# Lecture 16: Iterative Methods and Sparse Linear Algebra

David Bindel

25 Oct 2011

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

### Logistics

Send me a project title and group (today, please!)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Project 2 due next Monday, Oct 31

#### <aside topic="proj2">

## **Bins of particles**



// x bin and interaction range (y similar)
int ix = (int) ( x /(2\*h) );
int ixlo = (int) ( (x-h)/(2\*h) );
int ixhi = (int) ( (x+h)/(2\*h) );

# Spatial binning and hashing

#### Simplest version

- One linked list per bin
- Can include the link in a particle struct
- Fine for this project!
- More sophisticated version
  - Hash table keyed by bin index
  - Scales even if occupied volume « computational domain

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Partitioning strategies

Can make each processor responsible for

- A region of space
- A set of particles
- A set of interactions

Different tradeoffs between load balance and communication.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## To use symmetry, or not to use symmetry?

- Simplest version is prone to race conditions!
- Can not use symmetry (and do twice the work)
- Or update bins in two groups (even/odd columns?)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Or save contributions separately, sum later

### Logistical odds and ends

Parallel performance starts with serial performance

- Use flags let the compiler help you!
- Can refactor memory layouts for better locality
- You will need more particles to see good speedups
  - Overheads: open/close parallel sections, barriers.
  - Try -s 1e-2 (or maybe even smaller)
- Careful notes and a version control system really help

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

I like Git's lightweight branches here!

#### </aside>

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Reminder: World of Linear Algebra

- Dense methods
  - Direct representation of matrices with simple data structures (no need for indexing data structure)
  - Mostly  $O(n^3)$  factorization algorithms
- Sparse direct methods
  - Direct representation, keep only the nonzeros
  - Factorization costs depend on problem structure (1D cheap; 2D reasonable; 3D gets expensive; not easy to give a general rule, and NP hard to order for optimal sparsity)
  - Robust, but hard to scale to large 3D problems
- Iterative methods
  - Only need y = Ax (maybe  $y = A^T x$ )
  - Produce successively better (?) approximations
  - Good convergence depends on preconditioning
  - Best preconditioners are often hard to parallelize

#### Linear Algebra Software: MATLAB

```
% Dense (LAPACK)
[L,U] = lu(A);
x = U \setminus (L \setminus b);
% Sparse direct (UMFPACK + COLAMD)
[L, U, P, Q] = lu(A);
x = O * (U \setminus (L \setminus (P * b)));
% Sparse iterative (PCG + incomplete Cholesky)
tol = 1e-6;
maxit = 500;
R = cholinc(A, '0');
x = pcq(A, b, tol, maxit, R', R);
```

# Linear Algebra Software: the Wider World

- Dense: LAPACK, ScaLAPACK, PLAPACK
- Sparse direct: UMFPACK, TAUCS, SuperLU, MUMPS, Pardiso, SPOOLES, ...
- Sparse iterative: too many!
- Sparse mega-libraries
  - PETSc (Argonne, object-oriented C)
  - Trilinos (Sandia, C++)
- Good references:
  - Templates for the Solution of Linear Systems (on Netlib)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Survey on "Parallel Linear Algebra Software" (Eijkhout, Langou, Dongarra – look on Netlib)
- ACTS collection at NERSC

### Software Strategies: Dense Case

Assuming you want to use (vs develop) dense LA code:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- Learn enough to identify right algorithm (e.g. is it symmetric? definite? banded? etc)
- Learn high-level organizational ideas
- Make sure you have a good BLAS
- Call LAPACK/ScaLAPACK!
- For *n* large: wait a while

## Software Strategies: Sparse Direct Case

Assuming you want to use (vs develop) sparse LA code

- Identify right algorithm (mainly Cholesky vs LU)
- Get a good solver (often from list)
  - You don't want to roll your own!
- Order your unknowns for sparsity
  - Again, good to use someone else's software!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

For n large, 3D: get lots of memory and wait

## Software Strategies: Sparse Iterative Case

Assuming you want to use (vs develop) sparse LA software...

- Identify a good algorithm (GMRES? CG?)
- Pick a good preconditioner
  - Often helps to know the application
  - ... and to know how the solvers work!
- Play with parameters, preconditioner variants, etc...
- Swear until you get acceptable convergence?
- Repeat for the next variation on the problem

Frameworks (e.g. PETSc or Trilinos) speed experimentation.

・ロト・ 日本・ 日本・ 日本・ 日本・ つくぐ

## Software Strategies: Stacking Solvers

(Typical) example from a bone modeling package:

- Outer load stepping loop
- Newton method corrector for each load step
- Preconditioned CG for linear system
- Multigrid preconditioner
- Sparse direct solver for coarse-grid solve (UMFPACK)
- LAPACK/BLAS under that

First three are high level — I used a scripting language (Lua).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### **Iterative Idea**



- *f* is a contraction if ||f(x) f(y)|| < ||x y||.
- *f* has a unique *fixed point*  $x_* = f(x_*)$ .

For 
$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k), x_k \rightarrow x_*$$
.

• If  $||f(x) - f(y)|| < \alpha ||x - y||, \alpha < 1$ , for all x, y, then

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\| < \alpha^{\mathbf{k}}\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\|$$

・ コット (雪) ( 小田) ( コット 日)

Looks good if α not too near 1...

#### **Stationary Iterations**

Write Ax = b as A = M - K; get fixed point of

$$Mx_{k+1} = Kx_k + b$$

or

$$x_{k+1} = (M^{-1}K)x_k + M^{-1}b.$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

- Convergence if  $\rho(M^{-1}K) < 1$
- Best case for convergence: M = A
- Cheapest case: M = I
- Realistic: choose something between

Jacobi M = diag(A)Gauss-Seidel M = tril(A)

#### Reminder: Discretized 2D Poisson Problem



$$(Lu)_{i,j} = h^{-2} \left( 4u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j} - u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j-1} - u_{i,j+1} \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Jacobi on 2D Poisson

Assuming homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

```
for step = 1:nsteps
  for i = 2:n-1
    for j = 2:n-1
      u_next(i,j) = \dots
        (u(i,j+1) + u(i,j-1) + ...
          u(i-1,j) + u(i+1,j) )/4 - ...
        h^2*f(i,j)/4;
    end
  end
 u = u next;
```

end

Basically do some averaging at each step.

### Parallel version (5 point stencil)



Boundary values: white Data on P0: green Ghost cell data: blue

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Parallel version (9 point stencil)



Boundary values: white Data on P0: green Ghost cell data: blue

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Parallel version (5 point stencil)



Communicate ghost cells before each step.

## Parallel version (9 point stencil)



Communicate in two phases (EW, NS) to get corners.

### Gauss-Seidel on 2D Poisson

```
for step = 1:nsteps
 for i = 2:n-1
    for j = 2:n-1
      u(i,j) = ...
        ( u(i,j+1) + u(i,j-1) + ...
          u(i-1,j) + u(i+1,j) )/4 - ...
        h^2*f(i,j)/4;
   end
  end
```

end

Bottom values depend on top; how to parallelize?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### **Red-Black Gauss-Seidel**



#### Red depends only on black, and vice-versa. Generalization: multi-color orderings

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア ヘヨア

3

#### Red black Gauss-Seidel step

```
for i = 2:n-1
  for j = 2:n-1
    if mod(i+j,2) == 0
      u(i,j) = ...
    end
  end
end
for i = 2:n-1
  for j = 2:n-1
    if mod(i+j,2) == 1,
    u(i, j) = ...
 end
end
```

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

## Parallel red-black Gauss-Seidel sketch

At each step

- Send black ghost cells
- Update red cells
- Send red ghost cells
- Update black ghost cells

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

# More Sophistication

- Successive over-relaxation (SOR): extrapolate Gauss-Seidel direction
- ▶ Block Jacobi: let *M* be a block diagonal matrix from *A* 
  - Other block variants similar
- Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI): alternately solve on vertical lines and horizontal lines

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Multigrid

These are mostly just the opening act for...

## Krylov Subspace Methods

What if we only know how to multiply by *A*? About all you can do is keep multiplying!

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A,b) = \operatorname{span}\left\{b, Ab, A^2b, \dots, A^{k-1}b\right\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Gives surprisingly useful information!

## Example: Conjugate Gradients

If *A* is symmetric and positive definite, Ax = b solves a minimization:

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}$$
$$\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Idea: Minimize  $\phi(x)$  over  $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$ . Basis for the *method of conjugate gradients* 

#### **Example: GMRES**

#### Idea: Minimize $||Ax - b||^2$ over $\mathcal{K}_k(A, b)$ . Yields *Generalized Minimum RESidual* (GMRES) method.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Convergence of Krylov Subspace Methods

- KSPs are not stationary (no constant fixed-point iteration)
- Convergence is surprisingly subtle!
- CG convergence upper bound via condition number
  - Large condition number iff form  $\phi(x)$  has long narrow bowl

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Usually happens for Poisson and related problems
- Preconditioned problem  $M^{-1}Ax = M^{-1}b$  converges faster?
- ▶ Whence *M*?
  - From a stationary method?
  - From a simpler/coarser discretization?
  - From approximate factorization?

# PCG

Compute 
$$r^{(0)} = b - Ax$$
  
for  $i = 1, 2, ...$   
solve  $Mz^{(i-1)} = r^{(i-1)}$   
 $\rho_{i-1} = (r^{(i-1)})^T z^{(i-1)}$   
if  $i == 1$   
 $p^{(1)} = z^{(0)}$   
else  
 $\beta_{i-1} = \rho_{i-1}/\rho_{i-2}$   
 $p^{(i)} = z^{(i-1)} + \beta_{i-1}p^{(i-1)}$   
endif  
 $q^{(i)} = Ap^{(i)}$   
 $\alpha_i = \rho_{i-1}/(p^{(i)})^T q^{(i)}$   
 $x^{(i)} = x^{(i-1)} + \alpha_i p^{(i)}$   
 $r^{(i)} = r^{(i-1)} - \alpha_i q^{(i)}$ 

end

Parallel work:

- Solve with M
- Product with A
- Dot products
- Axpys

Overlap comm/comp.

## PCG bottlenecks

Key: fast solve with M, product with A

- Some preconditioners parallelize better! (Jacobi vs Gauss-Seidel)
- Balance speed with performance.
  - Speed for set up of M?
  - Speed to apply M after setup?
- Cheaper to do two multiplies/solves at once...
  - Can't exploit in obvious way lose stability
  - Variants allow multiple products Hoemmen's thesis
- ► Lots of fiddling possible with *M*; what about matvec with *A*?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●