

Limited DRAM

- With paging we could probably "function" with just one resident memory page for each process (and its Master Page Table)
- But reading and writing memory pages to disk is expensive so we don't want to do it very often
- So how much system DRAM do we really need for each process?
 - Do we give each process the same amount of memory?Do they all need the same amount?
 - Do we have enough system DRAM to support all the processes we want to run? (We know we can do better than 4 GB for each one but to avoid constant paging how many do we need)
- Two ways to answer practical and theoretical



<complex-block>

Observations About Actual Memory Usage

- Varies significantly per process
- Are any processes paging "too heavily"?
 - Could we tell just from these stats? How would we know?

How much memory do processes need? (Theoretical Answer)

- "Working set" of a process is the set of virtual memory pages being actively used by the process.
- Define a working set over an interval
 WS_P(w)= {pages P accessed in the last w accesses}
 - \odot If w = total number of P accesses P makes then $WS_{p}(w)\text{=}$ every virtual memory page touched by P
- Small working set = accesses of a process have high degree of locality

1

Changes in Working Set

- Working set changes over the life of the process
 - Ex. At first all the initialization code is in the working set of a process but after some time if won't be any longer
- Intuitively, you need to keep the working set of a process in memory or the OS will constantly be bring pages on and off of disk
- Normally when we ask how much memory a given program needs to run, the answer is either its average or maximum working set (depending on how conservative you want to make your estimate)

Demand Paging

- When a process first starts up
 - It has brand new page table with all PTE valid bits set to false because no pages yet mapped to physical memory
 - $\odot\,$ As process fetches instructions and accesses data, there will be "page faults" for each page touched
 - Only pages that are needed or "demanded" by the process will be brought in from disk
- Eventually may bring so many pages in that must choose some for eviction
 - Once evicted, if access, will once again demand page in from disk

Demand Paging

- When working set changes (like at the beginning of a process), you will get disk I/O - really no way around that!
- BUT if most memory accesses result in disk I/O the process will run *painfully* slow
- Virtual memory may be invisible from a functional standpoint but certainly not from a performance one
 - There is a performance cliff and if you step off of it you are going to know
 - Remember building systems with cliffs is not good

Prepaging?

- Anticipate fault before it happens and prefetch the data
- Overlap fetch with computation
- Can be hard to predict and if predict wrong evict something useful in exchange
- Programmers can give hints ovm_advise

Thrashing

- Thrashing spending all your time moving pages to and from disk and little time actually making progress
- System is overcommitted
- People get like this ©

Avoiding Paging Given the cost of paging, we want to make it as infrequent as we can Function of:

- Degree of locality in the application (size of the working set over time)
- Amount of physical memory
- Page replacement policy
- The OS can only control the replacement policy

-12

Goals of Replacement Policy

Performance

- o Best to evict a page that will never be accessed again if possible
- If not possible, evict page that won't be used for the longest time
- How can we best predict this?
- Fairness
 - When OS divides up the available memory among processes, what is a fair way to do that?
 - Same amount to everyone? Well some processes may not need that amount for their working set while others are paging to disk constantly with that amount of memory · Give each process its working set?
 - As long as enough memory for each process to have its working set resident then everyone is happy

 - · If not how do we resolve the conflict?

Page replacement algorithms

- Remember all the different CPU scheduling algorithms the OS could use to choose the next job to run
- □ Similarly, there are many different algorithms for picking which page to kick out when you have to bring in a new page and there is no free DRAM left
- Goal?
 - Reduce the overall system page fault rate?
 - Balance page fault rates among processes?
 - Minimize page faults for high priority jobs?

Belady's Algorithm

- Evict the page that won't be used again for the longest time
- Much like ShortestJobFirst
- Has provably optimal lowest page fault rate
- Difficult to predict which page won't be used for a while
 - Even if not practical can use it to compare other algorithms too

-15

-13

First-In-First-Out (FIFO)

- Evict the page that was inserted the longest time ado
 - When page in put on tail of list
 - Evict head of list
- □ Is is always (usually) the case that the thing accessed the longest time ago will not be accessed for a long time?
- What about things accessed all the time!
- FIFO suffers an interesting anomaly (Belady's) Anomaly)
 - It is possible to increase the page fault rate by increasing the amount of available memory

-16

-14

Least-Recently Used (LRU) I dea: the past is a good predictor of the future

- Page that we haven't used for the longest time likely not to be used again for longest time
 - Is past a good predictor
 - · Generally yes
 - Can be exactly the wrong thing! Consider streaming access
- To do this requires keeping a history of past accesses
 - To be exact LRU would need to save a timestamp on each access (I.e. write the PTE on each access!)
 - Too expensive!

-17

Approximating LRU Remember the reference bit in the PTE Set if read or written At some regular interval (much much less often than for each access) clear all the reference bits Only PTE without the ref bit clear are eligible for eviction ■ More than 1 bit of state? Associate some number of counter bits • At regular interval, if ref bit is 0 increment counter and if ref bit is 1 then zero counter

 Counter tells you # intervals since the last reference • More bits you give to counter = more accurate approximation

-18

LRU Clock

- Also called Second Chance
- Logically put all physical page frames in a circle (clock)
- Maintain a pointer to a current page (clock hand)
- When need to evict a page, look at current page
 - \bigcirc If ref bit off then evict
 - If ref bit on clear it and move on (second chance)

LRU Clock (con't)

- Arm moves as quickly as eviction are requested
- I f evictions rarely requested then arm moves slowly and pages have a long time to prove their worth by being referenced
- If evictions frequently requested then arm moves fast and little time before the second chance is up

Fairness?

- All the replacement policies we've looked at so far just try to pick the page to evict regardless of which process the page belongs to
- What if demand page in from one process causes the eviction of another processes page? Is that fair?
- On the other hand is it fair for one process to have 2 times their working set while another process has ½ their working set and is paging heavily?

-21

-19

Fixed vs Variable Space

Fixed space algorithms

- Give each process a limit of pages it can use
- \odot When it reaches its limit, it replaces LRU or FIFO or whatever from its pages
- May be more natural to give process a say in the replacement policy used for its pages

Variable space algorithms

- ${\scriptstyle \bigcirc}$ Processes set of pages grows and shrinks
- One process can ruin it for the rest but opportunity to make globally better decisions

-22

-24

Use Working Set Could ask each process to inform the OS of the size of its working set OS only allow a process to start if it can allocate the complete working set How easy for processes to report this?

Page Fault Frequency (PFF) PFF is a variable-space algorithm that tries to determine the working set size dynamically

- Monitor page fault rage for each process
- If fault rate is above a given threshold, give it more memory
- If fault rate is below threshold, take away memory
- Constant adjustment? Dampening factor so only changes occasionally

Best page replacement?

- □ Of course it depends ☺
- Interestingly if have too much memory it doesn't matter
- anything you do will be ok (overprovisioning)
 Also doesn't matter if have too little
- memory
- Thrashing and nothing you can do to stop it (overcommitted)
- □ So much does it cost just to overprovision?

-25

Summary

Demand paging

- Start with no physical memory pages mapped and load them in on demand
- Page replacement Algorithms
 - Belady optimal but unrealizable
 - FIFO replace page loaded earliest
 - LRU replace page referenced earliest
 - Working Set-keep set of pages in memory that induces minimal fault rate (need program specification)
- PFF Grow/shrink page set as a function of fault rate Fairness - globally optimal replacement vs
- protecting processes from each other?

-26

Outtakes

- Shared memory machines
- Expanding address spaces 16 to 32 bit
- I nverted page tables

Multics

-27