<b>base</b> $[x]$ <b>non-surjective</b> $[\mathbf{0}]$
	$\sim(x + \mathbf{1} = x)$	<b>ihyp</b> $[x]$
	$\sim(x + \mathbf{1} = x)$	<b>step</b> $[x]$ <b>ihyp</b> $[x]$
$\supset$	$\sim((x + \mathbf{1}) + \mathbf{1} = x + \mathbf{1})$	<b>lemma1</b> $[x + \mathbf{1}, x], \text{subst}$

4. We show that the following laws are not valid in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

- (a)  $(\forall x, y)(x + y = y + x)$
- (b)  $(\forall x, y, z)(x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z)$
- (c)  $(\forall x)(\mathbf{0} + x = x)$
- (d)  $(\forall x, y)(x * y = y * x)$
- (e)  $(\forall x)(\mathbf{0} * x = \mathbf{0})$

Consider the following model of  $\mathcal{Q}$ :

$$U = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega, \zeta\}$$

+	<i>j</i>	$\omega$	$\zeta$
<i>i</i>	$i + j$	$\zeta$	$\omega$
$\omega$	$\omega$	$\zeta$	$\omega$
$\zeta$	$\zeta$	$\zeta$	$\omega$

*	$\mathbf{0}$	<i>j</i>	$\omega$	$\zeta$
$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\omega$	$\zeta$
<i>i</i>	$\mathbf{0}$	$i * j$	$\omega$	$\zeta$
$\omega$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\zeta$	$\zeta$	$\zeta$
$\zeta$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\omega$	$\omega$	$\omega$

We show that the following laws are not valid in this model:

- (a)  $(\forall x, y)(x + y = y + x)$

$$\omega + \mathbf{0} = \omega \neq \zeta = \mathbf{0} + \omega$$

- (b)  $(\forall x, y, z)(x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z)$

$$\omega + (\mathbf{0} + \omega) = \omega + \zeta = \omega \neq \zeta = \omega + \omega = (\omega + \mathbf{0}) + \omega$$

- (c)  $(\forall x)(\mathbf{0} + x = x)$

$$\mathbf{0} + \omega = \zeta \neq \omega$$

- (d)  $(\forall x, y)(x * y = y * x)$

$$\omega * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \neq \omega = \mathbf{0} * \omega$$

- (e)  $(\forall x)(\mathbf{0} * x = \mathbf{0})$

$$\mathbf{0} * \omega = \omega \neq \mathbf{0}$$

We show that the axioms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are satisfied by this model:

non-surjective:

$$\omega + \mathbf{1} = \zeta \neq \mathbf{0} \quad \zeta + \mathbf{1} = \omega \neq \mathbf{0}$$

injective:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \omega + \mathbf{1} = y + \mathbf{1} & x + \mathbf{1} = \omega + \mathbf{1} \\ \supset \omega = y + \mathbf{1} & \supset x + \mathbf{1} = \omega \\ \supset \omega = y & \supset x = \omega \\ \\ \zeta + \mathbf{1} = y + \mathbf{1} & x + \mathbf{1} = \zeta + \mathbf{1} \\ \supset \zeta = y + \mathbf{1} & \supset x + \mathbf{1} = \zeta \\ \supset \zeta = y & \supset x = \zeta \end{array}$$

nonzero:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \omega + \mathbf{1} = \omega & \zeta + \mathbf{1} = \zeta \\ \supset (\exists z)(z + \mathbf{1} = \omega) & \supset (\exists z)(z + \mathbf{1} = \zeta) \end{array}$$

add-base:

$$\omega + \mathbf{0} = \omega \quad \zeta + \mathbf{0} = \zeta$$

add-step:

$$\begin{array}{l} \omega + (j + \mathbf{1}) = \omega = \omega + \mathbf{1} = (\omega + j) + \mathbf{1} \\ \omega + (\omega + \mathbf{1}) = \omega + \omega = \zeta = \zeta + \mathbf{1} = (\omega + \omega) + \mathbf{1} \\ \omega + (\zeta + \mathbf{1}) = \omega + \zeta = \omega = \omega + \mathbf{1} = (\omega + \zeta) + \mathbf{1} \\ \zeta + (j + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta = \zeta + \mathbf{1} = (\zeta + j) + \mathbf{1} \\ \zeta + (\omega + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta + \omega = \zeta = \zeta + \mathbf{1} = (\zeta + \omega) + \mathbf{1} \\ \zeta + (\zeta + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta + \zeta = \omega = \omega + \mathbf{1} = (\zeta + \zeta) + \mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

mul-base:

$$\omega * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \quad \zeta * \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

mul-step:

$$\begin{array}{l} \omega * (j + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta = \zeta + \omega = \omega * j + \omega \\ \omega * (\omega + \mathbf{1}) = \omega * \omega = \zeta = \zeta + \omega = \omega * \omega + \omega \\ \omega * (\zeta + \mathbf{1}) = \omega * \zeta = \zeta = \zeta + \omega = \omega * \zeta + \omega \\ \zeta * (j + \mathbf{1}) = \omega = \omega + \zeta = \zeta * j + \zeta \\ \zeta * (\omega + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta * \omega = \omega = \omega + \zeta = \zeta * \omega + \zeta \\ \zeta * (\zeta + \mathbf{1}) = \zeta * \zeta = \omega = \omega + \zeta = \zeta * \zeta + \zeta \end{array}$$

5. The function  $A : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(0, 0) &= 1 \\ A(0, 1) &= 2 \\ A(0, y) &= y + 2 \quad \text{otherwise} \\ A(n + 1, 0) &= 1 \\ A(n + 1, y + 1) &= A(n, A(n + 1, y)) \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $A$  is  $\mu$ -recursive.

First, define the function  $F : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(n, 0, x) &= 0 \\ F(n, m, 0) &= m \\ F(n, m, x + 1) &= A(n - x + 1, F(n, m, x) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

We note that the evaluation of  $A(n, m)$  only requires the evaluation of  $A(x, y)$  where  $0 \leq x \leq n$  and  $0 \leq y \leq F(n, m, x)$ .

Recall that  $\langle \rangle : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the bijective encoding of pairs of numbers, defined as  $\langle i, j \rangle \equiv j + (i + j)(i + j + 1)/2$ . Recall that  $\star : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the selection function, which yields  $y_i$  for  $\hat{y} \star i$ .

Define

$$\begin{aligned} p &\equiv \text{pr}(c_0, \pi_1^2) \\ \text{sub} &\equiv \text{pr}(\pi_1^1, p \circ \pi_2^2) \\ F &\equiv \text{pr}(\text{const}_0 \circ \pi_3^3, \text{pr}(\pi_2^3, \star \circ (\text{sub} \circ (s \circ \pi_1^5), \pi_4^5), (p \circ \pi_5^5)) \circ \pi_1^5, (s \circ \pi_4^5), \pi_2^5, \pi_3^5) \circ \pi_1^4, \pi_3^4, \pi_4^4, \pi_2^4) \\ A(n, m) &\equiv \min \left\{ z \left| \begin{array}{l} (z \star \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 1) \wedge \\ (z \star \langle 0, 1 \rangle = 2) \wedge \\ (\forall y) \left( \begin{array}{l} ((1 < y) \wedge (y \leq F(n, m, z, 0))) \supset \\ (z \star \langle 0, y \rangle = s(s(y))) \end{array} \right) \wedge \\ (\forall x) ((x < n) \supset (z \star \langle s(x), 0 \rangle = 1)) \wedge \\ (\forall x, y) \left( \begin{array}{l} ((x < n) \wedge (y \leq F(n, m, z, s(x)))) \supset \\ (z \star \langle s(x), s(y) \rangle = z \star \langle x, z \star \langle s(x), y \rangle \rangle) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right. \right\} \star \langle n, m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We calculate  $A(4, 4)$ .

We first prove the following:

$$A(0, 0) = 1 \quad A(0, 1) = 2 \quad A(0, y) = y + 2$$

Obvious from the definition of  $A$ .

$$A(1, 0) = 1 \quad A(1, y) = 2 * y$$

Proceed by induction on  $y$ . Note  $A(1, 0) = 1$  by the definition of  $A$ . Note  $A(1, 1) = A(0, A(1, 0)) = A(0, 1) = 2$  by the definition of  $A$ . Suppose  $A(1, y) = 2 * y$  for  $1 \leq y$ . Note  $A(1, y + 1) = A(0, A(1, y)) = A(0, 2 * y) = 2 * y + 2 = 2 * (y + 1)$  for  $1 \leq y$ .

$$A(2, y) = 2^y$$

Proceed by induction on  $y$ . Note  $A(2, 0) = 1$  by the definition of  $A$ . Suppose  $A(2, y) = 2^y$  for  $0 \leq y$ . Note  $A(2, y + 1) = A(1, A(2, y)) = A(1, 2^y) = 2 * 2^y = 2^{y+1}$  for  $0 \leq y$ .

$$A(3, y) = 2 \uparrow y = \underbrace{2^{2^{\dots^2}}}_{y \text{ times}}$$

Proceed by induction on  $y$ . Note  $A(3, 0) = 1$  by the definition of  $A$ . Suppose  $A(3, y) = 2 \uparrow y$  for  $0 \leq y$ . Note  $A(3, y + 1) = A(2, A(3, y)) = A(2, 2 \uparrow y) = 2^{2 \uparrow y} = 2 \uparrow (y + 1)$  for  $0 \leq y$ .

$$A(4, y) = 2 \uparrow \uparrow y = \underbrace{2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow (\dots \uparrow 2))}_{y \text{ times}}$$

Proceed by induction on  $y$ . Note  $A(4, 0) = 1$  by the definition of  $A$ . Suppose  $A(4, y) = 2 \uparrow \uparrow y$  for  $0 \leq y$ . Note  $A(4, y + 1) = A(3, A(4, y)) = A(3, 2 \uparrow \uparrow y) = 2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow \uparrow y) = 2 \uparrow \uparrow (y + 1)$  for  $0 \leq y$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A(4, 4) &= 2 \uparrow \uparrow 4 \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow 2)) \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow (2^2)) \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2 \uparrow 4) \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2^{2^2}) \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2^{2^4}) \\ &= 2 \uparrow (2^{16}) \\ &= 2 \uparrow 65536 \end{aligned}$$