| CS1110, 20 Oct. 2009, Lec 14 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reading: Sec. 2.3.8 and chapter 7 on loops. <br> The lectures on the ProgramLive CD can be a big help. |  |
| Have your iClicker out. |  |
| A5 is out: graphics, loops, recursion. Due Sat. Oct 30th. |  |
| Prelim 2: Tu Nov 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 7: 30-9 \mathrm{pm}$. <br> Conflicts? Submit CMS "assignment" "P2 conflicts" by Oct $26^{\text {th }}$. |  |
| Talks on Thursday Oct 21: <br> Adaptive Drama Management: <br> Bringing Machine Learning to Interactive Entertainment <br> 4:15pm, Upson B17 <br> (computer science, game design, social psychology) |  |
| Computational Advertising, 7pm, Phillips 203 (intersection of computer science and econ) | 1 |
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From recursion to loops: doing things repeatedly
We write programs to make computers do things.
We often want to make them do things multiple times.

1. Perform $n$ trials or get $n$ samples.

- A5: draw a triangle six times to make a hexagon
- Run a protein-folding simulation for $10^{6}$ time steps

2. Process each item in a given String, Vector, or other "list"

- Compute aggregate statistics for a dataset, such as the mean, median, standard deviation, etc.
- Send everyone in a certain (Facebook) group an individual appointment time

3. Do something an unknown number of times

- ALVINN, the van that learned to drive itself, continuously watched human driving behavior and adjusted its model accordingly

From recursion to loops: doing things repeatedly
We've talked about recursion.
Alternatives:
while-loops
<set things up>;
while (stuff still to do) \{
<process current item>;
<prepare for next item>;
\}
for-loops (special syntax for cases involving a "counter")
for (<set counter up>; <still stuff to do>; <update counter>) \} <process current item>;
<prepare for next item>;
\}


## The for loop, for processing a range of integers

$\mathrm{x}=0$;
// add the squares of ints The for-loop:
// in range 2.. 200 to $x$
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+2 * 2$;
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+3 * 3$;
...
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+200 * 200$;
for each number i in
the range 2..200,
add $i * i$ to $x$.
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}The for-loop: <br>
for (int \mathrm{i}=2 ; \mathrm{i}<=200 ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1 ) \{ <br>

\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{i} ;\end{array}\right\}\)| loop counter: i |
| :--- |
| initialization: int $\mathrm{i}=2$; |
| loop condition: $\mathrm{i}<=200 ;$ |
| increment: $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$ |
| repetend or body: $\{\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{i} ;\}$ |

$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{i}$;

loop counter: 1
loop condition: $<=200$
increment: $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$
repetend or body: $\{x=x+i * i ;\}$


Application: URL analysis for search engines

Problem: how does a search engine (e.g., Google) decide which webpages are the most important to present?
(Small) part of the answer: use URL cues

- "Deep" URLs are usually less important, e.g., www.fake.com/this/that/other/minor/tiny/detail.htm

This requires counting the number of slashes in a URL (given as a String).
You know a recursive solution; next slide: loop solution.

| The pattern for processi range a..b-1 $\text { for }(\text { int } \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{i} \ell \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)\{$ <br> Process integer i; | range of integers: range c..d $\text { or (int } \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{i} \ll \mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)\{$ <br> Process integer i; |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ```// store in count \# of '/'s in String s // inv: count is \# of '/'s in s[0..i-1] count \(=0\); for (int \(\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{s}\). length ()\(; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)\) \{ if \(\left(\mathrm{s} . \operatorname{charAt}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{I}^{\prime} /\right.\) ) count \(=\) count +1 ; \} // count is \# of '/'s in s[0..s.length()-1]``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { // Store in double var. } \mathrm{v} \text { the sum } \\ & \text { // } \quad 1 / 1+1 / 2+\ldots+1 / \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{v}=0 ; / / \text { call this } 1 / 0 \text { for today } \\ & / / \text { inv: } \mathrm{v} \text { is } 1 / 1+1 / 2+\ldots+1 /(\mathrm{i}-1) \\ & \text { for }(\text { int } \mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i}<=\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1)\{ \\ & \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}+1.0 / \mathrm{i} ; \\ & \} \\ & / / \mathrm{v}=1 / 1+1 / 2+\ldots+1 / \mathrm{n} \end{aligned}$ |

## Application: Some Personalized Email (SPEM)

Problem: how can we get people to read our mass email announcements?

One answer: make it personal.

- Only one recipient
- Customized message ("Hi Lisa, great seeing you at the talk yesterday. Don't forget the meeting tomorrow"; "Hail
Batman. What this course needs is a better class of Criminal. Don't forget the meeting tomorrow")
-We don't want to add duplicate recipients to the list (people notice and hate getting redundant emails).
This requires storing individualized information, iterating over the items we stored, and figuring out $\mathrm{msg} /$ mail output.

Some Personalized Email (SPEM): design decisions
How shall we represent a group of recipients
(e.g., TAs vs. students)?

The usual design problem: how should we lay everything out?

We want the functionality of Vectors (so we can add recipients), ... but we want to modify that functionality to be suitable for our purposes (no adds of duplicate recipients, ability to mail each recipient).

- new class MailRecip with appropriate equals method [remember last lecture?], personalization and mailing methods
- new class MailGroup extending Vector, using MailRecip's equals method to prevent addition of duplicates

Some Personalized Email (SPEM): design decisions
Where should we put new method "add" (which silently does nothing when someone attempts to add a duplicate)?

We should ensure there is no way to add duplicates to the list.
A. static method in MailRecip MailRecip.add(lisa, staff)
B. object method in MailRecip list.add(staff)
C. static method in MailGroup MailGroup.add(lisa, staff)
D. object method in MailGroup staff.add(lisa)
E. no new method needed; just use Vector's staff.add(lisa)

