

CS 421: Numerical Analysis
Fall 2000
Problem Set 5

Handed out: Wed., Nov. 8.

Due: Fri., Nov. 17 in lecture.

1. It has been proposed in the literature to use Newton's method to compute the inverse of a matrix. Let A be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix. Consider the nonlinear equations $f(X) = A - X^{-1}$. Then if $f(X^*) = 0$, clearly $X = A^{-1}$. It can be shown that the Newton iteration for solving $f(X) = 0$ is $X^{(k+1)} = 2X^{(k)} - X^{(k)}AX^{(k)}$.
 - (a) Show by a direct argument that this iteration converges quadratically provided that all the eigenvalues of $AX^{(0)} - I$ are less than 1 in absolute value. [Hint: Let $Y^{(k)} = AX^{(k)} - I$. Find a formula for $Y^{(k+1)}$ in terms of $Y^{(k)}$.]
 - (b) Show that there exists an $\alpha > 0$ such using αA^T for $X^{(0)}$ satisfies the condition in (a) (i.e., for this particular $X^{(0)}$, all eigenvalues of $AX^{(0)} - I$ are less than 1 in absolute value). Note that solving part (b) of this question does not require knowing how to solve part (a).
2. Let $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be twice-continuously differentiable. Let H denote $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Consider the step defined by $\mathbf{h} = -(H + tI)^{-1}\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$, proposed in lecture. Note that \mathbf{h} depends on t . Considering H and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ as fixed, show that $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2$ is a nonincreasing function of t for $t \in (-\lambda_{\min}(A), \infty)$. [Hint: Diagonalize.]
3. Consider the problem of solving a symmetric positive definite linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. Derive an iterative algorithm for solving this system based on the following idea. Apply the steepest descent optimization algorithm to the quadratic function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A\mathbf{x}/2 - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}.$$

Note that the minimizer \mathbf{x}^* of f is the same as the solution to $A\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}$, as proved in lecture. An exact (optimal) line-search should be used.

Write out this algorithm. Every step of the algorithm should be in closed form. In particular, come up with a closed-form expression to compute the optimal line-search parameter α_k . Each iteration should require a linear number of flops, plus a constant number of matrix-vector multiplies.

[Note: for two points extra credit, explain how to implement this algorithm with $O(n)$ flops plus only *one* matrix-vector multiplication per iteration. Extra credit cannot raise your score on this problem set above 40.]

4. The *distance geometry problem* is a well-known NP-hard problem used by chemists to interpret the data from NMR experiments on molecules. The problem is as follows: a

molecule is composed of N atoms whose positions are $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$, where each \mathbf{x}_i is an unknown 3-vector. The NMR experiment gives back a set L that is a subset of pairs of indices (i.e., $L \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, N\}$). Furthermore, for each $(i, j) \in L$, the NMR experiment gives the value $d_{ij} = \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2$. (To prevent ambiguities, assume that $i < j$ for each $(i, j) \in L$.) The problem is to reconstruct the atomic positions from this partial distance data.

In Matlab, implement the Gauss-Newton method for the two-dimensional version (i.e., each $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{R}^2$) of the distance geometry problem. In two dimensions, distance geometry is still NP-hard. The nonlinear least-squares formulation of distance geometry is

$$\min \sum_{(i,j) \in L} (\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2 - d_{ij}^2)^2$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ are the unknowns (i.e., $2N$ unknowns total).

Unfortunately, the Jacobian is rank-deficient for this problem because a uniform translation or rotation of all coordinates does not affect the distances. To force the Jacobian to be full rank, assume $(1, 2) \in L$ (which is possible WLOG by renumbering the atoms), and fix $\mathbf{x}_1 = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = (0, d_{12})$. This leaves only $2N - 4$ unknowns, namely, $\mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$.

Test your Gauss-Newton program on some test data. To assist in the preparation of test cases, I have created some m-files which you may download from the course website. Invoking `pairlist = threepath(N)`; where N is the number of atoms desired, creates a list L using randomization. Each row of the return variable `pairlist` is a pair in L , i.e., the return variable has two columns and all whole number entries. The first row of `pairlist` is always $(1, 2)$.

Then, to make distances, use the call `[distlist,sol] = makedistlist(pairlist)`; where `pairlist` is the return variable from the previous routine. Say that L is $p \times 2$; then this routine returns a p -vector holding distances for testing your software. It computes the distances by actually making a randomly positioned molecule and then measuring the distances. In this way, you are assured that the global minimum for the least-squares problem is zero. (Recall from lecture that Gauss-Newton works best if the solution to which it is converging has zero residual.)

These two arrays, `pairlist` and `distlist`, define the problem data. You should write a routine to solve the problem using Gauss-Newton. Your routine should have two loops: the outer loop is on randomized initial guesses for the positions and the inner loop is the actual Gauss-Newton loop. The outer loop is necessary because solving the distance geometry problem is an example of *global optimization*, but Gauss-Newton carries out only local optimization. A naive but popular strategy for global optimization is to simply re-run a local optimization algorithm for many starting points.

To assist in the grading, please organize your routines as follows. There should be a routine for evaluating the function yielding the vector $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1}$ encoding the objective (i.e., the components of \mathbf{g} are the various values of $\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2 - d_{ij}^2$ for each of the $p - 1$ entries in L , omitting the first one, which is fixed). This function should have the form:

```
function fval = evalfun(positions, pairlist, distlist)
```

There should be a routine for evaluating the Jacobian of \mathbf{g} :

```
function jac = evaljac(positions, pairlist, distlist)
```

This function should return a $(p - 1) \times (2N - 4)$ matrix.

In both of these calls, `positions` is an $N \times 2$ array of the current iterate's atom positions, and `pairlist` and `distlist` are as above.

Remark: it is easier to write `evaljac` to first compute a $p \times (2N)$ matrix, and then to delete the unneeded rows and columns at the end using subscripting operations. This remark also applies to `evalfun`. Second remark: the Jacobian is sparse. So you are welcome to experiment with Matlab sparse matrices, though this is not required for the question.

In your Gauss-Newton iteration, terminate when $J^T \mathbf{g}$ is sufficiently small (how small?), where J denotes the Jacobian. Gauss-Newton does not always converge, so you need a second termination test that activates when too many iterations go by (how many?) Note also that even though $\|J^T \mathbf{g}\|$ is involved in the Gauss-Newton test, to see whether the problem is actually solved (i.e., you have found a globally optimal fit for the data), you must also check $\|\mathbf{g}\|$. The case when $\|J^T \mathbf{g}\| = 0$ but $\|\mathbf{g}\| \neq 0$ occurs when Gauss-Newton converges to a local optimum that is not globally optimal.

Turn in listings of all m-files and a few paragraphs describing your design choices, and describing how well everything worked. Plots are optional, but a picture is worth a thousand words! With my implementation, I was able to globally solve problems with $N = 12$ but not with $N = 20$.