Growth of Functions & Complexity of Algorithms

CS280
Spring 2002

How Do You Choose an Algorithm?

- Suppose you have two possible algorithms or data structures that basically do the same thing; which is better?
  - Faster?
  - Less space?
  - Easier to code?
  - Easier to maintain?
  - Required for homework?
- How do we measure the first two?

Sample Problem: Searching

- Determine if a *sorted* array of integers contains a given integer
- 1st solution: Linear Search (check each element)

```
static boolean find (int[ ] a, int item) {
    for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
        if (a[i] == item) return true;
        }
    return false;
}
```

- 2nd solution: Binary Search

```
static boolean find (int[ ] a, int item) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = a.length - 1;
    while (low <=  high) {
        int mid = (low+high)/2;
        if (a[mid] < item)
            low = mid+1;
        else if (item < a[mid])
            high = mid - 1;
        else return true;
        }
    return false;
    }
```


Linear Search vs. Binary Search

- Which one is better?
  - Linear Search is easier to program
  - But Binary Search is faster… isn't it?
- How do we measure to show that one is faster than the other
  - Experiment?
  - Proof?
  - But which inputs do we use?
- Simplifying assumption #1: Use the *size* of the input rather than the input itself
  - For our sample search problem, the input size is n where n-1 is the array size
- Simplifying assumption #2: Count the number of "*basic steps*" rather than computing exact times

One Basic Step = One Time Unit

- Basic step:
  - input or output of a scalar value
  - accessing the value of a scalar variable, array element, or field of an object
  - assignment to a variable, array element, or field of an object
  - a single arithmetic or logical operation
  - method invocation (not counting argument evaluation and execution of the method body)
- For a conditional, we count number of basic steps on the branch that is executed
- For a loop, we count number of basic steps in loop body times the number of iterations
- For a method, we count number of basic steps in method body (including steps needed to prepare stack-frame)

Runtime vs. Number of Basic Steps

- But isn't this cheating?
  - The runtime is not the same as the number of basic steps
  - Time per basic step varies depending on computer, on compiler, on details of code…
- Well… yes, it is cheating in a way
  - But the number of basic steps is *proportional* to the actual runtime
- Which is better?
  - n or n^2 time?
  - 100 n or n^2 time?
  - 10,000 n or n^2 time?
- As n gets large, multiplicative constants become less important
- Simplifying assumption #3: Multiplicative constants aren't important

Using Big-O to Hide Constants

- Roughly, f(n) is O(g(n)) means that f(n) grows like g(n) or slower

Definition: O(g(n)) is a *set*; f(n) is a member of this set if and only if there exist constants C and k such that $|f(n)| \leq C\,|g(n)|$, for all n>k

- We *should* write $f(n) \in O(g(n))$
- But by convention, we write $f(n) = O(g(n))$ or $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$

Claim: $n^2 + n$ is $O(n^2)$

We know $n < n^2$ for $n > 1$

So $n^2 + n < 2\,n^2$ for $n > 1$

So by definition, $n^2 + n$ is $O(n^2)$ for C=2 and k=1

7

Big-O Examples

Claim: 100 n + log n is O(n)

We know log n < n for n > 1 (because $n < 2^n$)

So 100 n + log n < 101 n for n > 1

So by definition, 100 n + log n is O(n) for C=101 and k=1

Claim: $\log_B n$ is O(log n)

Let k = log n

Then $n = 2^k$ and (the subscripts are too messy; switch to board)

Question: Which grows faster: sqrt(n) or log n?

8

Simple Big-O Examples

- Let $f(n) = 3n^2 + 6n - 7$
  - Claim f(n) is $O(n^2)$
  - Claim f(n) is $O(n^3)$
  - Claim f(n) is $O(n^4)$
  - …
- $g(n) = 4n \log n + 34\,n - 89$
  - Claim g(n) is O(n log n)
  - Claim g(n) is $O(n^2)$
- $h(n) = 20 * 2^n + 40$
  - Claim h(n) is $O(2^n)$
- a(n) = 34
  - Claim a(n) is O(1)

- Only the *leading* term (the term that grows most rapidly) matters

9

Problem-Size Examples

- Suppose we have a computing device that can execute 1000 operations per second; how large a problem can we solve?

Complexity	1 second	1 minute	1 hour
n	1000	60,000	3,600,000
n log n	140	4893	200,000
n^2	31	244	1897
$3n^2$	18	144	1096
n^3	10	39	153
2^n	9	15	21

10

Commonly Seen Time Bounds

O(1)	constant	excellent
O(log n)	logarithmic	excellent
O(n)	linear	good
O(n log n)		good
$O(n^2)$	quadratic	OK
$O(n^3)$	cubic	maybe OK
$O(2^n)$	exponential	too slow

11

Related Notations

- Big-Omega

Definition: f(n) is a member of the set $\Omega(g(n))$ if and only if there exists constants C and k such that $C\,|g(n)| < |f(n)|$, for all n>k

Read $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ as f(n) is big-Omega of g(n)

- Big-Theta

Definition: f(n) is a member of the set $\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if f(n) is $O(g(n))$ and f(n) is $\Omega(g(n))$

Read $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ as f(n) is big-Theta of g(n) or f(n) is of order g(n)

12

Worst-Case/Average-Case Bounds

- We can't determine time bounds for all possible inputs of size n
- Simplifying assumption #4: Determine number of steps for either
  - worst-case or
  - average-case
- Worst-case
  - Determine how much time is needed for the *worst possible* input of size n
- Average-case
  - Determine how much time is needed *on average* for all inputs of size n

13

Our Simplifying Assumptions

1. Use the *size* of the input rather than the input itself
2. Count the number of "*basic steps*" rather than computing exact times
3. Multiplicative constants aren't important (so we use big-O notation)
4. Determine number of steps for either
   - worst-case or
   - average-case

14

Worst-Case Analysis of Searching

- Linear Search (check each element)

```
static boolean find (int[ ] a, int item) {
    for (int i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
        if (a[i] == item) return true;
        }
    return false;
    }
```

For Linear Search, worst-case time is O(n)

For Binary Search, worst-case time is O(log n)

- Binary Search

```
static boolean find (int[ ] a, int item) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = a.length - 1;
    while (low <=  high) {
        int mid = (low+high)/2;
        if (a[mid] < item)
            low = mid+1;
        else if (item < a[mid])
            high = mid - 1;
        else return true;
        }
    return false;
    }
```

15

Analysis of Matrix Multiplication

Code for multiplying n-by-n matrices A and B:

```
for (i = 0; i<n; i++)
   for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
      for (k = 0; k < n; k++)
         C[i][j] = C[i][j] + A[i][k] * B[k][j];
```

- By convention, matrix problems are measured in terms of n, the number of rows and columns
  - Note that the input size is $2n^2$
  - Worst-case time is $O(n^3)$
  - Average-case time is also $O(n^3)$

16