Lecture 15: Dense Linear Algebra II

David Bindel

19 Oct 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Logistics

- Matrix multiply is graded
- HW 2 logistics
 - Viewer issue was a compiler bug change Makefile to switch gcc version or lower optimization. Or grab the revised tarball.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

It is fine to use binning vs. quadtrees

Review: Parallel matmul

- Basic operation: C = C + AB
- Computation: 2n³ flops
- Goal: $2n^3/p$ flops per processor, minimal communication

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Two main contenders: SUMMA and Cannon

Outer product algorithm

Serial: Recall outer product organization:

```
for k = 0:s-1
C += A(:,k) *B(k,:);
end
```

Parallel: Assume $p = s^2$ processors, block $s \times s$ matrices. For a 2 × 2 example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} \\ C_{10} & C_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{00}B_{00} & A_{00}B_{01} \\ A_{10}B_{00} & A_{10}B_{01} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} A_{01}B_{10} & A_{01}B_{11} \\ A_{11}B_{10} & A_{11}B_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Processor for each $(i, j) \implies$ parallel work for each k!
- ► Note everyone in row *i* uses A(*i*, *k*) at once, and everyone in row *j* uses B(*k*, *j*) at once.

Parallel outer product (SUMMA)

```
for k = 0:s-1
for each i in parallel
    broadcast A(i,k) to row
for each j in parallel
    broadcast A(k,j) to col
On processor (i,j), C(i,j) += A(i,k)*B(k,j);
end
```

If we have tree along each row/column, then

- log(s) messages per broadcast
- $\alpha + \beta n^2 / s^2$ per message
- ► $2\log(s)(\alpha s + \beta n^2/s)$ total communication
- Compare to 1D ring: $(p-1)\alpha + (1-1/p)n^2\beta$

Note: Same ideas work with block size b < n/s

SUMMA

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

SUMMA



SUMMA



▲□ → ▲圖 → ▲ 圖 → ▲ 圖 → 의 ۹ ()

Parallel outer product (SUMMA)

If we have tree along each row/column, then

- log(s) messages per broadcast
- $\alpha + \beta n^2 / s^2$ per message
- ► $2\log(s)(\alpha s + \beta n^2/s)$ total communication

Assuming communication and computation can potentially overlap *completely*, what does the speedup curve look like?

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Reminder: Why matrix multiply?

LAPACK structure



Build fast serial linear algebra (LAPACK) on top of BLAS 3.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Reminder: Why matrix multiply?





ScaLAPACK builds additional layers on same idea.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Reminder: Evolution of LU

On board...





Find pivot

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●



Swap pivot row

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



Update within block

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●



Delayed update (at end of block)



Big idea

- Delayed update strategy lets us do LU fast
 - Could have also delayed application of pivots
- Same idea with other one-sided factorizations (QR)
- Can get decent multi-core speedup with parallel BLAS! ... assuming *n* sufficiently large.

There are still some issues left over (block size? pivoting?)...

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Explicit parallelization of GE

What to do:

- Decompose into work chunks
- Assign work to threads in a balanced way
- Orchestrate the communication and synchronization

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Map which processors execute which threads

1D column blocked: bad load balance



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

1D column cyclic: hard to use BLAS2/3



1D column block cyclic: block column factorization a bottleneck



Block skewed: indexing gets messy



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

2D block cyclic:



æ

- 1D column blocked: bad load balance
- 1D column cyclic: hard to use BLAS2/3
- ► 1D column block cyclic: factoring column is a bottleneck

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Block skewed (a la Cannon): just complicated
- 2D row/column block: bad load balance
- 2D row/column block cyclic: win!



Find pivot (column broadcast)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



Swap pivot row within block column + broadcast pivot

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



Update within block column

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



At end of block, broadcast swap info along rows

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



Apply all row swaps to other columns

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



Broadcast block L_{II} right

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



Update remainder of block row

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



Broadcast rest of block row down



Broadcast rest of block col right

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



Update of trailing submatrix

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Communication costs:

- Lower bound: $O(n^2/\sqrt{P})$ words, $O(\sqrt{P})$ messages
- ScaLAPACK:
 - $O(n^2 \log P / \sqrt{P})$ words sent
 - O(n log p) messages
 - Problem: reduction to find pivot in each column
- Recent research on stable variants without partial pivoting

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

What if you don't care about dense Gaussian elimination? Let's review some ideas in a different setting...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Floyd-Warshall

Goal: Find shortest path lengths between all node pairs.

Idea: Dynamic programming! Define

 $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ = shortest path *i* to *j* with intermediates in {1,...,k}.

Then

$$d_{ij}^{(k)} = \min\left(d_{ij}^{(k-1)}, d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)}\right)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

and $d_{ij}^{(n)}$ is the desired shortest path length.

The same and different

Floyd's algorithm for all-pairs shortest paths:

Unpivoted Gaussian elimination (overwriting A):

```
for k=1:n
  for i = k+1:n
    A(i,k) = A(i,k) / A(k,k);
    for j = k+1:n
        A(i,j) = A(i,j)-A(i,k)*A(k,j);
```

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

The same and different

- The same: $O(n^3)$ time, $O(n^2)$ space
- The same: can't move k loop (data dependencies)
 - ... at least, can't without care!
 - Different from matrix multiplication

• The same:
$$x_{ij}^{(k)} = f\left(x_{ij}^{(k-1)}, g\left(x_{ik}^{(k-1)}, x_{kj}^{(k-1)}\right)\right)$$

- Same basic dependency pattern in updates!
- Similar algebraic relations satisfied
- Different: Update to full matrix vs trailing submatrix

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

How would we

Write a cache-efficient (blocked) serial implementation?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Write a message-passing parallel implementation?

The full picture could make a fun class project...



Next up: Sparse linear algebra and iterative solvers!

